

# Kingborough

## **PUBLIC MINUTES**

These Minutes are provided for the  
assistance and information of members  
of the public.

# MINUTES

**13 December 2017**



Back (L – R): Cr Paul Chatterton, Cr Mike Percey, Cr Sue Bastone, Cr Dean Winter, Cr Richard Atkinson  
Front (L – R): Cr Dr Graham Bury, Cr Flora Fox, Mayor Cr Steve Wass, Deputy Mayor Cr Paula Wriedt, Cr David Grace

# ORDER OF BUSINESS

Minute No's		Agenda Page No.	Minute Page No.
	Apologies	2	1
C677/26-17	Confirmation of Minutes of Council Meeting No. 25 held on 27 November 2017	2	2
	Workshops held Since Council Meeting on 27 November 2017	2	2
C678/26-17	Declarations of Interest	2	2
	Transfer of Agenda Items	3	2
	Questions on Notice from the Public	4	2
	Questions Without Notice from the Public	4	2
	Questions on Notice from Councillors	4	3
C679/26-17	1 New Flight Paths Over Kettering and Bruny Island	4	3
C680/26-17	2 Bees	5	3
C681/26-17	3 Budget and Community Involvement	5	4
C682/26-17	4 Convict Stone Wall Repair, Bonnet Hill	6	5
C683/26-17	5 Snug Beach	6	5
C684/26-17	6 Old Vodafone Site, Huntingfield	7	6
C685/26-17	7 Kingston Beach Pontoon	7	6
C686/26-17	8 Delegated Authority Policy	8	7
	Questions Without Notice from Councillors	8	7
C687/26-17	1 Australian Antarctic Division		7
C688/26-17	2 Greater Hobart Strategic Alliance		8
C689/26-17	3 Budget		8
C690/26-17	4 Margate Cricket Club		9
C691/26-17	5 Storage Capacity of Water by Businesses		9

## ORDER OF BUSINESS *(cont.)*

Minute No's		Agenda Page No.	Minute Page No.
C692/26-17	6 Accidents on Lighthouse Road, Bruny Island		9
C693/26-17	7 Kingston Beach Pontoon		10
C694/26-17	8 Blackmans Bay Blowhole		10
C695/26-17	9 Traffic Congestion on the Southern Outlet		11
C696/26-17	10 Non-development of site in Margate		11
	Motions of Which Notice has been Given	8	13
	Petitions Still Being Actioned	8	13
	Petitions Received in Last Period	8	13
	Officers Reports to Planning Authority	9	14
C697/26-17	Delegated Authority for the Period 15 November 2017 to 28 November 2017	9	14
C698/26-17	DA-2017-437 - Development Application for Caravan Park, Wastewater Facility and Signage at 927 Cloudy Bay Road, South Bruny for Design Carvalho	12	14
C699/26-17	DAS-2015-6 - Application for Subdivision of Four Lots, Balance Lot and Road Lot and Road Upgrade Works at 26 Corbys Road and Adjoining Crown Reserve Road, Kettering for PDA Surveyors	53	17
	Officers Reports to Council	88	24
C700/26-17	Policy on the Establishment of Bushfire Hazard Management Areas on Council Owned and Managed Land	88	24
C701/26-17	Osborne Esplanade One Way Trial and Carparking	99	25
C702/26-17	Public Interest Disclosures Policy and Procedures	105	26
C703/26-17	Waste Management Strategy	137	26
C704/26-17	Snug Oval Play Space Development	173	27

## ORDER OF BUSINESS (cont.)

Minute No's		Agenda Page No.	Minute Page No.
C705/26-17	Update on Kingston Park Development Proposals	194	27
C706/26-17	Information Reports	205	28
	Financial Report for the Period 1 July 2017 to 30 November 2017	206	
	Infrastructure Works Progress Report	228	
	Current and Previous Minute Resolutions	237	
	Notices of Motion Moved at the Annual General Meeting Held on 2 December 2017	242	
C707/26-17	Confirmation of Items to be Dealt With in Closed Session	243	29

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held at the Kingborough Civic Centre, Kingston on Wednesday, 13 December 2017 at 5.30pm.

	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>Time Occupied</b>
Open Council	5.30pm	5.53pm	23 minutes
Planning Authority	5.53pm	6.25pm	37 minutes
Open Council	6.25pm	7.52pm	1 hour, 27 minutes
Closed Council	7.52pm	8.18pm	26 minutes
Open Council	8.18pm	8.19pm	1 minute
<b>TOTAL TIME OCCUPIED</b>			<b>2 hours, 49 minutes</b>

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL OWNERS**

---

The Chairman acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the traditional and original owners and continuing custodians of the land on which we met, and acknowledged elders past and present.

## **ATTENDEES**

---

### **Councillors:**

Mayor Councillor S Wass	✓
Deputy Mayor Councillor P Wriedt	✓
Councillor R Atkinson	✓
Councillor S Bastone	✓
Councillor Dr G Bury	✓
Councillor P Chatterton	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor D Grace	✓
Councillor M Percey	✓
Councillor D Winter	✓

### **Staff:**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>NAME</b>
Acting General Manager	Mr Tony Ferrier
Chief Financial Officer	Mr John Breen
Executive Manager Organisational Development	Ms Pene Hughes
Executive Manager Information Services	Mr Fred Mout
Executive Manager Engineering Services	Mr David Reeve
Manager Environmental Services	Mr Jon Doole
Manager Development Services	Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore
Bushfire Management Officer	Ms Meg Lorang
Media & Communications Officer	Ms Sarah Wilcox
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

## **APOLOGIES**

---

There were no apologies.

## **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING NO. 25 HELD ON 27 NOVEMBER 2017**

---

MOVED            Cr Fox  
 SECONDED      Cr Bastone

That the Minutes of Council Meeting No. 25 held on 27 November 2017 be confirmed.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

## **WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE COUNCIL MEETING ON 27 NOVEMBER 2017**

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>PURPOSE</b>
4 December 2017	Kingston Park & Tassal

## **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

---

Cr Winter declared an interest in the report headed 'Osborne Esplanade One Way Trial and Carparking' report in the Agenda.

## **TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS**

---

There were no items transferred.

## **QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

---

There were no questions on notice from the public.

## **QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

---

There were no questions without notice from the public.

## QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

---

C679/26-17

(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)

### 1 New Flight Paths over Kettering and Bruny Island

At the Council meeting on 27 November 2017, **Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*“Due to the ongoing protests of residents from Dunalley regarding the new flight path to Hobart from Southern Australia, Air Services Australia has released alternative flight paths. Has the Kingborough Council been consulted by Air Services Australia given that one of these flight paths will have planes flying over Kettering and Bruny Island at a low level?”*

#### Officer’s Response:

Council was not directly consulted by Airservices Australia about potential changes to the Hobart airport flight paths. A handout was previously provided for public information that described four alternative flight paths. The fourth option was one that passed over Kettering, Oyster Cove, Snug and north Bruny Island. Council was not aware of this proposal and the closure date for feedback was 19 November 2017.

Soon after that date, Airservices produced a Review Report that assessed the four options and the public submissions that had been received. In regard to the fourth option, the report states that “there was little written comment received on Alternative 4 but the prevailing view expressed at the community session was that it was an unrealistic option given the area is not currently overflowed”.

The conclusion of the report was that Alternative 2 would be implemented as soon as possible. This involves moving further away from Dunalley, Copping and Kellevie than is currently the case resulting in an improved noise outcome for some existing affected areas. This will be implemented in March 2018. As there was no community consensus for this Alternative 2, Airservices will be undertaking an additional longer public consultation process over the next year 12 to 18 months.

*Tony Ferrier - Acting General Manager*

C680/26-17

(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)

### 2 Bees

At the Council meeting on 27 November 2017, **Cr Chatterton** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*“We have had a real problem down at Kettering and I have had numerous completes about 40 beehives being on a residential property in Kettering. The bees, when they leave the nest in the morning come out of the nest and poop and when they got to the hills and feed they poop before they go back into the hives and they are making a huge mess on boats and cars and washing etc. Upon talking to staff here, we have no policy or by-law that affects bees, although some Councils to have them. I spoke to the State Government and there is no State Government by-law affecting bees but there is a code of conduct that is put out by the Australian Bee Keepers Association and what should*

*happen and also a recommendation of the number of hives that should be on a square meter piece of land. Staff have said to me here that it would probably be a very good idea if we had a by-law or incorporated into a by-law control of bees in residential areas. My question is can we have some sort of report from the Environmental staff and see whether or not we can have some controls for beekeeping in residential areas?"*

**Officer's Response:**

If Council did determine that it was necessary to control beekeeping within the municipality, then the appropriate mechanism would be by way of the existing Health and Environmental Services By-law 2011. Part 4 of this By-law provides for the "control of animals and poultry". The City of Hobart's Health and Environmental Services By-law 2008 includes controls on the "keeping of bee hives". This By-law is being reviewed and the draft replacement retains some beekeeping controls. The Glenorchy City Council also has within its Animal Management By-law 2014 various controls on beekeeping, particularly within residential areas. There are also other mainland council examples of beekeeping provisions contained within local By-laws. Kingborough Council does receive the occasional complaint about beekeeping in suburban areas and has found these difficult to deal with in the absence of any specific controls. Bees themselves are of course extremely important for crop pollination and the natural environment, and there are major concerns about the global decline in bee numbers. However the placement or number of hives may need to be controlled in more built up areas. Council's environmental staff will review the options in this regard and whether amendments to the existing By-law are necessary now or can wait until the scheduled review during 2020.

*Tony Ferrier - Acting General Manager*

C681/26-17

*(Commences at ±3 minutes of audio recording)*

**3 Budget and Community Involvement**

At the Council meeting on 27 November 2017, **Cr Bury** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*"My question is a follow up on the question I asked about budget and possible community involvement. The response provided by staff provides a number of suggested possibilities for involvement which I think exclusively were based on electronic media. I don't have a problem with that at all. But the question is, will we receive the report with recommendations concerning that or do I need to take any further action?"*

**Officer's Response:**

Further investigations will be conducted over the next few months. These investigations will be considering what online forum would be most suitable and any other related implications – such as the need for ongoing moderation of expressed views, how it would be used to obtain comments about other Council initiatives or activities, the benefits of obtaining constructive comment from an informed public and the associated additional costs. These investigations will be conducted over the next two to three months and the results will be reported back to Council.

*Tony Ferrier - Acting General Manager*



#### 4 Convict Stone Wall Repair, Bonnet Hill

At the Council meeting on 27 November 2017, **Cr Wass** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*“My question relates to, several months ago, when DSG advised that they would repair the convict stone wall at the end of the straight on Bonnet Hill and I ask if staff could follow up when the repair work is likely to be undertaken and seeking DSG to have the work completed before Christmas.”*

**Officer’s Response:**

The issue with the wall was reported to the Department of State Growth some time ago and they have now included it within the current third and final stage of road upgrades for enhanced bicycle safety. The contractor undertaking these works is to repair the wall and this should be completed by the end of the 2017 calendar year.

*Tony Ferrier- Acting General Manager*

#### 5 Snug Beach

At the Council meeting on 27 November 2017, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*“A question was raised here by one of the Councillors regarding the condition of Snug Beach. It’s appalling. Half the time you can’t get along the beach, most of the beach on the western end of the beach, because of the growth. As I understand from listening to the tape it was said, by Council staff again, that this is being maintained by the environmental group down at Snug. Mr Mayor, I haven’t seen and a lot of other people who have lived there all their lives, haven’t seen any activity for a long, long time down there on that beach. We are wondering, and there are snakes there, there have been reports of snakes, and those infested with blue bottles, those big blue bottle stingers. And we again would like some clarification. Again, in 1967, that was the haven people had to go to that beach to escape the bushfires. Well, I tell you what, I wouldn’t like to be standing on the beach when a bushfire come through, you would get burnt to death in the water with the amount of growth that is there.”*

**Officer’s Response:**

The vegetation along Snug Beach is the result of deliberate action by the Snug Land and Coast Care Group and Council to help stabilise the dunes, slow the rate of coastal erosion and provide habitat and provide shade trees for the future. There is no infestation of environmental weeds and the area is well covered by native shrubs and ground covers. It is acknowledged that there are a number of wattles growing out over the sand, impeding access at high tide, and it is proposed to trim and prune these in the near future. They were previously trimmed in 2011 because of similar concerns.

*Tony Ferrier - Acting General Manager*

**6 Old Vodafone Site, Huntingfield**

**Cr Winter** has submitted the following question on notice:

What impact has Vodafone leaving Huntingfield had on Council revenue?

**Officer's Response:**

Council's rate revenue from the ex-Vodafone property has declined because the Capital Value was reduced, on appeal, by the Valuer General. The reduction in the Capital Value caused the total annual revenue from the property to decrease by \$42,152.50.

*Tony Ferrier - Acting General Manager*

**7 Kingston Beach Pontoon**

**Cr Winter** has submitted the following question on notice:

- Is the Kingston Beach pontoon salvageable?
- Will Council now review the location of the Kingston Beach pontoon?
- Will a pontoon be available at Kingston Beach for use during summer 2017-18?

**Officer's Response:**

At the date of writing this response (5 December 2017) the following had been undertaken:

- The pontoon had been removed from the beach and barricaded off in an adjacent car parking area.
- A preliminary assessment had been undertaken by an independent consultant. From this it appears the damage sustained is minor, with no obvious structural issues. It should therefore be salvageable.
- Contact had been made with the supplier/designer of the pontoon seeking answers as to the likely cause of the pontoon being separated from its mooring with a view to providing an improved solution.

The location of the pontoon was carefully chosen based on a number of factors including:

- The protection afforded from the headland and the adjacent seawall against waves
- The required depth of water to ensure that the pontoon can be used safely
- The distance of the pontoon from land to ensure it is accessible by swimmers.

In the recent event, all sections of Kingston Beach were subject to significant swells and there may not have been any better location, however this will be further assessed. It is worthwhile noting that the seawall adjacent to the pontoon was overtopped by the waves and also suffered some damage in the recent event.

It is a priority to re-establish the pontoon this summer period but this will be dependent on the advice received from the supplier/designer as to options available to provide an improved anchoring system for the pontoon.

*David Reeve - Executive Manager Engineering Services*

C686/26-17

*(Commences at ±4 minutes of audio recording)*

## **8 Delegated Authority Policy**

**Cr Winter** has submitted the following question on notice:

Was Council's 4 December media statement regarding the Kingston Beach pontoon consistent with its recently updated Delegated Authority Policy?

### **Officer's Response:**

Council's media statement was prepared and submitted in accordance with Delegated Authority Policy 1.1 which delegates to the General Manager the functions relating to Sec.27(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 1993*. This section authorises staff to speak to the media in relation to specific program areas where the focus of the story is on the delivery of a particular service or project.

*Tony Ferrier - Acting General Manager*

## **QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

C687/26-17

*(Commences at ±4 minutes of audio recording)*

## **1 Australian Antarctic Division**

**Cr Atkinson** asked the following question without notice:

*"A week or so ago there was reports in the media about the Macquarie Point development and it included discussion about the possibility of the Australian Antarctic Division moving their headquarters there as their facilities become old in Kingston. I'm interested in what information Council may have about any discussions on that subject and what efforts we have made to ensure that the Antarctic Division and associated Antarctic industries remain in Kingborough."*

### **Acting General Manager responds:**

We have had no contact with anyone directly proposing that the AAD move to Macquarie Point. All we know is what has been recorded in the media. Council is engaging in some conversations with other Councils and the State Government over the next few weeks on a number of related matters in regard to strategic alliances and City Acts and things like that. At this stage we just plan to monitor the situation before we put any specific position forward. But really it's just a brief mention in the media and that's as far as it's gone at this stage.

**Cr Bury** asked the following questions without notice:

## **2 Greater Hobart Strategic Alliance**

*“My first question is relating to another article in the Mercury about four Councils, I think it was called ‘Greater Hobart’ and I have to be careful not to say amalgamation. I did notice the comment from yourself, Mayor, that we hadn’t discussed it and I wondered what plans there are to discuss that issue?”*

### **Acting General Manager responds:**

At this stage we are waiting for some further conversations with the other Councils on an informal basis. I anticipate that would be the subject of a workshop with Councillors and then a report to Council which would eventually develop a position that this Council could put forward in regard to this.

### **Cr Bury:**

Is there some sort of time scale? Are we talking this year, next year?

### **Acting General Manager responds:**

I would anticipate that we are talking around the February / March area in regard to a workshop and report to Council. That is when I anticipate that we would have enough information to bring forward.

## **3 Budget**

*“My second question was a follow up on the response to my question about seeking the community views on budget and I thank Mr Ferrier for his response, which I appreciate. Is it really necessary for us to wait two to three months for some information, because if it drags on until March whatever process is decided will not be available for this budget session. I was thinking of an online, and I’m naïve enough to think that our communication officer could pop something together in a couple of hours. But dissuade me of that please.”*

### **Acting General Manager responds:**

This question relates to the response earlier on in the meeting about the budget and community involvement. Where I’ve mentioned in the response that these investigations will be conducted over the next two to three months, it really was following a discussion with the Chief Financial Officer, that we felt that it would take a few months just to pull a response back together. I will have further discussions with him and see if we can do it a little faster.

**Cr Grace** asked the following questions without notice:

**4 Margate Cricket Club**

*“Is staff aware of any problems relating to the Margate Cricket Club in Van Morey Road? This is something that has been raised to me by some people about cricket balls going out onto the road and into people’s property. They are not anti-cricket but I have not been aware and I don’t think any other Councillors are aware that we have problems at Margate. I do recall that we had problems at Kingston Beach. Therefore, my question is, could we be informed please?”*

**Acting General Manager responds:**

Unless another member of staff can indicate to me that they know anything about this. I know nothing of it, so I will take the question on notice.

**5 Storage capacity of water by businesses**

*“My second question is, and it was raised again on Sunday at Bruny Island, water, we don’t now have anything to do with the water, it’s TasWater, but the planning scheme, what’s actually in the planning scheme for businesses to store? Is there a clause on storage capacity? How much capacity of water does a business need to store?”*

**Acting General Manager responds:**

I might pass this on to Ms Tyler-Moore in response to that question but my understanding is that the only requirements within the planning scheme are for storage for bushfire purposes if it is in a bushfire prone area. Council doesn’t dictate how much water storage they might need for domestic or business purposes. That would be largely a response from that house or that business as to what their own needs are.

**6 Accidents on Lighthouse Road, Bruny Island**

*“My final question and raised on Bruny Island on Sunday, is the accidents that occur on Lighthouse Road. I believe there have been five and another one last week so in a matter of short time, these accidents. This again, and let me point out if I may, it’s not relating to the actual condition of the road. The people think, and I drove on part of that road just to have a look, the road is in perfect condition, I believe, for that sort of rural road. I think the problem is like one lady said at the meeting on Sunday, you’ve got 60kms per hour and then 90 on another. So there is a bit of confusion and should it be 60 all the way through and this is what the group mentioned on Sunday that maybe if Council could look at it and see whether or not the 90 sign be taken out and probably a couple more signs on that road warning the tourists that its only 60. As I said, it doesn’t matter what we do to the road it won’t stop the problem.”*

**Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

Lighthouse Road is split between Council and State Growth but I’m not aware where the actual change of the speed limits is between those two sections but we can certainly investigate that and provide a response.

**Cr Winter** asked the following questions without notice:

**7 Kingston Beach Pontoon**

*“Is there any further information in relation to the Kingston Beach pontoon since the agenda for this meeting was compiled last week?”*

**Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

We have assessed the pontoon as mentioned in the answer to the question on notice. The damage is actually minor. It basically just damaged one of the ladders and a couple of minor spot welds that we will need to do. We are aiming to put that back in the water on Tuesday. We have looked at the anchoring systems and the position of the pontoon and are just getting the final certification of that from the consulting engineer and hopefully we will have a way of getting that in so people can use it over the Christmas period.

**8 Blackmans Bay Blowhole**

*“Can we please be updated about the situation at the Blackmans Bay blowhole, in particular with regard to the management of the area and any plans to upgrade fencing and signage where a young girl tragically lost her life almost 12 months ago?”*

**Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

We are still waiting on the final coronial findings before we make some final decisions on that particular section of land. At the moment, the land is not actually vested in Council, so that would be our first step in terms of having a look at that and then from there we would also look at what might actually happen with the signage and also the fencing in that area. It's just a sequential process we are going through at the moment.

**Cr Winter:**

My issue with this is that we are almost 12 months down the track and we are still waiting for the results of a coronial enquiry and it seems to me that these things can go on for quite some time. Is Council prepared to consider to undertaking some actions before the results of that process are known?

**Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

That's a difficult one to answer. Ultimately that would be a decision for Council to make if they wished to actually go forward and say, regardless of the coronial findings we will go ahead and enact the rest of the steps in terms of the ownership of the land or the management of the land plus the fences and the signs. The reason to wait for the coronial findings was to make sure that we didn't put anything in place that was then in disagreement with what the coronial findings would actually be, particularly to do with things like the height of fences. But that would be up to Council if they wished to go down that track.

**Cr Wriedt** asked the following question without notice:

**9 Traffic Congestion on the Southern Outlet – talks with State Government**

*“I note with interest that the Tasmanian Government announced this week about the \$55 million project to duplicate some of the Tasman Highway lanes near Sorell. And I’m sure that’s great news for the residents of that area but I would be interested in knowing whether there have been any further talks with the State Government about the continued congestion that the Kingborough residents have on the Southern Outlet and particularly, once they’ve reached the Southern Outlet, leading into Macquarie Street, day after day. I would have thought that now is the time for the discussions to take place given that we have Spring Farm and other developments coming online, we will have increased numbers of commuters going into the city and there seems to be, now that we’ve got the works underway at Summerleas Road and Huon Highway, which were long overdue, it feels to me that the residents of the Kingborough area have been forgotten in any potential roadworks that have been put forward.”*

**Acting General Manager responds:**

There has been no specific discussions with the government in relation to congestion in regard to Kingborough on its own. Officers have had some conversations with DSG and Metro in relation to improved public transport services but as far as the actual issue of congestion is concerned that really has only been discussed with the State Government and the other Councils in a group forum. We haven’t had the one on one conversations as yet with the government in relation to Kingborough’s specific concerns.

**Cr Wriedt:**

Will there be plans to do that ahead of the State Election because I think this is obviously an issue that is of growing concern to people who have to travel into the city or beyond the city for work every day.

**Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

I’ll take that on notice and I will discuss it with Gary Arnold when he returns to work tomorrow.

**Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice:

**10 Non-development of site in Margate**

*“Is there anything we can do about the non-development of the site in Margate and the disgraceful condition that it is in? It’s getting worse and worse. The fence is uneven, the weeds are there and it looks like a shanty town and it’s not a good look. People go past it on their way to Bruny Island, we are pushing ourselves as a tourism destination and it’s fairly awful. I drive past it every day.”*

**Acting General Manager responds:**

Council has contacted the owner of the land and the developer of the site on a number of occasions and has also written on a number of occasions outlining the general

community's concern in regard to that particular site and we haven't had any co-operation from the owner. We don't have any powers as such. The planning permit has substantially commenced from the work done to date. Unfortunately other than issuing abatement notices under the Local Government Act, there is little ability for Council to use the planning legislation to clean up the site. We have identified some concerns with weeds and the general condition of the site in the past and I understand that one cleanup has occurred but it is probably back to the condition it was when we originally complained about it. I can assure the Councillors that we have contacted the owner on a number of occasions in that regard.

**Cr Bastone:**

Is there nothing we can do about the condition of the fencing? I would have thought that the fencing is non-compliant as it is?

**Acting General Manager responds:**

It's probably Workplace Standards or another statutory organisation which would handle that aspect to it, as it is a worksite. The legislation doesn't come under Councils control. As I said, the best opportunity we could do is use the Local Government Act's provisions for abatement notices on the condition of the land. It becomes a moot point as to how unsightly it is and as to how far we can go with an abatement notice. But that is something that we have certainly threatened the owner with and he did arrange for a cleanup of the site following that and that is probably what we will have to do again.

**Cr Grace:**

Just on that same question, as you know I've raised it I don't know how many times. The developer doesn't want to talk about it. It is an absolute disgrace. We had the article in the Chronicle and I understand that there were numerous people .....

**Mayor:**

Your question?

**Cr Grace:**

I think it's time that you and the General Manager, on behalf of the residents of Kingborough, pin this developer down and give him an undertaking that we are dissatisfied with the performance to date. We, as a Council, voted and we spent the \$250,000 twelve months ago on a road extension down there for his development and to date we have had nothing in return and Council is in the right. We will have to wait, I guess, until that fence blows out onto the highway and somebody is seriously injured then we might get some notice taken. But you Mayor, as Mayor and the General Manager, for goodness sake, if we could meet and get a report on this on a monthly basis until we get some action on it.

**Acting General Manager responds:**

We will take that on notice in the sense that we will try and do that. We have in the past tried to do that and he has refused to engage with us. So it has been very difficult. But again, I will talk to Gary Arnold when he returns to work tomorrow and we will attempt to meet with the developer and I will report back to Council on the progress of those discussions.



## **MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

---

There were no Notices of Motion received.

## **PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED**

---

There are no petitions still being actioned.

## **PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD**

---

No petitions had been received.

**OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL ADJOURNS**

## PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning Authority Meeting commenced at 5.53pm

### **OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY**

---

C697/26-17

*(Commences at ±22 minutes of audio recording)*

#### **DELEGATED AUTHORITY FOR THE PERIOD 15 NOVEMBER 2017 TO 28 NOVEMBER 2017**

---

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
SECONDED       Cr Bury

That the report be noted.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

C698/26-17

*(Commences at ±28 minutes of audio recording)*

#### **DA-2017-437 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR CARAVAN PARK, WASTEWATER FACILITY AND SIGNAGE AT 927 CLOUDY BAY ROAD, SOUTH BRUNY FOR DESIGN CARVALHO**

---

MOVED            Cr Grace  
SECONDED       Cr Percey

That the Planning Authority resolves that the report of the Manager Development Services be received and that the development application for caravan park, wastewater facility and signage at 927 Cloudy Bay Road, South Bruny for Design Carvalho be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2017-437 and Council Plan Reference No. P2 submitted on 4 November 2017. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. The developer must obtain a Plumbing Permit for an onsite wastewater management system in accordance with the site and soil evaluation and system design by Geo-Environmental Solutions dated May 2017. This application must be to the satisfaction of Council's Environmental Health Officer and should accompany any application for a Building Permit for the development.
3. No felling, lopping, ringbarking or otherwise injuring or destroying of native vegetation or individual trees is approved as part of this planning permit.

A Landscaping plan must be submitted for endorsement by Council and is to include Eucalyptus viminalis trees and a variety of native understorey species local to the area. No weeds listed under the Weed Management Act 1999 or and identified as non-declared priority weeds in the Kingborough Weed Management Strategy are to be planted on the property. The landscaping plan must consider sight lines for road users along the frontage of the property.

The landscaping is to be installed:

- (i) along the western boundary of the property in the vicinity of the proposal caravan park a minimum length of 200m in those locations where existing native vegetation does not provide a visual screen of 2m in height and;
- (ii) (ii) along the side boundary of the site that separates the proposed caravan park from 835 Cloudy Bay Road for a minimum length of 100m within 10m of the property boundary with Cloudy Bay Road.

The landscaping under (i) and (ii) is to provide a visual screen of the development and the plants included must have a minimum mature height of 2m and a maximum mature transparency of 30% for the length of the landscaping area.

The landscaping must be:

Installed in accordance with the endorsed landscaping plan prior to use; and

Maintained as part of the visitor accommodation to the satisfaction of the Manager of Development Services. It must not be removed, destroyed or lopped without the written consent of the Council.

4. Engineering design drawings must be submitted to Council for approval. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and comply with the following:
  - (a) be in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard construction drawings;
  - (b) include, but not be limited to, adequately detailed internal vehicular access, carparking, manoeuvring areas and drainage services;
  - (c) the driveway/access road design must detail the following:
    - long and cross sections of the driveway/access road;
    - contours, finish levels and gradients of the driveway/access road;
    - drainage and pavement construction.

- (d) water sensitive urban design principles must be incorporated to achieve the acceptable stormwater quality and quantity targets required in Table E7.1 of the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015.

The engineering plans must be prepared and certified by a professional Civil Engineer approved by the Executive Manager - Engineering Services. The engineer must supervise the construction works. Approval of the plans is required prior to the commencement of construction on-site.

5. The vehicular access must be constructed in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-RO5, TSD-RO4 and TSD-E01). A permit to carry out works within a Council road reservation must be obtained prior to any works commencing within the Council road reservation.
6. Prior to the commencement of site works a soil and water management plan must be submitted to Council for approval. The plan must be in accordance with NRM South Soil and Water Management of Construction Sites – Guidelines and Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-SW28). A site inspection of the implemented plan by the Council's Development Inspector must be satisfactorily undertaken with the principal contractor prior to the commencement of any work on site.
7. The proposed visitor accommodation is approved for short term use only. At no time is any person, other than the owner, to reside in the caravan park for any period exceeding three months within any 12 month period.
8. The use and development must be managed so that the amenity of the area is not detrimentally impacted through any of the following:
  - a. Transport of materials, goods or commodities to or from the land;
  - b. Appearance of any building, works or materials;
  - c. Emission of noise, artificial light, vibration, smells, fumes, smoke, vapour, steam, soot, ash, dust, waste water or waste products (rubbish).
9. In order to limit impacts on natural values on site and the amenity of neighbouring properties, the proposed wastewater treatment system is to be installed at a minimum distance of 100m from the edge of the wetlands on site and at a minimum distance of 30m from any side boundary of the site. The proposed wastewater treatment system is to be installed and used in accordance with the recommendations of the Dump-Ezy™ Specifiers Document and the On-site Wastewater Assessment for the site provided by GES Geo Environmental Solutions (May 2017).

The proposed wastewater system is approved for a waste water loading of up to 2400L/day in accordance with the On-site Wastewater Assessment (GES Geo Environmental Solutions May 2017). Use above this level is not approved.

The wastewater treatment system on site is to be used by 'Bruny Island Coastal Retreats' only and is strictly not to be used as a commercial (fee payable) or public wastewater dump site. Monitoring and regulation by the property owner is required to ensure compliance.
10. No external lighting is approved as part of this development application. Prior to the placement of any external lighting on site, please seek advice from Council as to whether approval is required.
11. A maximum of twelve (12) guests are permitted on site at any time.

## ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.
- B. The encountering of any Aboriginal Cultural Material during the course of development or activity upon the land associated with or arising from this approval shall be strictly dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 14 of the Aboriginal Relics Act 1975.
- C. Whilst the proposal is not a vulnerable use and therefore does not require a bushfire management plan to be provided for planning approval, due to the nature of the use and the isolation of the subject property, it is recommended that an emergency evacuation plan is prepared for the site and details of evacuation procedures made available to all accommodated guests.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

C699/26-17

(Commences at ±46 minutes of audio recording)

### **DAS-2015-6 - APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION OF FOUR LOTS, BALANCE LOT AND ROAD LOT AND ROAD UPGRADE WORKS AT 26 CORBYS ROAD AND ADJOINING CROWN RESERVE ROAD, KETTERING FOR PDA SURVEYORS**

---

MOVED            Cr Grace  
SECONDED       Cr Chatterton

That the Planning Authority resolves that the report of the Manager Development Services be received and that the development application for subdivision of four lots, balance lot and road lot and road upgrade works at 26 Corbys Road and adjoining Crown reserve road, Kettering for PDA Surveyors be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DAS-2015-6 and Council Plan Reference No. P1 submitted on 26 February 2015, P2 submitted 25 June 2015, P3 submitted 15 June 2017, P4 submitted 28 August 2017, P5 submitted 30 August 2017 and P6 submitted 17 October 2017. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. As no provision has been made for recreation space or improvements thereto, and having formed the opinion that such a provision should be made, Council invokes the provisions of Section 117 of the Local Government (Building and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1993 and requires security equivalent of 5% of the unimproved value of the gross area of the subdivision. This should be in the form of a direct payment made before the sealing of the Final Plan, or alternatively, in the form of security provided under Section 117 of the Local Government (Building and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1993.

The subdivider is to obtain a valuation from a registered Valuer for the purposes of determining the unimproved value of the gross area of the subdivision.

3. The titles for the new lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 must be endorsed to state: "Onsite wastewater disposal must be via an AWTS (Aerobic Wastewater Treatment System), or an alternative treatment system with disinfection, capable of treating effluent to a secondary standard prior to discharge to the land application area."
4. Detailed engineering drawings submitted to Council for endorsement for each stage must be developed in consultation with a suitably qualified arborist (Cert IV Arboriculture and qualified in QTR Assessment) and include a tree plan that:
  - a) identifies all native trees >25cm and associated trees protection zones within the subject land;
  - b) specifies which trees are to be removed and which can be retained;
  - c) demonstrates the subdivision works, including road, stormwater infrastructure, services and other utilities are designed, located and constructed to minimise impacts on high conservation value trees, including any E. ovata trees >25cm and any eucalypts >70cm;
  - d) identifies reasonable mitigation and/or protection measures to be implemented to minimise the impacts of the development on the health of trees to be retained and avoid their loss within the scope of the approved development;
  - e) is in accordance with Australian Standard 4970-2009 for the protection of trees on development sites; and
  - f) is to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services.
5. To reduce the spread of weeds or pathogens, all machinery must take appropriate hygiene measures prior to entering and leaving the site as per the Tasmanian Washdown Guidelines for Weed and Disease Control produced by the Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

Any imported fill materials must be sourced from quarries able to provide documentation as to the weeds present on the source site in order to minimise introduction of new weeds and pathogens to the area.

6. All trees identified for retention in endorsed tree plan are to be appropriately protected during and after construction in accordance with all the recommendations of the arborist to ensure that no damage is inflicted that may impact upon the health of the trees or cause them to die. This includes, but is not limited to establishing and maintaining a Tree Root Protection zone between any works and adjacent native vegetation prior to commencement of construction in accordance with AS 4970-2009 to exclude:
  - (a) Storing of building materials;
  - (b) Vehicular traffic;

- (c) Placement of fill; and
- (d) Excavation works.

Evidence of satisfactory installation of this fencing is to be provided to Council prior to the commencement of any on-site works.

7. Only those trees identified for removal in the endorsed tree plan may be removed as part of the proposed development unless the consent of Council has been obtained and it can be demonstrated by a qualified arborist that:
  - a) the health and viability of the trees is such that they represent a danger; and/or,
  - b) there are no reasonable mitigation and/or protection measures able to be implemented to minimise the impacts of the development on the health of these trees and avoid their loss within the scope of the approved development.

Should further tree removal be approved by Council following arborist advice, the cost of removal of these trees and any offset required for the loss of these trees must be borne by the applicant.

8. To offset the loss of high conservation value trees approved for removal in the endorsed tree plan for each stage, an offset of \$500/tree for the removal of any Eucalyptus ovata (black gum) >40cm or other eucalypt >70cm must be paid into Council's Environmental Fund, to be used to manage and conserve habitat in the vicinity of Kettering.

Trees must not be removed prior to endorsement of the tree plan by the Manager Development Services, issue of start of works for each stage and payment of the offset.

9. To ensure future development of lots retains and protects tree of high conservation value and minimises collision risk to swift parrots, prior to the sealing of the Final Plan of Survey the titles of all lots (excluding the balance) must be endorsed via a covenant on the title to the effect that:
  - a. all proposed dwellings and outbuildings are to be designed to limit the likelihood of bird strike as far as possible and development is to be designed in accordance with the Bird Collision Code prepared by the Threatened Species Network.
  - b. no felling, lopping, ringbarking or otherwise injuring or destroying of eucalypt trees can take place without the prior written consent of Council;
  - c. future development must be designed and located to avoid and mitigate impacts on Eucalyptus ovata trees >25cm and other eucalypts >70cm to the extent feasible, including buildings, access, stormwater, bushfire hazard and on-site wastewater;
  - d. Council will only approve impacts on these trees where it can be demonstrated that, based on the advice of a suitably qualified and independent arborist, the trees are unable to be retained because either:
    - i) the health and viability of the trees is such that they represent a danger; and/or,
    - ii) there is no feasible alternative location and design which avoids or mitigate the impacts of the development on the health of these trees while also allowing the lot to be developed for its intended purpose.
  - e. Any loss of these trees is offset to the satisfaction of Council.

10. All extensions or relocations of infrastructure that are externally required to serve the development must be constructed to the approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services at the applicant's cost.

Power and telecommunications networks must be available to service all lots to the services providers' requirements. Any network extensions required must be undertaken at the applicant's cost.

11. An application for a Council permit to undertake works in Councils road must be lodged by the applicants' supervising engineer, the associated fee paid and permit issued prior to works commencement within Rada Road and the Crown road reservation. Similarly a permit to undertake works within the Crown Reserved Road must be obtained from the Department of State Growth (copy to Council).

The applicant must provide a bond and bank guarantee or cash security for the works required to be undertaken within the unformed Crown road reserve prior to the issue of a permit to the satisfaction of the Executive Manager Engineering Services.

12. All the downpipes from the existing dwelling and sheds must be connected to the proposed new stormwater connection by a licensed plumbing contractor and inspected by a Council Plumbing Surveyor prior to backfilling.

This work must be carried out prior to the sealing of the Final Plan of Survey.

13. The developer must obtain from Council a Plumbing Permit for relocation of the existing AWTS irrigation area. This application must be to the satisfaction of Council's Environmental Health Officer and must be certified by the designer prior to sealing of the final plan of survey.

14. The waste water irrigation area from the existing dwelling must be relocated so that it lies within the proposed new property boundary and complies with the setback requirements in the Building Act 2016. A plumbing permit must be obtained from Council granting approval of the work prior to works commencing. The work must be carried out and a certificate of completion issued by Council prior to the sealing of the Final Plan of Survey.

15. A Council engineering plan approval and inspection fee of 2% of the estimated value of the construction works (including GST, provisional items and contingencies) for the development or the current minimum fee must be paid at the time of submission of the design plans for approval.

Return inspections for non-compliance and/or additional audit inspections requested by the supervising engineer will incur additional hourly rate charges based on the rates adopted by Council and prevailing at the time of payment.

Any additional fees must be paid prior to issue of a Certificate of Practical Completion, or deducted from any securities held by Council for the works.

16. Prior to the commencement of site works, a soil and water management plan prepared by a suitably qualified person must be submitted to Council for approval by the Manager - Development Services. The plan must be in accordance with NRM South Soil and Water Management Code of Practice 2009.

A site inspection by a Kingborough Council Development Engineering Inspector must be undertaken prior to the commencement of any work on site to ensure all soil and water control measures are in place.



Prior to completion, all disturbed surfaces on the land except for those areas set aside for roadways and footpaths, must be top-dressed to a minimum depth of 50mm with approved local stockpiled topsoil or weed free imported topsoil. The top-dressed areas must be stabilised and revegetated with local plants, grasses, all to the satisfaction of the Manager - Development Services.

17. The staged subdivision engineering design and construction works must be carried out generally in accordance with the submitted application proposal plans and supporting documentation P4 to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and comply with the following:
- Austroads Standards
  - Councils LGAT Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD)
  - Australian Rainfall and Runoff Guidelines

The engineering plans must include, but not be limited to the following;

- a) Provide a piped reticulated stormwater system to service all lots and a pipe discharge to Councils Selby Road stormwater drainage system;
- b) Provide a kerbed and sealed (concrete or asphalt) 5m wide shared access driveway to service lots 2 and 3 within a minimum 6m wide Reciprocal Rights of Way. 3.6 m wide rural standard asphalt sealed accesses must be provided to service lots 1 and 2 and the existing house lot. Concentrated drainage from the shared driveway must be collected by grated pits and/ or grated trenches and piped to the reticulated stormwater system;
- c) Rada road must be extended from the existing junction with the Reserved Road to the proposed cul de sac servicing proposed lots 1 to 4 and existing house lot. The new road construction must be in accordance with Councils' rural sealed (asphalt) standard. The new road must be drained to the existing Corby Road stormwater system. Existing pipe culverts must be upgraded in accordance with the submitted PDA hydraulic plans and subdivision catchment assessment requirements;
- d) Provide a minimum 150 mm diameter standard piped house stormwater connections to service the low points of all lots in conformance with councils' standard drawing TSD-SW25-v1;
- e) Provide an urban standard kerbed, asphalt sealed and drained turning area in conformance with council's standard drawing TSD-R07-v1 - Offset Circular Type;
- f) Provide 2.5m wide drainage easements as required; and
- g) Demonstrate they have been developed in consultation with a suitably qualified arborist and include the endorsed tree plan required in Condition 4.

The engineering plan approval will be valid for a maximum period of two years from the date of Council endorsement. If practical completion has not been achieved within the time limit, the engineering plans will be subject to re-assessment.

The engineering plans and specifications must be prepared and certified by a qualified engineer to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services.

The subdivision works must be supervised by a qualified civil engineer.

18. No works are to commence on site, or within a Council roadway, without a 'Start of Works notice' being lodged with, and accepted by the Executive Manager - Engineering Services.

At least 5 working days notice of intention must be given to commence works or resume works after works on site have ceased for a period of six (6) working days or more.

19. At practical completion and the satisfactory completion of all mandatory audit inspections the supervising engineer must:
- a) Request a joint on site practical completion inspection with the Council's authorised representative (within 5 working days of Council's receipt of the request);
  - b) Provide written confirmation that the works have been substantially completed in accordance with the plans and specifications and that the appropriate levels of quality and workmanship have been achieved;
  - c) Provide engineer's certification that site filling exceeding 300mm has been placed in accordance with AS 3798 (guidelines on earthworks for commercial and residential developments) as amended from time to time; and
  - d) Provide a signed checklist for 'As Constructed' drawings.

Council will issue a 'Certificate of Practical Completion' including a minor defects list, upon the successful completion of:

- All mandatory audit inspections; and
- Provision of Bond and Bank guarantees (as applicable).

The effective date of the 'Certificate of Practical Completion' shall be the latest on site inspection date.

20. A re-peg survey must be undertaken by a Registered Surveyor upon completion of the subdivision construction works. A copy of the re-peg survey notes must be lodged with Council, together with evidence that they have been registered at the Land Titles Office. In cases where a bond and bank guarantee is lodged, the cost of the re-peg survey must be included in the bond and bank guarantee.
21. The supervising engineer must lodge a maintenance bond and bank guarantee of 10% of the total subdivision construction costs bond figure, including GST, to cover the satisfactory rectification of minor defects and defective works during the statutory 26 weeks maintenance period prior to the issue of a Certificate of Practical Completion.

The supervising engineer must request a Final Inspection of the subdivision works with Council's Development engineer just prior to the end of the maintenance period. Any outstanding works must be completed and reinspected prior to council take-over of their infrastructure.

22. Council will provide unique KC road numbers for all the proposed roads within the development, these numbers must then be referenced on design and 'As-Constructed' drawings. The proposal will also be assessed as to the suitability of street numbering for each road segment.

The applicant must submit to Council within one month of the permit being issued a list of preferred names for new roads or alternately select names from Council's preferred road name listing.

Should the applicant not submit or select a road name(s) Council will allocate a name from the preferred road name listing.

All new road signs related to this development must be installed by Council at the applicant's cost. The actual amounts must be paid prior to the sealing of the Final Plan of Survey and will be based on the rates adopted by Council and prevailing at the time of payment.

#### ADVICE

- A. The Developer should not allocate any property address numbers for the proposed lots.

New property addresses have been allocated as follows:

Lot No.	Allocated Property Address
1	18 Corbys Road, Kettering
2	20 Corbys Road, Kettering
3	22 Corbys Road, Kettering
4	24 Corbys Road, Kettering
Balance	26 Corbys Road, Kettering

- B. A Final Plan of Survey must be submitted to Council for sealing, together with a Schedule of Easements, a copy of the survey notes, and a copy of the balance plan (where applicable). Payment of Council's fee for sealing the Final Plan of Survey and Schedule of Easements must be made upon submission of plans.
- C. In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

## OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL RESUMES

Open session of Council resumed at 6.25pm

### OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

---

C700/26-17

(Commences at ±55 minutes of audio recording)

### **POLICY ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF BUSHFIRE HAZARD MANAGEMENT AREAS ON COUNCIL OWNED AND MANAGED LAND**

---

MOVED            Cr Atkinson  
SECONDED       Cr Fox

That Council:

- a) adopt the policy on the establishment of bushfire Hazard Management Areas on Council owned or managed land (and associated criteria for processing HMA requests) with immediate effect.
- b) communicates the introduction of the new policy with accredited bushfire hazard practitioners.
- c) reviews the policy annually to ensure it remains consistent with statutory requirements and best practice for building in bushfire prone areas.

MOVED            Cr Winter  
SECONDED       Cr Grace

That the matter be deferred until the New Year.

FOR

Cr Grace	Cr Winter			
----------	-----------	--	--	--

AGAINST

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Wriedt		

Lost

The original motion was then put.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Wriedt	

AGAINST

Cr Winter				
-----------	--	--	--	--

Carried

*Cr Winter left the meeting at 6.33pm*

C701/26-17

(Commences at ±1 hour, 4 minutes of audio recording)

### **OSBORNE ESPLANADE ONE WAY TRIAL AND CARPARKING**

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
SECONDED       Cr Bury

That the matter be discussed.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Wriedt	

Carried Unanimously

MOVED            Cr Percey  
SECONDED       Cr Chatterton

That the matter be deferred until further information is received.

FOR

Cr Chatterton	Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wriedt	Cr Wass
---------------	----------	-----------	-----------	---------

AGAINST

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Fox	
-------------	------------	---------	--------	--

Carried

*Cr Winter returned to the meeting at 6.41pm*

C702/26-17

*(Commences at ±1 hour, 11 minutes of audio recording)*

**PUBLIC INTEREST DISCLOSURES POLICY AND PROCEDURES**

MOVED            Cr Grace  
SECONDED       Cr Wriedt

That Council adopt the *Public Interest Disclosure Policy and Procedures* (Policy 1.4).

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

C703/26-17

*(Commences at ±1 hour, 12 minutes of audio recording)*

**WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY**

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
SECONDED       Cr Bury

That:

- a) the Waste Management Strategy is formally adopted by Council
- b) A further workshop is held with Council to discuss the implementation of the strategy.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

## **SNUG OVAL PLAY SPACE DEVELOPMENT**

---

MOVED            Cr Fox  
 SECONDED      Cr Wriedt

That

- a) Council approve the allocation of funds (\$170,000) from several Public Open Space accounts (as listed in this report) to allow for the development of the Snug Oval Play Space;
- b) consideration be given to funding future stages of the project through Council's capital works program; and
- c) external funding sources are sought to support the delivery of the project.

MOVED            Cr Bastone  
 SECONDED      Cr Winter

That the matter be deferred until the New Year after a workshop.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

## **UPDATE ON KINGSTON PARK DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS**

---

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
 SECONDED      Cr Bury

That the report on the current progress of the Kingston Park site development project be noted.

**Cr Fox** left the room at 7.01pm

**Cr Fox** returned at 7.06pm

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

## **INFORMATION REPORTS**

---

MOVED            Cr Percey  
 SECONDED      Cr Wriedt

That the following information reports be noted:

1. Financial Report for the period 1 July 2017 to 30 November 2017.
2. Infrastructure Works Progress Report.
3. Current and Previous Minute Resolutions.
4. Notices of Motion Moved at the Annual General Meeting.

**Cr Percey** left the room at 7.43pm

**Cr Percey** returned at 7.45pm

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously



## **CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION**

---

MOVED            Cr Chatterton  
 SECONDED      Cr Wriedt

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* the following items are to be dealt with in Closed Session.

<b>Matter</b>	<b>Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Reference</b>
Applications for Leave of Absence	15(2)(h)
Waste and Recycling Contract	15(2)(c)
Current and Previous Minute Resolutions	15(2)(c)

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

## **CLOSED SESSION**

---

MOVED            Cr Atkinson  
 SECONDED      Cr Winter

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* that Council move into Closed Session.

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

In accordance with the *Kingborough Council Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting ceased.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 7.52pm

**OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL ADJOURNS**

## OPEN SESSION OF COUNCIL RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 8.18pm

C713/26-17

MOVED  
SECONDED

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Subject	Decisions/Documents
Applications for Leave of Absence	Nil
Waste and Recycling Contract	Calling for Tenders in 2018
Current and Previous Minute Resolutions	Noted

FOR

Cr Atkinson	Cr Bastone	Cr Bury	Cr Chatterton	Cr Fox
Cr Grace	Cr Percey	Cr Wass	Cr Winter	Cr Wriedt

Carried Unanimously

### **CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 8.19pm

.....  
(Confirmed)

.....  
(Date)