

Kingborough

PUBLIC MINUTES

These Minutes are provided for the
assistance and information of members
of the public.

MINUTES

13 November 2017



Back (L – R): Cr Paul Chatterton, Cr Mike Percy, Cr Sue Bastone, Cr Dean Winter, Cr Richard Atkinson
Front (L – R): Cr Dr Graham Bury, Cr Flora Fox, Mayor Cr Steve Wass, Deputy Mayor Cr Paula Wriedt, Cr David Grace

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Minute No's		Agenda Page No.	Minute Page No.
	Apologies	2	1
C570/24-17	Confirmation of Minutes of Council Meeting No. 23 held on 23 October 2017	2	2
	Workshops held Since Council Meeting on 23 October 2017	2	2
C571/24-17	Declarations of Interest	2	2
	Transfer of Agenda Items	3	2
	Questions on Notice from the Public	4	2
C572/24-17	1 Mayor's Council Motor Vehicle	4	2
C573/24-17	2 Rates	4	3
C574/24-17	3 Budget	4	3
C575/24-17	4 Financial Position of Council	5	4
C576/24-17	5 Kingborough Waste Services Pty Ltd	5	4
C577/24-17	Questions Without Notice from the Public	6	5
	Questions on Notice from Councillors	6	6
C578/24-17	1 Storage of Kingborough Rotary Club BBQ Trailer	6	6
C579/24-17	2 Kerbside Waste Collection	7	6
C580/24-17	3 Council Vehicles Policy	7	7
C581/24-17	4 Seat at Beach Road, Snug	8	7
C582/24-17	5 Margate to Snug Shared Pathway	8	8
C583/24-17	6 Climate Change Innovation Lab	8	8
C584/24-17	7 Public Transport	11	8
C585/24-17	8 Roslyn Avenue Road Safety	11	9
C586/24-17	9 Transport Strategy	12	10
C587/24-17	10 Kingston Beach RSL Club	13	11

ORDER OF BUSINESS *(cont.)*

Minute No's		Agenda Page No.	Minute Page No.
	Questions Without Notice from Councillors	13	11
C588/24-17	1 Levies, Bruny Island and Cost of Land Acquisition, Esplanade, Margate		11
C589/24-17	2 Public Halls and Solar Panels		12
C590/24-17	3 Current Sewerage Ponds at Dru Point, Margate		12
C591/24-17	4 Budget and Program Reviews		13
C592/24-17	5 Kingston Beach Upgrade		13
C593/24-17	6 Cat Holding Facility on Bruny Island		14
C594/24-17	7 Roslyn Avenue Road Safety		15
	Motions of Which Notice has been Given	13	15
	Petitions Still Being Actioned	13	15
	Petitions Received in Last Period	13	15
	Officers Reports to Planning Authority	14	16
C595/24-17	Delegated Authority for the Period 11 October 2017 to 31 October 2017	14	16
C596/24-17	DA-2017-393 - Development Application for Deck Extension and Conversion of Garage to Studio at 29 Delta Avenue, Tarooma for Mr M J Latham	17	16
C597/24-17	DA-2017-263 - Development Application for Two Units (One Existing) at 271 Roslyn Avenue, Blackmans Bay for G Hills & Partners Architects	32	17
C598/24-17	DA-2017-435 - Development Application for Signage at 'Shell Service Station', 176 Roslyn Avenue, Blackmans Bay for Aecom Australia Pty Ltd	66	20
C599/24-17	DA-2017-301 - Development Application for 3m Wide Shared Path (Footpath/Cycleway) from Snug to Margate at Old Channel Highway, Channel Highway, Pothana Road and Crescent Drive Road Reservations, Lot 203, 2023 Channel Highway, Electrona (CT 141189/203), 2 Derwent Avenue and 26 Gemalla Road, Margate for Kingborough Council	80	21

ORDER OF BUSINESS *(cont.)*

Minute No's		Agenda Page No.	Minute Page No.
	Officers Reports to Council	125	25
	Policy on the Establishment of Bushfire Hazard Management Areas on Council Owned and Managed Land	125	25
C600/24-17	Recreational Vehicle Camping	137	25
C601/24-17	Participation on Tassal Community Advisory Forum	141	26
C602/24-17	Proposed New Road Names	151	26
C603/24-17	Smoke-Free Areas - Kingston Park	155	27
C604/24-17	Positive Ageing Policy Review	170	27
C605/24-17	Information Reports	177	28
	General Manager's Diary for the Period 3 October 2017 to 6 November 2017	178	
	Current and Previous Minute Resolutions	179	
	Minutes Kingborough Access Advisory Committee 11 October 2017	184	
	Minutes Kingborough Road Safety Committee 11 October 2017	188	
	Minutes Kingborough Bicycle Advisory Committee 20 October 2017	192	
C606/24-17	Confirmation of Items to be Dealt With in Closed Session	196	28

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held at the Kingborough Civic Centre, Kingston on Monday, 13 November 2017 at 5.30pm.

	From	To	Time Occupied
Open Council	5.30pm	5.50pm	20 minutes
Planning Authority	5.50pm	6.18pm	28 minutes
Open Council	6.18pm	7.20pm	1 hour, 2 minutes
Closed Council	7.20pm	7.41pm	21 minutes
Open Council	7.41pm	7.47pm	6 minutes
TOTAL TIME OCCUPIED			2 hours, 17 minutes

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL OWNERS

The Chairman acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the traditional and original owners and continuing custodians of the land on which we met, and acknowledged elders past and present.

ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Mayor Councillor S Wass	✓
Deputy Mayor Councillor P Wriedt	✓
Councillor R Atkinson	✓
Councillor S Bastone	✓
Councillor Dr G Bury	✓
Councillor P Chatterton	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor D Grace	✓
Councillor M Percey	✓
Councillor D Winter	✓

Staff:

TITLE	NAME
General Manager	Mr Gary Arnold
Deputy General Manager	Mr Tony Ferrier
Executive Manager Engineering Services	Mr David Reeve
Manager Environmental Services	Mr Jon Doole
Manager Governance & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Manager Development Services	Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore
Manager Community Services	Ms Angela Jenni
Media & Communications Officer	Ms Sarah Wilcox
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

APOLOGIES

There were no apologies.

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING NO. 23 HELD ON 23 OCTOBER 2017

MOVED Cr Wriedt
 SECONDED Cr Fox

That the Minutes of Council Meeting No. 23 held on 23 October 2017 be confirmed.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE COUNCIL MEETING ON 23 OCTOBER 2017

DATE	PURPOSE
30 October	Bushfire Management on Council Properties
	Bruny Island Cat Management Project
6 November	Long Term Financial Plan
	Kingston Beach

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cr Fox declared an interest in the report DA2017-435 Signage Shell, Blackmans Bay Service Station.

TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no items transferred.

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

1 Mayor's Council Motor Vehicle

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Mr Mervin Reed** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“Can the Mayor please inform the ratepayers how much he has reimbursed to the Council for the private running using his Council supplied motor vehicle. Can he compare his response to the reimbursements paid by the former Mayor, Councillor Bury for similar private mileage.”

Officer’s Response:

The Mayor does not use the Council supplied motor vehicle for private use, therefore there is no reimbursement required. The Mayor keeps a detailed log of his Council related usage of the vehicle and when other Councillors use the vehicle.

John Breen - Chief Financial Officer

C573/24-17

(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)

2 Rates

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Mr Ray Westwood** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“When the rates were reduced from 8.8% to 4.4%, I believe that the 8.8% was a recommendation from the Finance Committee to this Council. What was the basis of the reduction to 4.4%? Was that budgeted for or was it just around a discussion? Is there any physical evidence of the 4.4%?”

Officer’s Response:

The 8.8% rate increase recommended by Council Officers was designed to ensure that Council delivered an underlying operating surplus in 2017/18. Council rejected the recommendation and decided to keep the rate increase to 4.0% in line with the long term financial plan that will bring Council to an underlying operating surplus by 2021.

John Breen - Chief Financial Officer

C574/24-17

(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)

3 Budget

Mr Mervin Reed has submitted the following question on notice:

Recent comments by Councillor Flora Fox, noted that her view was that careful management has reduced the council debt from \$13m to zero.

The Auditor General states that Kingborough lags significantly in capital spending to repair roads and public infrastructure and is at the bottom of the pile in terms of meeting its depreciation replacement. In essence Kingborough has the worst financial performance of all councils in Tasmania.

How does the Mayor propose to replace the loss of Taswater revenue in 2018-19 whilst the budget continues to spiral out of control?” Where will the Mayor propose cuts to council staffing and services?”

Does the Mayor fully support the views of Councillor Fox that Kingborough has lost control?

Officer's Response:

Councillor Fox was correct in noting that Council had a sizeable debt in the past, but is currently debt free.

Council has significantly improved its financial performance in 2016/17 recording a small underlying deficit of less than \$0.4 million.

Despite the loss of a proportion of the Taswater dividend in 2018/19, Council's Long Term Financial Plan, that is due out later this year, will show a return to an underlying operating surplus by 2020/21.

John Breen - Chief Financial Officer

C575/24-17

(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)

4 Financial Position of Council

Mr Mervin Reed has submitted the following question on notice:

How can the debt position of the Council be Zero with a budget deficit forecast to be in the order of \$1m in 2017-2018? How does the Mayor plan to cover the shortfall in revenue? Will council cash reserves once again be depleted to meet this error in judgement by the Mayor?

Officer's Response:

Council currently has no borrowings due to its strong cash position with \$9.57 million in cash reserves.

The cash position of Council does not always follow the operating result. For the recently completed 2016/17 financial year, cash reserves increased by \$1.89 million while Council recorded a small underlying deficit.

John Breen - Chief Financial Officer

C576/24-17

(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)

5 Kingborough Waste Services Pty Ltd

Mr Mervin Reed has submitted the following question on notice:

Will the Mayor table the document showing the approval of the council for the provision of a guarantee for Kingborough Waste Services Pty Ltd, that is a motion before the council laying out the need for this liability to be met, and at the same time table the actual executed guarantee documents so that ratepayers can be informed of this liability that has been apparently been assumed.

Officer's Response:

There is no separate "guarantee" document that can be tabled that states Council's liability in regard to Kingborough Waste Services (KWS) and nor is there necessary to be

one. KWS is a company that is solely owned by Council and it has always been the case that Council is liable for any financial loss incurred by KWS (acknowledging that KWS made a \$100,000 "profit" in the 2016/17 financial year).

One of the reasons for the establishment of KWS was the benefits obtained from fully transparent and separated financial accounts. This has been the case with regular public reports being provided during the last 6 years. This was made clear in the original objectives of the new entity which included the need for "*transparency in relation to the full costs associated with operating the service ensuring that any cross subsidisation by the Council in relation to gate fees etc are clearly accounted for and recognisable*".

Importantly, in May 2011 Council resolved, amongst various other decisions, (within Closed Session – Minute GF40/3-11 refers) to "*accept that as a wholly owned entity of the Kingborough Council, the Council is responsible for covering any shortfall which may be experienced from time to time in paying all of the financial debts and obligations of Kingborough Waste Services Pty Ltd*".

Tony Ferrier - Deputy General Manager

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

C577/24-17

(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)

Mr Mervin Reed asked the following question without notice:

"My question is to the Mayor and it relates to the Purchasing Policy of the Council.

Given the recent damning report by the Auditor General in regard to the Glenorchy Council I am now concerned that similar issues could exist in Kingborough.

Can you please table at the next council meeting to be included in the minutes a copy of the present policy endorsed by this Council since elected, for the purchasing of goods and services using the ratepayers money.

In your response to my question, would you please also disclose the minimum dollar spend for any purchase to be put out to tender, and for public response, which has been agreed to formally by the Council on motion.

Lastly, please also detail for other purchasing, if competitive pricing is sought in all cases, and at what dollar level is competitive pricing sought, if at all?"

General Manager responds:

Thank you for the question Mr Reed. We will provide a written response for the next meeting.

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

C578/24-17

(Commences at ±5 minutes of audio recording)

1 Storage of Kingborough Rotary Club BBQ Trailer

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“For many years the Kingborough Rotary Club has stored its BBQ trailer under the Kingborough Sports Centre. This was done because the Rotary Club was part of the Emergency Plan for an evacuation centre. They have now been told that there is no Council space for the storage of this trailer and the new Emergency Management Coordinator has sent an e-mail requesting the Rotary Club to vacate the space ASAP. She apologises for the inconvenience and states the Council is still keen to continue with the arrangements for the assistance from Rotary in an emergency situation and hopes this will not be jeopardised in any way. Is this the case that the Council really has no area in any of its properties for the storage of the trailer which is part of the Council’s own evacuation centre plans and can Rotary not use the old school hall at Kingston Park until it’s developed, if there is no room at the sports centre?”

Officer’s Response:

Arrangements have been made to re-organise the space under the Kingborough Sports Centre to enable the Rotary Club’s trailer to remain at this location for the foreseeable future.

Daniel Smee - Manager Governance & Property Services

C579/24-17

(Commences at ±5 minutes of audio recording)

2 Kerbside Waste Collection

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Cr Winter** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“What was the problem with kerbside waste collection in Kingston and Blackmans Bay today?”

Officer’s Response:

The cause of the widespread missed collections on 23 October 2017 was as a result of a mechanical breakdown of multiple Aussie Waste collection vehicles, including the standby vehicle.

Enquiries were also made as to why Council was not notified that a substantial delay might be likely and when delayed collection would be likely to occur. Aussie Waste responded that they didn’t really know how long the truck was going to be unavailable until it was too late. The bins were subsequently collected.

David Reeve - Executive Manager Engineering Services

3 Council Vehicles Policy

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“Does Council have a policy in place regarding employees, the Mayor, people who use Council cars, as we read in the paper recently about a person driving a Council car was unlicensed, what procedures are in place or what policy have we in place to ensure that this doesn't happen with this Council?”

Officer's Response:

Council has a *Motor Vehicle Administrative Policy 9.1* that requires staff to hold a current driver's licence in order to be able to drive a Council vehicle. This policy is supported by provisions contained within the VECOM booking system that records licence details and prevents a vehicle from being booked by a staff member without a valid licence. Use of the Mayoral vehicle is covered under Council's *Payment of Councillors Expenses and Provision of Facilities Policy 2.1* and the Councillor Code of Conduct in which the onus is on the Mayor and Councillors to ensure that Council resources are used appropriately.

Daniel Smee - Manager Governance & Property Services

4 Seat at Beach Road, Snug

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“I raised a question only a month or so ago regarding installing a seat at Beach Road, Snug. Council got a reply back. I'm just concerned, are these jobs being costs correctly because the reason I ask that question is because the figure that I was quoted back was \$250 for the seat, to supply the seat would be that much and the labour involved was more than \$250 because I happen to see them fit the thing. So could the General Manager have a look at that and make sure that, I'm just concerned that these jobs are being costed correctly to each individual project.”

Officer's Response:

As reported at the meeting of the 23 October 2017, the seat installation will cost \$450. The seat was sourced from a small stock of seats located at the depot. The stock is from a variety of sources collected over a number of years including seats removed from other locations and surplus seats from previous projects.

David Reeve - Executive Manager Engineering Services

5 Margate to Snug Shared Pathway

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“As you are aware, we’ve got money in the budget this year for the shared pathway from Margate to Snug and I noticed that TasWater are steam rolling ahead down there at the moment with this trench work. I’m just wondering, is our engineers working with TasWater to save all that good fill. Can it be used as part of the construction rather than them carting it away. Can it be utilised on that path trail?”

Officer’s Response:

Council is liaising with TasWater in regard to their works in the area. Council is expecting the cut and fill balances for the proposed cycleway to be similar and so there have been no identified large amounts of additional fill.

David Reeve - Executive Manager Engineering Services

6 Climate Change Innovation Lab

At the Council meeting on 23 October 2017, **Cr Winter** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

“In relation to the Climate Change Innovation Lab launch:

- *How much did the Launch of the Climate Change Innovation Lab cost?*
- *Where will these expenses be allocated to?*
- *How many guests attended?*
- *How many of those guests were Council staff or Councillors?”*

Officer’s Response:

The letter referred to in the agenda was provided by the Chair of the Climate Change Innovation Lab Tasmania in response to this question.

Gary Arnold – General Manager

7 Public Transport

Cr Atkinson has submitted the following question on notice:

What does Kingborough Council do to implement *Kingborough Council Strategic Plan 2015-2025* Strategy 2.2.5 'Advocate for improved public transport services and infrastructure.' and how is the outcome of this advocacy measured?

Officer's Response:

There is a current MoU between Council and Metro that establishes a framework for cooperation and dialogue. Council Officers have regular meetings with Metro counterparts in regard to infrastructure issues. Meetings are also arranged as necessary to discuss planning matters. A recent example of this was a meeting with the Metro CEO about the need for improved suburban services to reduce the need for park-and-ride within the Kingston CBD. The Mayor and the General Manager (or delegate) also attends the annual Metro/DSG briefings at which local needs can be promoted. However, there is no separate "measurement" of outcomes from such advocacy.

David Reeve - Executive Manager Engineering Services

C585/24-17

(Commences at ±6 minutes of audio recording)

8 Roslyn Avenue Road Safety

Cr Atkinson has submitted the following question on notice:

In light of the concerns expressed by Roslyn Avenue residents regarding:

- a. high traffic volumes and speeds along their street, particularly between Beach and Mount Royal Roads
- b. anticipated increasing travel demands by Blackmans Bay residents (who choose Roslyn Avenue as an access road) brought on by building of new houses in the area
- c. footpaths being narrow, uneven and often blocked by parked cars
- d. lack of convenient and safe options to private car travel (such as public transport, and bicycle and pedestrian paths)

What mitigating actions has Council taken to ensure residents' safety in Roslyn Avenue and the amenity of the street, and what further action is planned?

Officer's Response:

A petition as regards this matter was provided for Council consideration in June 2017 and it was resolved to undertake further consultation with residents and traffic counts for the area. Traffic counts were installed for a six week period during July and August and a meeting held with the initiators of the petition to discuss the findings of the traffic counts. The counts showed that many motorists (including bikes) exceeded the 50 km/hr posted speed limit, however, the traffic volumes were less than previous counts undertaken in 2001, 2004, and 2008. It is envisaged the drop in traffic volume is a direct result of the Kingston Bypass as evidenced by an upward spike in the traffic using Algona Road after the construction of the Bypass.

Council will be holding a follow up meeting with the initiators of the original petition shortly to discuss future options which may include some more detailed traffic analysis and future traffic control measures. Importantly, this conversation needs to be within the context of the difficult topography in the area and the nature of the road as an important spine route.

David Reeve - Executive Officer Engineering Services

9 Transport Strategy

Cr Atkinson has submitted the following question on notice:

1. To what extent is Council guided by the *Kingborough Integrated Transport Strategy (May 2010)* in developing pedestrian, cycling and motor vehicle infrastructure?
2. Is the strategy considered current?
3. How is it planned to be updated?
4. What other strategic documents for the pedestrian and cycling network in Kingborough are currently used?
5. What metrics does Council use to measure adoption of active transport and is there a measurable increase in adoption of active transport as a result of the *Kingborough Council Strategic Plan 2015-2025 Strategy 22.3* 'Provide pathways that improve safety and accessibility for pedestrians, cyclists and other users to make it easier to choose active transport for local trips and recreation.'?

Officer's Response:

- (1) Council now only refers to this Strategy for reference purposes and it is no longer actively used as a guiding document due to the significant changes that have occurred since its preparation.
- (2) Although the Strategy does include relevant information (and is available on Council's website), it is no longer considered current.
- (3) A further review and the production of an updated version of the Strategy is due, however it is not included within any of the Actions in Council's Strategic Delivery Plan up to 2020. There are instead a number of other related Actions that are of a strategic nature – to do with road upgrades, traffic management, parking, public transport, and cycling and pedestrian links.
- (4) Other strategic documents include the Central Kingston Parking Strategy (May 2016), Kingborough Tracks and Trails Strategic Action Plan (2017-22). Council will also take advice from KBAC as to future development of pedestrian and cycleway networks in the Kingborough area.
- (5) There has been no measurement of any changes to “active transport” within Kingborough. This would require a baseline study on which to make comparisons. Council's involvement has essentially been one of providing and promoting opportunities for people to walk and cycle more (eg walking trail development, cycle lanes, Local Links)

David Reeve - Executive Manager Engineering Services

10 Kingston Beach RSL Club

Cr Grace has submitted the following question on notice:

Please advise what locations Council has considered for a new Kingston Beach RSL Club?

Officer's Response:

At this point in time, Council has not considered any specific locations for the Kingston Beach RSL Club other than the land to the rear of the Kingston Beach Oval. Whilst Council gave in principle support for the development of clubrooms at this site, this has been superseded by the more immediate needs of the Kingston Beach Surf Lifesaving Club. Council staff have indicated that they will endeavour to identify alternate locations for clubrooms for the RSL, however, there are currently no obvious sites that would lend themselves to a development of this nature.

Daniel Smee - Manager Governance and Property Services

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

1 Levies, Bruny Island and Cost of Land Acquisition, Esplanade, Margate

Cr Grace asked the following questions without notice:

"I was on the island yesterday. Again I think, and I'm not sure what the setup is with the levies or where it has got to, but I assure you that this Council should be hopefully pushing the government left right and centre, because there were two ferry's running flat out all day, non-stop and the amount of traffic on that island we haven't got a hope of keeping up with the infrastructure ourselves, but I think it's time that the government realised that the levy is a major important issue and the progress down there yesterday also mentioned it, that's why I raise the question.

My second question, has the land acquisition purchase been completed for the roadworks at the Esplanade, Margate? If so, could we have an up to date advice on what the land had cost."

General Manager responds:

First, in relation to the Bruny Island Ferry, Council continues to advocate to the State Government the Council position.

In relation to the completion of the compulsory acquisitions, I can confirm that that process has been completed and I will provide the remainder of your question on notice with a written response, because I don't have the dollar amounts off the top of my head.

2 Public Halls and Solar Panels

Cr Bury asked the following question on notice:

“Council has undertaken successful installation of solar panels on a number of our large buildings. Given the current environment where the costs are such that installations are falling and prices escalating, does Council have a policy or strategy regarding incremental installation of solar panels on other buildings owned by Council, such as our public halls? If not, are there any plans to develop an appropriate strategy?”

Manager Environmental Services responds:

We have been working on our energy efficiency auditing and I expect a significant report to be finished on that by the end of the year and I'm hoping to have a workshop with Councillors early in the New Year about the outcomes of it and I think a key part of that will be exactly what you are asking, Councillor. The best way to prioritise where we may use this kind of infrastructure and the cost benefits of it compared to other capital works. I'm happy to provide an interim response at the next meeting but we will have a lot more covering in that area.

3 Current Sewerage Ponds at Dru Point, Margate

Cr Bury asked the following question without notice:

“Councillors, we all received a copy of a response from the CEO of TasWater which did not address the issue of why the ponds are not to be completely decommissioned when the new pipeline is developed. The only information on this matter to date, as far as I'm aware, has been anecdotal, we've been told second hand. I don't believe we have had anything reported to Council but the ponds are not going to be completely decommissioned. Council currently has consultants preparing a strategic plan for Dru Point. Could Council please have an engineering response from TasWater about plans for these ponds. These ponds, possibly an inappropriate name, were to be completely decommissioned when the original plans were made. Could Council please be provided with an engineering explanation from TasWater about plans for these ponds? Has there been any change in the plans and, if so, why?”

General Manager responds:

Councillor Bury, following a recent Council workshop, we made contact with TasWater. We have since met at the officer level with the Project Manager for the Kingborough Project, Peter Chandler. The verbal advice received at that meeting indicated that whilst the decommissioning will still take place, TasWater were reserving the right to retain one half of one of the ponds at Dru Point, Margate. In the case of an emergency, a spill for example, so that it could be captured and retained on site. We have sought clarity on that and my colleague David Reeve is organising further meetings with TasWater, so we will be able to get the answer that we seek.

Cr Grace:

Did TasWater actually take the ponds over? Are they the owners of the ponds?

Mayor:

They are the owners.

Cr Bury:

My response to that would be that I would like to see some justification in writing. If this new pipeline was being developed anew, there is no way that they would be saving a bit of ponds in case there was an overflow. They would make quite sure that the system was going to work properly.

C591/24-17

(Commences at ±13 minutes of audio recording)

4 Budget and Program Reviews

Cr Bury asked the following question without notice:

“In July two years ago, Council was provided with a detailed and comprehensive review concerning the budget preparation, planned service reviews and community involvement in the budget preparation. The service reviews are, I believe, now complete, or nearly so without, as I understand, leading to any significant financial savings. In fact I can think of at least one occasion it suggested that we should be spending more money. And its not a criticism. The long term financial plan has recently been considered by Council and community involvement in the budget process as, for example, by participatory budgeting, was found to be expensive and time consuming. Council, at that time, wished to see much more community engagement “in the budget process”. Does the General Manager have any suggestions of ways to involve the community in our budget process?”

General Manager responds:

Thank you Councillor Bury. Like all Councillors, I’m aware that there are, for example, various software packages, Survey Monkey, Bang the Table etc that could be used in that context and are regularly used. But I’m also mindful that my colleague, John Breen, our Chief Financial Officer is in the process of preparing a report to come to Council before the end of the year in relation to the Long Term Financial Plan, so I will take the remainder of the question on notice as it may be that he some ideas that could be incorporated into that report.

C592/24-17

(Commences at ±15 minutes of audio recording)

5 Kingston Beach Upgrade

Cr Percey asked the following question without notice:

“I understand Council incurred an additional \$60,000 cost (about 8% of the total cost) and two months delay to ensure there was no damage to the root system of a gum tree next to the beach pathway. My questions are:

- *What was the process undertaken and by whom to decide that these changes, delays and costs were incurred?*
- *Is there a dollar upper limit as to how much Council is prepared to pay to save one tree?*

- *Will the ramps, built up over the tree roots of the gum tree, affect the movement of people through this area, especially those in wheel chairs and those walking with prams or strollers?*
- *Has Council a plan on what species of trees will replace the gum trees (approximately 11 trees) along the beach when they have reached their lifespan or become unsafe?*
- *Will there be a similar potential cost for these other 11 gum trees along the Esplanade as further upgrades occur over the next few years?*
- *What was this type of gum classified as? For example, rare to Kingston etc?"*

General Manager responds:

Thank you Cr Percey. I thought it was going to be an easy response but as the question continued, it will require to be taken on notice and provide a written response.

C593/24-17

(Commences at ±16 minutes of audio recording)

6 Cat Holding Facility on Bruny Island

Cr Percey asked the following question without notice:

"It would appear that Council is possibly going to spend \$60,000 on a cat holding facility (shelter) for Bruny Island. This shelter is for cats, feral or otherwise, that have been brought in by the community prior to them being re-homed or euthanised. I believe that the purchase and installation of this facility has been put out in the marketplace. My questions are:

- *Initially, how many cats are likely to be held in this shelter at any one time?*
- *For what period of time is each cat likely to be held?*
- *How often would this shelter be holding cats?*
- *Whose responsibility will it be to look after the cats during this holding period?*
- *Will there be an ongoing need for such an expensive facility once the initial eradication of feral cats has finished?"*

Manager Environmental Services responds:

Once again, I will take it on notice but it is worth bearing in mind, though, half of that funding was a co-contribution from Ten Lives Cat Centre who are a partner in the project. That's the initial answer but as to the rest I will have to take that on notice and get back to you.

C594/24-17

(Commences at ±18 minutes of audio recording)

7 Roslyn Avenue Road Safety

Cr Atkinson asked the following question without notice:

"I had a follow up question about Roslyn Avenue and the road safety issues. In Mr Reeve's response it says "there will be further discussion but we will include some more detailed traffic analysis and future traffic control measures". I just wanted to ensure whether a reconsideration of the speed limit on Roslyn Avenue would be one of those measures that could be considered and I realise that it's a State Growth controlled issue

but I wanted to know whether that is something that Council can initiate and go to State Growth and request the change in speed limit and also whether a trial change in speed limit can be a possibility to be considered?"

Executive Manager Engineering Services:

The second answer to that one is yes, we will consider all the different options which could include speed limits through that area as well. We have had a follow up meeting with the originators of the petition and have also outlined that to them as well at the same time.

MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

At the time the Agenda was compiled there were no Notices of Motion received.

PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

At the time the Agenda was compiled, no petitions had been received.

OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL ADJOURNS

PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning Authority Meeting commenced at 5.50pm

OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

C595/24-17

(Commences at ±20 minutes of audio recording)

DELEGATED AUTHORITY FOR THE PERIOD 11 OCTOBER 2017 TO 31 OCTOBER 2017

MOVED Cr Chatterton
SECONDED Cr Fox

That the report be noted.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

C596/24-17

(Commences at ±21 minutes of audio recording)

DA-2017-393 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR DECK EXTENSION AND CONVERSION OF GARAGE TO STUDIO AT 29 DELTA AVENUE, TAROONA FOR MR M J LATHAM

MOVED Cr Chatterton
SECONDED Cr Bastone

That the Planning Authority resolves that the report of the Manager Development Services be received and that the development application for deck extension and conversion garage to studio at 29 Delta Avenue, Taroona for Mr M J Latham be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. 2017-393 and Council Plan Reference No. P1 submitted on 6 September 2017. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it

must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. Engineering design drawings must be submitted to Council for approval. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and comply with the following:
 - (a) be in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard construction drawings;
 - (b) detailed internal vehicular access and provision of 3 carparking spaces are required.

The engineering plans must be prepared and certified by a professional Civil Designer or Engineer. Approval of the plans and specifications is required prior to the issue of a Building Permit.

3. The deck addition located on the northern elevation is to have a setback of at least 3m in accordance with the plan P1, page 2.1 of 5, received 6 September 2017.
4. Prior to use of the deck, privacy screening is to be fixed along sections of the deck located less than 3m from a side boundary to a height of at least 1.7m above the finished surface or floor level, with a uniform transparency of not more than 25%. The screens must be retained and maintained for the life of the deck.

ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

C597/24-17

(Commences at ±23 minutes of audio recording)

DA-2017-263 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR TWO UNITS (ONE EXISTING) AT 271 ROSLYN AVENUE, BLACKMANS BAY FOR G HILLS & PARTNERS ARCHITECTS

MOVED Cr Grace
SECONDED Cr Percey

That the Planning Authority resolves that the report of the Manager Development Services be received and that the development application for two units (one existing) and demolition of sheds at 271 Roslyn Avenue, Blackmans Bay for G Hills & Partners Architects be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2017-263 and Council Plan Reference No. P4 submitted on 29 September 2017. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit.

Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. Prior to the issue of a building permit for the development, an amended landscape plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified person to the satisfaction of the Council. When approved, the plan will be endorsed and will then form part of this permit. The plan must show:
 - a. A survey of all existing vegetation and natural features;
 - b. Details of tree protection fencing to be installed prior to commencement of any buildings and works to protect trees to be retained on site.
 - c. The areas set aside for landscaping;
 - d. A schedule of all proposed trees, shrubs/small trees and ground cover. The proposed vegetation must include a variety of species with varying heights to soften the appearance of hardstand and built form.
 - e. The location of each species to be planted and the location of all areas to be covered by grass, lawn or other surface material;
 - f. Paving, retaining walls, fence design details and other landscape works including areas of cut and fill;
 - g. Appropriate irrigation systems, if applicable;
 - h. The provision of at least two canopy trees with a mature height of at least 8 metres.
3. A drainage design plan in accordance with the Director of Building Control Specified List, Schedule 2, at a scale of 1:200, designed by a qualified hydraulic designer, showing the location of the proposed sewer and stormwater house connection drains; including the pipe sizes, pits and driveway drainage, this is also to include drainage from the existing dwelling, this must be submitted with the application for Plumbing Permit.
4. The design and construction works must be generally in accordance with the submitted proposal plan and to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and as follows:
 - (a) Complies with Tasmanian Standard construction drawings.
 - (b) The carparking and vehicle manoeuvring must be of a sealed construction and comply with Australian Standard AS2890.1:2004 (Off street car parking).
 - (c) Visitor carparking signs must be installed for the visitor carparking spaces.
 - (d) Signage noting residential parking for each unit must be installed for the carparking spaces.

- (e) Stormwater discharge from all new impervious areas must be must be disposed of by gravity to public stormwater infrastructure.
 - (f) The engineering plans shall include but not be limited to adequately detailed internal vehicular and pedestrian access, carparking, manoeuvring areas, and drainage services.
 - (g) The engineering plans and specifications must be prepared and certified by an accredited professional Civil Engineer to the approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services.
 - (h) Approval of the plans and specifications is required prior to the issue of a Building Permit.
5. Erosion/siltation infiltration control measures must be applied during construction works in accordance with NRM South Soil and Water Management of Construction Sites – Guidelines, Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-SW28) and to the satisfaction of the Executive Manager – Engineering Services.
 6. The external building materials of all buildings applying to this development must be of types and colours that are sympathetic to the environment and must be to the satisfaction of the Manager – Development Services. Unpainted metal surfaces will not be approved. Plans submitted for building approval must indicate the proposed colour and type of the external building materials.
 7. One (1) visitor parking space must be provided for the proposed development. This visitor parking space must be appropriately signposted prior to occupation of either of the dwellings, and kept available for visitor parking at all times.

Any future application for strata title in respect of the property must ensure that the visitor parking space is included within the common property on the strata plan.
 8. The privacy screen located on the western elevation of the upper level deck of the proposed dwelling must be retained and maintained for the life of the deck.
 9. The landscaping shown on the endorsed plan must be carried out, completed and maintained to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority prior to the occupation of the new dwelling.
 10. The landscaping areas shown on the endorsed plans must be used for landscaping and no other purpose and any landscaping must be maintained to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority, including that any dead, diseased or damaged plants are to be replaced.

ADVICE

- A In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.
- B This Permit does not constitute plumbing approval. The developer should obtain a Plumbing Permit for the development prior to commencing construction. An application and associated documents are to be lodged for a plumbing permit.

- C The Developer should not allocate any property address numbers for the proposed units.

New property addresses have been allocated as follows:

Unit No.	Allocated Property Address
1	1/271 Roslyn Avenue, Blackmans Bay (Existing dwelling)
2	2/271 Roslyn Avenue, Blackmans Bay

These numbers must then be referenced on design and As-Constructed drawings as well as any Strata Plans lodged for sealing.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

C598/24-17

(Commences at ±27 minutes of audio recording)

DA-2017-435 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR SIGNAGE AT 'SHELL SERVICE STATION', 176 ROSLYN AVENUE, BLACKMANS BAY FOR AECOM AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

Cr Fox left the room at 5.58pm

MOVED Cr Grace
SECONDED Cr Bury

That the Planning Authority resolves that the report of the Manager Development Services be received and that the development application for signage at 'Shell Service Station', 176 Roslyn Avenue, Blackmans Bay for Aecom Australia Pty Ltd be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2017-435 and Council Plan Reference No. P1 submitted on 25th September 2017. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.
2. Erosion/siltation infiltration control measures must be applied during construction works in accordance with NRM South Soil and Water Management of Construction Sites – Guidelines, Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-SW28) and to the satisfaction of the Executive Manager – Engineering Services.

3. Any further signage is not permitted without the prior written consent of the Council. Application for a Planning Permit must be submitted to Council, together with relevant documentation.
4. Illumination hours are restricted to the following: 6am to 10pm.

ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Grace
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt	

Carried Unanimously

Cr Fox returned to the room at 6.05pm

C599/24-17

(Commences at ±34 minutes of audio recording)

DA-2017-301 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR 3M WIDE SHARED PATH (FOOTPATH/CYCLEWAY) FROM SNUG TO MARGATE AT OLD CHANNEL HIGHWAY, CHANNEL HIGHWAY, POTHANA ROAD AND CRESCENT DRIVE ROAD RESERVATIONS, LOT 203, 2023 CHANNEL HIGHWAY, ELECTRONA (CT 141189/203), 2 DERWENT AVENUE AND 26 GEMALLA ROAD, MARGATE FOR KINGBOROUGH COUNCIL

MOVED Cr Chatterton
 SECONDED Cr Atkinson

That the Planning Authority resolves that the report of the Manager Development Services be received and that the development application for a 3m wide shared path (footpath/cycleway) from Snug to Margate at Old Channel Highway, Channel Highway, Pothana Road and Crescent Drive road reservations, Lot 203, 2023 Channel Highway, Electrona (CT 141189/203), 2 Derwent Avenue and 26 Gemalla Road, Margate for Kingborough Council be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2017-301 and Council Plan Reference No's. P1 submitted on 6 July 2017 and P2 submitted on 11 September 2017. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. Engineering design drawings must be submitted to Council for approval. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and comply with the following:
 - (a) be in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard construction drawings;
 - (b) include, but not be limited to, adequately detailed internal vehicular and pedestrian access, water reticulation and drainage services;
 - (c) the driveway/access and footpath design must detail the following:
 - long and cross sections of the driveway/access road;
 - cut and fill batters and any stabilisation works required;
 - contours, finish levels and gradients of the driveway/access road;
 - drainage and scour protection;
 - pavement construction; and
 - the provision of passing bays;
 - (d) long section details must be provided for the proposed stormwater infrastructure and supporting documentation and associated hydraulic calculations must be submitted;
 - (e) drainage easements must be provided within the subject property boundaries and the stormwater main must be located centrally within the easement;

The engineering plans and specifications must be prepared and certified by a professional Civil Engineer approved by the Executive Manager - Engineering Services. The engineer must supervise the construction works.

3. The construction works must be undertaken in accordance with the approved drawings. Works must be to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services.
4. Prior to the commencement of site works a soil and water management plan must be submitted to Council for approval. The plan must be in accordance with NRM South Soil and Water Management of Construction Sites – Guidelines and Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-SW28). A site inspection of the implemented plan by the Council's Development Inspector must be satisfactorily undertaken with the principal contractor prior to the commencement of any work on site.
5. The following native vegetation is approved for removal to accommodate the proposed development:
 - (a) One (1) stringybark (*Eucalyptus obliqua*) identified as Tree # 3 in the arborist assessment (Philip Jackson, 6 July 2017) and identified in Section 1 Drg 1 of 12, chainage 1080 (Council Plan Ref P1 received on 6 July 2017);
 - (b) Three (3) black gum (*Eucalyptus ovata*) trees identified in Site 1b in the Addendum by North Barker (10 February 2017) and Section 3, Drwg 3 of 12, chainage 3188-3200 (Council Plan Ref P2 received on 11 September 2017);

- (c) Three (3) black peppermint (*Eucalyptus amygdalina*) trees identified as Trees #47-49 in the arborist assessment (Philip Jackson, 6 July 2017) and identified in Section 4, Drg 4 of 12, chainage 4200-4220 (Council Plan Ref P1 received on 6 July 2017);
- (d) Three (3) black peppermint (*Eucalyptus amygdalina*) trees identified as trees #G62 in the arborist assessment (Philip Jackson, 6 July 2017) and identified in Section 5, Drg 7 of 12, chainage 5720-5780 (Council Plan Ref P1 received on 6 July 2017);
- (e) Up to 475m² of *Eucalyptus amygdalina* forest and woodland on sandstone (DAS) as identified in the ecological assessment (North Barker, 20 December 2016).

This vegetation must not be removed prior to the issue of start of works.

No further felling, lopping, ringbarking or otherwise injuring or destroying of native vegetation or individual trees is to take place without the prior written permission of Council.

6. All remaining native vegetation, including all areas of *Eucalyptus ovata* forest and woodland (DOV), all remaining DAS, swift parrot foraging habitat, and all individual trees identified for retention in the arborist assessment (Philip Jackson, 6 July 2017) must be retained and appropriately protected during and after construction to ensure that no damage is inflicted that may impact upon this vegetation. This includes establishing and maintaining Vegetation Protection Zones between any works and adjacent native vegetation in accordance with the recommendations in the ecological assessment (North Barker, 20 December 2016) and the arborist assessment (Philip Jackson, 6 July 2017) prior to commencement of construction in accordance with AS 4970-2009 to exclude:
 - (a) Storing of building materials;
 - (b) Vehicular traffic;
 - (c) Placement of fill; and
 - (d) Excavation works.

Evidence of satisfactory installation of this fencing is to be provided to Council prior to the commencement of any on-site works.

7. Vehicles and machinery must be restricted to existing tracks/paths and cleared envelopes in locations identified on the approved plans as containing flora and fauna values. In addition any stockpiling of materials is restricted to existing disturbed areas and must not occur within Vegetation Protection Zones.
8. To offset the loss of 475m² of *Eucalyptus amygdalina* forest on sandstone (DAS) and three (3) trees of high conservation value (all *Eucalyptus ovata*), a financial offset at a rate of \$12,000/hectare and an offset ratio of 5:1 for the DAS and \$500/tree for the high conservation value trees must be paid to Council's Environmental Fund. This offset, totalling \$4,350, is to be used solely for the management of DAS and swift parrot habitat in the vicinity of Snug/Margate. Payment must be made prior to the removal of the vegetation and the commencement of any on-site works.
9. Due to the presence of environmental and declared weeds, prior to the commencement of any on-site works, a Weed and Plant Pathogen Management Plan developed by a suitably qualified consultant and to the satisfaction Council's Manager Development Services must be submitted. This Plan must detail:

- (a) weed management measures which are in accordance with recognised protocols to limit the risk of introducing or spreading 'declared' and other environmental weeds or plant pathogens to the areas of native vegetation free of weeds within close proximity to the track route;
- (b) appropriate methods of treatment for any declared and environmental weeds within the construction footprint, including timeframes and follow-up where required;
- (c) weed hygiene measures required to ensure the risk of the spread of weeds from the site during clearing and construction is minimized, including sourcing of material and a requirement for the wash-down of machinery before entering site.

All measures identified in this plan must be satisfactorily implemented.

10. All works within and adjacent to waterways must be designed and constructed so as to:
 - (a) minimise impacts on existing natural values, including riparian vegetation and in-stream habitat and bank condition;
 - (b) retain existing flow capacity;
 - (c) retain existing waterway bed levels;
 - (d) include mitigation measures at entry and exit of any culverts and pipes to minimise scouring and erosion;
 - (e) incorporate sediment control measures to prevent sediment entering the waterway;
 - (f) be consistent with the 'Wetlands and Waterways Works Manual' (DPIWE, 2003).
11. All repair and sediment management measures specified in the report by Pitt & Sherry (24 February 2017) and identified in Section 1, Drg 2 of 12 (Council Plan Ref P1 received on 6 July 2017) must be satisfactorily implemented to mitigate the risk of landslip along the Old Channel Highway.
12. A "start works" notice must be lodged with Council fourteen (14) days prior to the commencement of any on-site works and works must not commence until this notice has been approved by Council.

ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL RESUMES

Open session of Council resumed at 6.18pm

OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

POLICY ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF BUSHFIRE HAZARD MANAGEMENT AREAS ON COUNCIL OWNED AND MANAGED LAND

Withdrawn

C600/24-17

(Commences at ±48 minutes of audio recording)

RECREATIONAL VEHICLE CAMPING

MOVED Cr Atkinson
SECONDED Cr Winter

That Council resolves not to approve the draft Kingborough RV Strategy (Sept. 2016) and to deal with Recreational Vehicle related issues or requests on their respective merits in future.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox	Cr Wass
Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt			

AGAINST

Cr Bastone	Cr Grace	Cr Percey		
------------	----------	-----------	--	--

Carried

PARTICIPATION ON TASSAL COMMUNITY ADVISORY FORUM

MOVED Cr Chatterton
 SECONDED Cr Winter

That Council notes the further information provided regarding the Forum and supports the NRM Coordinator to represent Council on the Tassal Southern Region Community Advisory Forum.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox	Cr Percey	Cr Winter
Cr Wass				

AGAINST

Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Grace	Cr Wriedt	
------------	---------	----------	-----------	--

Carried

PROPOSED NEW ROAD NAMES

MOVED Cr Chatterton
 SECONDED Cr Percey

That the following road names be approved and submitted to the Nomenclature Board:

1. **Hutchins Street Subdivision, Kingston – DAS-2015-54 – 22 Lots**

Panoramic Drive (KC1353) (refer figure 1 within report)

2. **Kingston Park and Kingston CBD precinct**

Pardalote Parade (refer figure 2 within report)

Goshawk Way (refer figure 2 within report)

Skipper Lane (refer figure 2 within report)

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt	

AGAINST

Cr Grace				
----------	--	--	--	--

Carried

SMOKE-FREE AREAS - KINGSTON PARK

MOVED Cr Bury
 SECONDED Cr Atkinson

That Council in principle endorse the areas of Kingston Park identified in this report to be declared smoke-free in accordance with the *Public Health Act 1997*, noting that subsequent reports will be provided for formal approval of the declaration, at the relevant stages of construction.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

POSITIVE AGEING POLICY REVIEW

MOVED Cr Grace
 SECONDED Cr Fox

That Council approve the updated Positive Ageing Policy 4.10, as included in the attachment to this report.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

INFORMATION REPORTS

MOVED Cr Winter
 SECONDED Cr Fox

That the following information reports be noted:

1. General Manager's Diary for the period 3 October 2017 to 6 November 2017.
2. Current and Previous Minute Resolutions.
3. Minutes Kingborough Access Advisory Committee dated 11 October 2017.
4. Minutes Kingborough Road Safety Committee dated 11 October 2017.
5. Minutes Kingborough Bicycle Advisory Committee dated 20 October 2017.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION

MOVED Cr Percey
 SECONDED Cr Atkinson

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* the following items are to be dealt with in Closed Session.

Matter	Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Reference
Applications for Leave of Absence	15(2)(h)
Subdivision Appeal - DA-2017-227 - Development Application for Shed and Change of Use to Micro Brewery at 60 Mountain Road, Allens Rivulet for A T Berresford	15(2)(g)
Tender Assessment - AB1705 Beach Road Reconstruction, Margate	15(2)(d)

Tender Assessment - TS2764 Lightwood Park Lighting Upgrades	15(2)(d)
Antarctic Experience - Student Selection	15(2)(g)
Current and Previous Minute Resolutions	15(2)(c)

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

C607/24-17

CLOSED SESSION

MOVED Cr Fox
 SECONDED Cr Grace

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* that Council move into Closed Session.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

In accordance with the *Kingborough Council Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting ceased.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 7.20pm

OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.41pm

C615/24-17

MOVED Cr Fox
 SECONDED Cr Winter

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Subject	Decisions/Documents
Applications for Leave of Absence	Nil
Subdivision Appeal - DA-2017-227 - Development Application for Shed and Change of Use to Micro Brewery at 60 Mountain Road, Allens Rivulet for A T Berresford	Recommendation confirmed
Tender Assessment - AB1705 Beach Road Reconstruction, Margate	Tender awarded to Duggans Pty Ltd for \$503,607 ex GST
Tender Assessment - TS2764 Lightwood Park Lighting Upgrades	Tender awarded to RBD Electrical Pty Ltd for \$250,803 ex GST
Antarctic Experience - Student Selection	Recommendation confirmed
Current and Previous Minute Resolutions	Noted

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

Cr Grace left the meeting at 7.44pm

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.47pm

.....
 (Confirmed)

.....
 (Date)