

# Kingborough

## MINUTES

8 May 2017



*Back (L – R): Cr Paul Chatterton, Cr Mike Percey, Cr Sue Bastone, Cr Dean Winter, Cr Richard Atkinson  
Front (L – R): Cr Dr Graham Bury, Cr Flora Fox, Mayor Cr Steve Wass, Deputy Mayor Cr Paula Wriedt, Cr David Grace*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Open Session</u>		<u>Agenda Page No.</u>	<u>Minute Page No.</u>
<u>Minute No's.</u>			
C196/9-17	Apologies	1	1
C197/9-17	Confirmation of Minutes of Council Meeting No. 8 Held on 24 April 2017	2	2
	Workshops held Since Council Meeting on 24 April 2017	2	2
	Declarations of Interest	2	2
	Questions on Notice from the Public	3	2
C198/9-17	Optus Tower, Sherburd Oval	3	2
C199/9-17	Questions Without Notice from the Public	5	4
	Questions on Notice from Councillors	5	8
C200/9-17	Planning Matters	5	8
C201/9-17	Questions Without Notice from Councillors	6	9
	Motions of Which Notice has been Given	6	9
	Petitions Still Being Actioned	6	9
	Petitions Received in Last Period	6	9
	Planning Authority - Reports of Officers	7	10
C202/9-17	Delegated Authority for the Period 12 April 2017 to 25 April 2017	7	10
	Council - Reports of Officers	10	11
C203/9-17	TasWater	10	11
C204/9-17	Acquisition of Land for Extension of Blackmans Bay Waste Water Treatment Plant	21	11
C205/9-17	Provision of a Second Turf Wicket in Kingborough	24	12
C206/9-17	Petition – Dog Restrictions on Tarroona and Hinsby Beaches	35	12
C207/9-17	Policy 3.18 – Related Party Disclosure	42	13

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)**

<b><u>Open Session</u></b>		<b><u>Agenda</u></b>	<b><u>Minute</u></b>
<b><u>Minute No's.</u></b>		<b><u>Page No.</u></b>	<b><u>Page No.</u></b>
C208/9-17	Information Reports	55	13
	General Manager's Diary for the Period 3 April 2017 to 28 April 2017	56	
	Current and Previous Minute Resolutions Update	57	
	Kingborough Waste Services - Bi-Monthly Report	61	
	Community Services Quarterly Information Report - January to March 2017	73	
	Report from Cr Flora Fox Regarding Australian Local Government Women (Algwa) National Conference	86	
	Minutes Kingborough Access Advisory Committee Meeting dated 12 April 2017	87	
	Minutes Kingborough Bicycle Advisory Committee Meeting dated 21 April 2017	91	
C209/9-17	Confirmation of Items to be Dealt With in Closed Session	95	14

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held at the Kingborough Civic Centre, Kingston on Monday, 8 May 2017 at 5.30pm.

	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Time Occupied</b>
Open Council	5.30pm	5.57pm	27 minutes
Planning Authority	5.57pm	6.00pm	3 minutes
Open Council	6.00pm	7.01pm	1 hour, 1 minute
Closed Council	7.01pm	7.31pm	30 minutes
Open Council	7.31pm	7.32pm	1 minute
<b>TOTAL TIME OCCUPIED</b>			<b>2 hours, 2 minutes</b>

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL OWNERS**

The Chairman acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the traditional and original owners and continuing custodians of the land on which we met, and acknowledged elders past and present.

### **ATTENDEES**

#### **Councillors:**

		<b>PRESENT</b>
Mayor	Councillor S Wass	✓
	Councillor R Atkinson	✓
	Councillor S Bastone	✓
	Councillor P Chatterton	✓
	Councillor F Fox	✓
	Councillor M Percey	✓
	Councillor D Winter	✓

#### **Staff:**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>NAME</b>
General Manager	Mr Gary Arnold
Chief Financial Officer	Mr John Breen
Executive Manager Organisational Development	Ms Pene Hughes
Manager Governance & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Manager Community Services	Ms Angela Jenni
Team Leader – Turf Maintenance	Mr Chris Hay
Media & Communications Officer	Ms Sarah Wilcox
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

C196/9-17

### **APOLOGIES**

Cr Dr Graham Bury (Apology)  
 Cr David Grace (Leave of Absence)  
 Deputy Mayor, Cr Paula Wriedt (Apology)

**CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING NO. 8 HELD ON 24 APRIL 2017**

MOVED            Cr Fox  
 SECONDED      Cr Bastone

That the Minutes of Council Meeting No. 8 held on 24 April 2017 be confirmed.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

**WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE COUNCIL MEETING ON 24 APRIL 2017**

DATE	PURPOSE
1 May 2017	Dog Management Policy & Street Lighting

**DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

**QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC****Optus Tower, Sherburd Oval**

The following questions on notice have been received from the public :

1. **Ms Chloe Bibari:**

- (a) Regarding the proposed Optus Tower on Sherburd Oval, will the first community consultation undertaken by Optus at the end of 2016 which received 420 objections in response to the proposal, be discussed and taken into account when Council votes on whether or not to lease the land to Optus?
- (b) How much will Council receive for the lease of the land from Optus if the proposed Optus Tower on Sherburd Oval goes ahead?

**Response:**

Officer: Daniel Smee - Manager Governance and Property Services

- (a) The community consultation undertaken by Optus will be taken into consideration in the report to be prepared for Council in relation to this matter.
- (b) Council has not yet negotiated a final figure for the rental of the site.

2. **Mr Japheth Thomson :**

- (a) Given recommendations from NSW government for telecommunications infrastructure to be at least 500m from schools, and Optus' own stated preferences not to build near schools, how can they in good conscience choose this site less than 200m from a school? Why were other sites dismissed?
- (b) How much weight does community feedback actually carry in light of Kingborough Council's financial difficulties recently covered in the media?

**Response:**

Officer: Daniel Smee - Manager Governance and Property Services

- (a) Optus considers that the NSW Department of Education policy is contrary to the precautionary approach and doesn't appropriately address the way in which mobile phone base stations (and networks) operate. Buffer zones restrict development and would create areas where there is a lack of reliable services. Mobile phone base stations need to be located in proximity to the communities that require the services. One effect is that a mobile phone base station located further away from a school may need to operate at higher power levels to provide reliable services. In such a scenario, the EME levels from the facility may be higher at the school.

Other sites have potentially higher levels of visual impact and do not meet Optus' primary coverage objectives.

- (b) The assessment process undertaken in relation to the proposed lease is focussed entirely on the feedback received from the community and Council's financial position has no bearing on the matter.

3. **Mr Chris Cooper** has submitted the following question on notice :

- (a) Why would the council ignore the clear warning on the World Health Authorities website regarding the potential health effects on young children?
- (b) Why are the two alternative sites not being considered instead, both sites have far less impact on the community?

**Response:**

Officer: Daniel Smee - Manager Governance and Property Services

- (a) In Australia, the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) mandates exposure limits to electromagnetic energy (EME) and Optus advise that the proposed installation will comply with the ACMA's regulatory arrangements with respect to EME exposure levels, specifically, the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA), 2002, 'Radiation Protection Standard: Maximum Exposure Levels to Radiofrequency Fields — 3 kHz to 300 GHz', Radiation Protection Series Publication No. 3, ARPANSA (the "ARPANSA standard").

The ARPANSA standard sets the limits for human exposure to radiofrequency (RF) fields in the frequency range 3kHz to 300GHz, which Optus are committed to complying with at all times. The ARPANSA standard is in line with World Health Organisation (WHO) recommendations. The WHO relies on the recommendations of the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). ICNIRP is an independent scientific body recognised for its expertise by the WHO and they have issued guidelines for radio signal exposure that are applicable to mobile phones, base

stations and other wireless devices. Research concerning EME is ongoing and Optus rely on independent advice from authorities such as the WHO and ICNIRP. ARPANSA also monitor international research and conduct regular reviews of the latest scientific literature which is published on their website.

A precautionary approach is adopted as the ARPANSA standard limits the network signal strength to a level low enough to protect all people, in all environments, 24-hours a day. The critical issue with mobile network telecommunications antennas is the operational power levels of the facility, and we advise that the facility will operate in accordance with all relevant standards.

- (b) The two alternative locations each require the introduction of a new stand-alone vertical elements in the landscape with considerably greater visual impact to the surrounding area. In addition, Optus' primary coverage objectives cannot be met at the two identified alternative locations.

C199/9-17

(Commences at ± 3 minutes on audio recording)

### **QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

**Mr Mark McBride** asked the following questions without notice :

1. *"This Council unanimously endorsed the Treasury and Investments Policy report. That is that fossil fuel be regarded as an unethical investment. As I understand it, that was a unanimous agreement. Am I able also to assume that the Huon's intention to bio-mass southern reserves, would the bio-massing of our southern forests also be regarded as unethical investment on behalf of this Council?"*

#### **General Manager responds:**

In response to the first part of your question, it is not my recollection that that was Council's resolution at that particular Council meeting but I will check that and provide a written response. In response to the second part of your question, I will take that on notice and provide a written response.

2. *"I have watched the performance of this Council over the last year or two and in my opinion it is the greenest Council in Australia and that is what gives me pride. Perhaps it's time we recognised our global hero of the Greens, Bob Brown. My suggestion to the Council is could this Council not mint a coin in honour of Bob Brown for what he stands for and for what he has achieved on this island. Could we mint a join to him, make it a quality one of ... inaudible ... or silver. Could you crunch the numbers and gain some support from international sales?"*

#### **General Manager responds:**

I will take that question on notice.

3. "In terms of smoking fire boxes throughout the suburbs, it is the fire boxes that cause a lot of people distress. If we were to put a small pipe into the back of our fire boxes, could we then not burn the air from outside the dwelling? The reason I wish to burn the air through a small pipe coming into the back of a burner, if you were to do that, you would achieve three things. I believe you would achieve a greater efficiency and you would not burn all the oxygen in your dwelling. The new houses are air tight. The old scale of fire box just burns every skerrick of oxygen in our dwellings and I believe that it would give a better night's sleep if the oxygen was burnt from outside."

**General Manager responds:**

It is my understanding that any fire box that is sold to the general public must meet Australian standards and provided that that is happening, then those fireboxes will continue to be sold with the current design but I will take that part of the question on notice to see whether there has been any research into the alternate you suggest.

**Ms Chloe Bibari** asked the following question without notice:

*"In response to one of the questions that was tabled that was asked by Mr Cooper, the Council answered that the assessment process in relation to the proposed tower focuses entirely on the feedback received from the community. I would like to know what criteria the Council will use to make their decision? Is it the number of letters of opposition or is it the validity of the points raised by the community or will it be something else?"*

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

In considering the submissions we have received and preparing the report to Council, we will obviously take into account all of the factors raised by the community and then we will assess the merits of those submissions that have been put in. It won't be done in relation to purely on weight of numbers. It will be done in relation to the merits of each of factors raised.

**Ms Gen Featherstone** asked the following question without notice:

*"I have concerns over the information that Optus is providing to the community which is expected to be taken as fact. Given that Optus has a commercial interest and not a community one, will the Council seek independent expert advice on how necessary the tower is for Blackmans Bay as other telcos have good coverage and if it is needed, possible alternate sites?"*

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

I have not assessed the submissions that have come in yet so it is difficult to answer that question without having been through them all, but if necessary, we will seek independent expert advice where required.

**Ms Carolyn Waldon** asked the following question without notice:

Several members of our community have been in contact with Optus and have been informed that current mobile phone coverage to this area is currently good and that Optus' wish to build a phone tower at Sherburd Oval is to ensure coverage for the future. We also know that our telecommunication future needs can be provided for by the NBN. In light of this, what is the Kingborough Council's responsibility and obligation to support Optus in their commercial and other interests if they allowed them to build a phone tower here."

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

The information in relation to the coverage is certainly contrary to what Optus has provided to Council to date. The information provided to date has been that there are indeed areas of poor coverage in the Blackmans Bay area. To answer the second part of that question, Council has no obligation to Optus. This is a commercial entity that has approached Council as to whether it is prepared to lease some Council owned land. In relation to Council's obligations I don't think we have any.

**Ms Clare Butler** asked the following question without notice:

*"We have been speaking to some estate agents in regards to property prices and how they will be affected with the unsightly tower. I'm just wondering how, should it go ahead, how we will be refunded as regards to our rates and not being able to sell our properties."*

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

The question is pre-emptive. Council has not considered this matter yet and the assertion that property values will be affected is also speculative and I'm not in a position to answer the question on that basis.

**Ms Emma Brookwell** asked the following question without notice:

*"Will your review also look at other sites that Optus has disregarded or will you only be looking at the site at Sherburd Oval?"*

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

The assessment that will be undertaken relates primarily to the Sherburd Oval site. As required under the *Local Government Act* we are required to assess the implications associated with the proposal to lease that site. This is not an assessment of all the options that are open. It is primarily focused on the proposal to lease the site at Sherburd Oval. The information provided by Optus in relation to alternate sites will be taken into consideration but it is a secondary consideration in relation to the assessment process.

**Mr Japheth Thomson** asked the following question without notice:

*"Firstly, I wanted to say thank you to Mr Smee for his answers thus far that are already in the Agenda. Could Council please be clearer about what weightings they are giving to what aspects of this proposal? It has been indicated in a prior meeting listening to the Mayor speak that majority numbers are at a high factor when making a decision like this and yet we know from Optus that they canvassed something like 390 houses and got in excess of that in response from the community saying that we would prefer that they didn't use this site. To me that well and truly indicates a majority but I would like to know how much weight is Council actually putting on that. I know that this has been partially addressed earlier but I think it is still very murky as to what Council is actually weighing up with this proposal."*

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

Council needs to weigh up, in essence, the impacts upon the proposal to lease this particular site to Optus. In weighing up that consideration, there will be the full range of impacts and factors that the community have raised. Associated with that will be the numbers of community members that have raised these particular issues. In forming its final resolution, obviously each individual Councillor will provide their own individual interpretation and will have their own view as to what weightings are higher. I can't speak on behalf of individual Councillors but certainly the report that I will prepare for consideration by Council will assess all of the submissions, all of the impacts that the community have indicated that may occur in relation to the proposal to lease and it will also canvas the numbers in relation to the number of community members that have raised this issue and the number of submissions received.

**Mr Haydn Buktenica** asked the following question without notice:

*“Does the Council think that it is acceptable that when we were first notified of this tower that we only had several weeks to get our responses into Optus and then shortly after that they were to produce a report? Their report wasn’t released until several months into this year. The way I see it is that all the rate payers, we are being forced to jump around to Optus’ whims and their deadlines yet they don’t even meet their own deadlines. Is this acceptable to the Council?”*

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

The process that Optus followed is the process that is prescribed under the *Telecommunications Act*. The process that Council has followed is the process that is prescribed under the *Local Government Act*. The length of time in between is a matter for Optus. They obviously needed to assess what was a fairly hefty number of submissions that came in and I understand that was the reason for the delay in relation to that matter but I can’t comment on behalf of Optus.

**Ms Samantha Brown** asked the following question without notice:

*“In relation to the earlier question about what amount the Council is going to lease the land for, obviously until the lease is finalised you won’t even be able to work out a ball park for that, but I’m wondering whether that will be a yearly financial contribution, an outright cost for the lease and whether development of the land around it would also be incorporated into it. My primary concern is the development of the car park area, safety of walking through to the primary school. Loss of car parking area is not an issue but with the increase in commercial traffic there and the redevelopment of that pole, is that something that Council is going to consider further?”*

**Manager Governance & Property Services responds:**

Assuming that Council was to approve a lease, we would obviously be looking to get a lease fee that provides the maximum return to the community. I understand that in the initial discussions with Optus, they had proposed an annual rental fee and that there has been subsequent discussions following the community consultation phase that suggested there could be other incentives that were provided in relation to the community infrastructure. As I indicated before, because Council hasn’t reached a resolution in relation to the matter, there hasn’t been any final figure negotiated but in the event that it was, certainly the minimum would be an annual rental.

**Mr Wayne Burgess** asked the following question without notice:

*“With reference to the State Planning Provisions, part of the new Tasmanian State wide Planning Scheme, at the Minutes of the meeting of the 4<sup>th</sup> March 2017 of the Kingborough Community Consultative Forum, the notes of that meeting prepared by the Deputy General Manager, Mr Ferrier, he included quite some detail about the submissions that had been made by Council and what the outcome had been in terms of the response of the Planning Commission as then approved by the Minister and have now been released. He referred to those notes as very preliminary as it had only just recently been announced. My question is, has Mr Ferrier perhaps prepared a more detailed analysis of what Council had submitted to the hearings, what the outcome was in terms of what has been adopted by the Minister and has that information been specifically conveyed to Councillors at a Council meeting?”*

## **General Manager responds:**

I know from speaking with my colleague, Mr Ferrier, this morning that it has been somewhat of a moving feast over the last few weeks. Tomorrow, we have two staff members attending a forum that will have, as the main presenter, the manager of the planning section of the Department of Justice, one of the prime architects of the new planning scheme, Mr Brian Risby, and later this month, Mr Ferrier will lead Council through a workshop on the new planning scheme as a precursor to the detailed report that he referred to and that was part of your question.

## **QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

C200/9-17

(Commences at ± 24 minutes on audio recording)

### **Planning Matters**

**Cr Bastone** has submitted the following questions on notice :

1. When there is a public holiday falling in the two weeks following a Council meeting does the length of time for an appeal of a planning decision get extended?
2. In particular, was the length of time for an appeal of a planning decision made at a Council meeting on April 10th extended to take into consideration the 3 days of Easter and ANZAC Day?
3. With the unreliability of Australia Post do we send notices registered mail to ensure they are received promptly?

### **Response:**

Officer: Tasha Tyler-Moore - Manager Development Services

1. Section 13 of the *Resource Management and Appeal Tribunal Act 1993* refers to a 14 day period to lodge an appeal (from when the decision is made). However, pursuant to section 29 of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1931*, if the final day for lodgement falls on a Sunday or Public Holiday (in that area) the time for lodgement extends to the following day. Any public holidays that fall within that period are included in the 14 day period (therefore additional days are not added on). It should be noted however that RMPAT can consider an extension of time request to lodge an appeal, pursuant to s13(2) of the *Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal Act 1993*. This has been verified by the Tribunal.
2. In the case above, an appeal would need to be lodged by 24 April 2017.
3. We do not send notices by registered mail. Registering mail does not ensure shortened times (it is the same time frame as ordinary post, 2-6 days), plus the price is \$4.90 per letter instead of \$1.00. If we were to use the 'next day delivery' service each letter would cost Council \$6.10 instead of \$1.00 and in Tasmania there are many places that the guarantee does not apply to. This has been advised by Australia Post.

**QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

Cr Chatterton asked the following questions without notice:

1. *“Is Council aware of a road being closed in Blackmans Bay at the hours of 4am to allow construction of a mobile house to be put on a block in Tingira Road and that the workmen were working on that house with racket guns etc at 4am a couple of weeks ago?”*

**General Manager responds:**

Yes, Council officers are aware of that matter. Following a complaint being lodged I am aware that John Devries from our Environmental Health section spoke with the contractors on site and issued, for want of a better term, a first and final warning that it was not to occur again.

2. *“Is Council aware of the damage being done in the Baronia Hill Reserve by mountain bikes? I believe that it has been brought to Council’s attention. Destruction of trees and building of mounds for mountain bikes. If Council is aware, I wonder what action is being planned?”*

**General Manager responds:**

I can confirm that Council officers are aware of this situation. It was originally investigated by Liz Quinn from our Environmental and Natural Resources area. She has subsequently enlisted colleagues from the Community Services area given that it appears that we are dealing with some younger members of our community who have taken it upon themselves to provide what is probably best described as a mountain bike track closer to home rather than what has already been provided by Council.

**MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

There were no Notices of Motion received.

**PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED**

The petition headed “Retain Prohibition of Dogs on Taroona and Hinsby Beaches” received in the last period is dealt with at page 35 of this Agenda.

**PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD**

At the time the Agenda was compiled no Petitions had been received.

**OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL ADJOURNS**

**PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION**

Planning Authority Meeting commenced at 5.57pm

**REPORTS OF OFFICERS**

C202/9-17

*(Commences at ± 27 minutes on audio recording)*

**DELEGATED AUTHORITY FOR THE PERIOD 12 APRIL 2017 TO 25 APRIL 2017**

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
SECONDED       Cr Atkinson

That the report be noted.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

**OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL RESUMES**

Open session of Council resumed at 6.00pm

**REPORTS OF OFFICERS**

C203/9-17

*(Commences at ± 30 minutes on audio recording)*

**TASWATER**

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
SECONDED       Cr Atkinson

That Council resolve to support the following:

That members confirm there is no water and sewerage crisis, reject the proposed State Government ownership of TasWater and, through LGAT, urge the State Government to work cooperatively with LGAT, councils and TasWater on the optimal water and sewerage infrastructure upgrade program to achieve the best outcome for Councils and consumers.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

C204/9-17

*(Commences at ± 37 minutes on audio recording)*

**ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR EXTENSION OF BLACKMANS BAY WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT**

MOVED            Cr Percey  
SECONDED       Cr Fox

That Council agree in principle to the transfer of the land contained within Certificate of Title 157728/2 to TasWater and advertise this intent in accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

**PROVISION OF A SECOND TURF WICKET IN KINGBOROUGH**

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
 SECONDED      Cr Fox

That, in view of Council's current financial situation and having regard to the capital and operational costs of developing a second turf wicket in Kingborough, Council does not pursue the matter as a short term priority but acknowledges the need for such a facility as part of its longer term recreational planning.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass		

AGAINST

Cr Winter			
-----------	--	--	--

Carried

**PETITION – DOG RESTRICTIONS ON TAROONA AND HINSBY BEACHES**

MOVED            Cr Fox  
 SECONDED      Cr Percey

That the organisers of the "Petition to Retain Prohibition of Dogs on Taroona and Hinsby Beaches" be advised that Council will consider the matter as part of the review of submissions to the Draft Dog Management Policy.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

**POLICY 3.18 – RELATED PARTY DISCLOSURE**

MOVED            Cr Percey  
 SECONDED      Cr Fox

That Council approves Policy 3.18 Related Party Disclosure, as attached to this report.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

**INFORMATION REPORTS**

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
 SECONDED      Cr Percey

That the following information reports be noted:

1. General Manager's Diary for the period 3 April 2017 to 28 April 2017.
2. Current and Previous Minute Resolutions Spreadsheet.
3. Kingborough Waste Services Bi-Monthly Report.
4. Community Services Bi-Monthly Report.
5. Report from Cr Flora Fox regarding the Australian Local Government Woman National Conference.
6. Minutes Kingborough Access Advisory Committee dated 12 April 2017.
7. Minutes Kingborough Bicycle Advisory Committee dated 21 April 2017.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

**CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION**

MOVED            Cr Percey  
 SECONDED      Cr Fox

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* the following items are to be dealt with in Closed Session.

<b>Matter</b>	<b>Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Reference</b>
Applications for Leave of Absence	15(2)(h)
Taroona Bowls Club	15(2)(c)
Current and Previous Minute Resolutions Spreadsheet	15(2)(c)
Current Court Matters	15(2)(c)

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass		

AGAINST

Cr Winter			
-----------	--	--	--

Carried

**CLOSED SESSION**

MOVED            Cr Percey  
 SECONDED      Cr Chatterton

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* that Council move into Closed Session.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

In accordance with the *Kingborough Council Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting will now cease.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 7.01pm

**OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL ADJOURNS**

**OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL RESUMES**

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.31pm

C216/9-17

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
SECONDED       Cr Atkinson

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Decisions/Documents</b>
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Taroona Bowls Club	Recommendation approved
Current and Previous Minute Resolutions Spreadsheet	Noted
Current Court Matters	Noted

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	

Carried Unanimously

**CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.32pm

.....  
(Confirmed)

.....  
(Date)