# Kingborough



# COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

11 May 2020

# Kingborough Councillors 2018 - 2022



**Mayor**Councillor Dean Winter



**Deputy Mayor**Councillor Jo Westwood



**Councillor Sue Bastone** 



**Councillor Gideon Cordover** 



**Councillor Flora Fox** 



**Councillor David Grace** 



**Councillor Amanda Midgley** 



**Councillor Christian Street** 



**Councillor Steve Wass** 



**Councillor Paula Wriedt** 

# **Table of Contents**

Item Page No.

## Open Session

	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners	1
	3	Attendees	1
	4	Apologies	1
C259/8-2020	5	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6	Workshops held Since Last Council Meeting	2
C260/8-2020	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
	9	Questions Without Notice from the Public	2
	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	2
C261/8-2020		10.1 Termination of Employment Due to COVID-19	2
C262/8-2020		10.2 Entering into Consultation Before any Changes Made in the Workplace	3
C263/8-2020		10.3 Explore Other Duties Before Standing Any Staff Down	4
	11	Questions without Notice from Councillors	4
C264/8-2020		11.1 Opening of Council Playgrounds	4
C265/8-2020		11.2 Tasmanian Planning Commission Review	4
C266/8-2020		11.3 Huntingfield Development	5
C267/8-2020		11.4 White Water Creek Track	6
C268/8-2020		11.5 Increase for Cycling Lanes in Kingborough	6
C269/8-2020		11.6 Wash Out at Boat Ramp at Middleton Esplanade	7
C270/8-2020		11.7 Determination of Condition of Roads	7
C271/8-2020		11.8 Huntingfield Consultation	7
C272/8-2020		11.9 Draft Budget	8
	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	8
C273/8-2020		12.1 Re-Addressing the Council Building Surveying Services	8
C274/8-2020		12.2 Cost of Vandalism to Grader	9
C275/8-2020		12.3 Gardens Removed Within Road Reserves	9
C276/8-2020		12.4 Opening of Kingston Dog Beach	9
C277/8-2020		12.5 Kingborough's Transition to New Statewide Planning Scheme	10

# Table of Contents (cont.)

Page No.

22

Item

C287/8-2020

C278/8-2020		12.6 Re-opening of the Barretta Re-Use Shop	10
C279/8-2020		12.7 Maintenance of Council Land, Wolfes Road	11
C280/8-2020		12.8 Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Major Projects) Bill 2020	11
	13	Officers Reports to Planning Authority	12
C281/8-2020		<ul> <li>13.1 DA-2018-657 - Development Application for Four Multiple Dwellings (one existing) at 26 Groningen Road, Kingston</li> </ul>	12
	14	Notices of Motion	20
	15	Petitions still being Actioned	20
	16	Petitions Received in Last Period	20
	17	Officers Reports to Council	20
C282/8-2020		17.1 Kingston Place Strategy 2020-2050	20
C283/8-2020		17.2 COVID-19 Pandemic - Community Support	21
C284/8-2020		17.3 Kerbside Green Waste Collection Service	21
C285/8-2020		17.4 North West Bay River Multi-use Trail Feasibility Study	21
C286/8-2020		17.5 Kingborough Bicycle Advisory Committee Meeting held on 14 February 2020	22

Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session

# MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held on Monday, 11 May 2020 at 5.30pm.

#### 1 AUDIO RECORDING

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 5.30pm, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council's policy the Chairperson requested confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

#### 2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL OWNERS

The Mayor paid his respect and Council's respect to the traditional and original owners of this land, the muwinina people. The Mayor acknowledged the contemporary Tasmania aboriginal community who have survived invasion and disposition and continue to maintain and fight for their identity, their culture and indigenous rights.

#### 3 ATTENDEES

#### **Councillors:**

Mayor Councillor D Winter

Deputy Mayor Councillor J Westwood

Councillor S Bastone

Councillor G Cordover

Councillor F Fox

Councillor D Grace

Councillor A Midgley

Councillor C Street

Councillor S Wass

Councillor P Wriedt

#### Staff:

Deputy General Manager

**Executive Manager Engineering Services** 

Executive Manager Governance & Community Services

Manager Finance

Manager Development Services

Senior Manager Capital Works

Communications & Engagement Advisor

**Executive Assistant** 

Mr Tony Ferrier

Mr David Reeve

Mr Daniel Smee

Mr Tim Jones

Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore

Mr Craig Reid

Ms Sarah Wilcox

Mrs Amanda Morton

#### 4 APOLOGIES

There were no apologies.

C259/8-2020

(commences at  $\pm$  3 minutes of audio recording)

#### 5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Flora Fox Seconded: Cr Sue Bastone

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No. 8 held on 27 April 2020 be confirmed as a true record.

**CARRIED** 

#### 6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

4 May - Budget

C260/8-2020

(commences at ± 4 minutes of audio recording)

#### 7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cr Fox declared an interest in the report headed "DA-2018-657 – Development Application for Four Multiple Dwellings (One Existing) at 26 Groningen Road, Kingston."

#### 8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

#### 9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no questions without notice from the public.

#### 10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

C261/8-2020

(commences at  $\pm$  6 minutes of audio recording)

#### 10.1 Termination of Employment Due to COVID-19

At the Council meeting on 27 April 2019, **Mr Aaron De La Torre** on behalf of the Australian Services Union asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

Can the General Manager provide assurances to Kingborough Council's employees that no employees will have their employment terminated as a result of COVID-19 and that Council will prioritise the maintenance of employment of each of their employees in any budgetary decisions moving forward?

#### Officer's Response:

The Covid-19 situation is challenging from an employment and budget perspective. Kingborough is committed to not standing down our permanent employees and every endeavour is being made to maintain current staff levels despite the significant financial losses council is incurring.

Gary Arnold, General Manager

#### C262/8-2020

#### 10.2 Entering into Consultation Before any Changes Made in the Workplace

At the Council meeting on 27 April 2020, **Mr Aaron De La Torre** on behalf of the Australian Services Union asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

Can the General Manager assure employees of Kingborough Council that the management team will enter into consultation before any major changes are implemented in the workplace?

#### Officer's Response:

The standard consultation obligations under Council's Enterprise Agreement continue to apply while responding to COVID-19. COVID-19 is unprecedented in its impact on businesses and our experience at this early stage is that employees are understanding that significant decisions impacting on employee entitlements have needed to be made at short notice – employees have been supported with the introduction of an additional leave category: pandemic leave.

Declarations under the Public Health Act 1997 require people to stay at home unless they have to leave their primary residence for the purpose of "attending work...if unable to be performed at the person's primary residence". Council continues to work with employees to ensure that these declarations are observed. However, working at home is not possible for all employees. Council has temporarily relocated some employees to alternate Council venues to maximise physical distancing and to ensure we continue to have a safe place of work.

We are committed to:

- Regularly communicating with our employees to keep them advised of the changes required to be made to manage the impacts of Covid-19; and
- If we need to implement any change, we aim to provide advance notice if possible
  of the change and give an opportunity to consult on the effect of the changes

Gary Arnold, General Manager

#### C263/8-2020

#### 10.3 Explore Other Duties Before Standing Any Staff Down

At the Council meeting on 27 April 2020, **Mr Aaron De La Torre** on behalf of the Australian Services Union asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

Can the General Manager provide a guarantee that all possible alternate duties will be explored before considering standing employees down, including things that are usually outside of Council's core business but which assist the community with getting through, and recovering from, COVID-19? The Union can provide further details and suggestions around this matter.

#### Officer's Response:

Council is committed to keeping our employees engaged in meaningful work and is investigating options that include alternate duties within our organisation. Council is working proactively with staff in responding to requirements arising from this Pandemic.

Gary Arnold, General Manager

#### 11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

#### C264/8-2020

(commences at ± 6 minutes of audio recording)

#### 11.1 Opening of Council Playgrounds

Cr Westwood asked the following question without notice:

In line with the Road Map to Recovery announced by the Tasmanian Government recently, on the 18<sup>th</sup> May it notes that park exercise equipment and playground restrictions will be lifted. Does Council have any plans to re-open our playgrounds on the 18<sup>th</sup> May?

#### **Executive Manager Governance & Community Services responds:**

Yes, we do intend to re-open our playgrounds on that date. Advice will be provided to the public in relation to the fact that those playgrounds are unable to be disinfected so it's very much use at your own risk but the playgrounds will be re-opened.

#### C265/8-2020

#### 11.2 Tasmanian Planning Commission Review

**Cr Wriedt** asked the following question without notice:

I note that consultation on this review closes on 15 May and that there are some significant issues that, if they are inacted and changes made to the Tasmanian Planning Commission, could prevent communities in the future from having sufficient say on planning matters and could also influence Council's position to be able to do that. Have staff prepared a response to the consultation in this matter?

Page 4

#### **Deputy General Manager responds:**

I think Cr Wriedt is referring to the Major Projects Bill that has been out for public consultation which is proposed legislation reviewing the projects of regional significance. Council has not commented on that. I understand that the Local Government Association and the Hobart City Council have commented but Kingborough Council has not submitted a comment.

#### Cr Wriedt:

Can I ask why it wasn't put forward for Councillors to have an opportunity for us to have input?

#### **Deputy General Manager:**

This is the third round of consultation. Council hasn't submitted any comments on that but we were happy with the comments made by the Local Government Association in the previous rounds so it wasn't felt there was any need to do so. The actual legislation itself is not greatly different to what is already in the Major Projects Legislation. It's really refining what is required for projects of regional significance, so it's quite consistant with what is already in place.

#### C266/8-2020

#### 11.3 Huntingfield Development

#### **Cr Wriedt** asked the following question without notice:

The Member for Nelson, Meg Webb, recently submitted a question to the government in relation to the Housing Land Supply Huntingfield Order and I had a few queries regarding the response from the Minister for Housing, Roger Jaensch. Firstly, he indicated that both residents and key stakeholders would receive more information on the draft Masterplan in the coming weeks. Have we received this yet?

#### **Deputy General Manager responds:**

I'm not aware of anything that has been received.

#### Cr Wriedt:

Are we aware of how much time both Council and other stakeholders and residents would be given to respond to the draft Masterplan, given that we know that the consultation process for this whole project has been woefully inadequate from the start?

#### **Deputy General Manager:**

No, we are not. I don't think there is anything that has been sit. It's possibly something that Council can dictate to some extent that we can say that we need a certain amount of time.

#### Cr Wriedt:

I notice that in the Minister's response he did indicate, in part 6 of his answer, that civil works on "a new roundabout off Channel Highway would start in 2021". Is that the smaller roundabout that is proposed to be built alongside the current fork in the road roundabout?

#### **Executive Manager Engineering Services:**

No, that's the one a little bit south of that which will come directly onto where the Huntingfield development will be. The other one that you are talking about near the fork in the road forms part of the discussions that were held as part of the Channel Highway Corridor Study, and that study is still not finalised yet. It will be going out to public comment shortly.

#### Cr Wriedt:

Thank you. Can you please clarify, it's on the Channel Highway but past the fork in the road towards Margate. How far along is it?

#### **Executive Manager Engineering Services:**

From memory its about 300-400 meters away.

#### C267/8-2020

#### 11.4 White Water Creek Track

Cr Wriedt asked the following question without notice:

I wanted to draw to Mr Reeve's attention that there is a section of the White Water Creek Track south of Leicester Crescent, just before the underpass that goes under the Southern Outlet, and there is a section of about 20m of broken bitumen, potholes, deep cracks and has been patched over a long period of time. Can you please have a look at that in context of some remedial works?

#### **Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

Yes, we will have that investigated and actioned.

#### C268/8-2020

#### 11.5 Increase for Cycling Lanes in Kingborough

**Cr Cordover** asked the following question without notice:

Will Kingborough be looking to increase it's level of advocacy for public transport or cycling lanes in the Kingborough Municipality?

#### Mayor responds:

A good initiative is not only our membership of organisations like Cycling South and having a bicycle committee but using the City Deal for this type of investment would have been a good idea but appears to be an even better idea now. We have included in our discussions with the Department of State Growth and the Minister a request that we receive some funding to do a bicycle study or a strategy around cycling and that is as a result of Cr Midgley, Cr Fox and the cycling committee talking about this and I think that this sort of study will be a good start. Our discussions are still ongoing but I'm confident that as a starting point we can come up with a strategy to assist us as we drive cycling infrastructure going forward.

#### C269/8-2020

#### 11.6 Wash Out at Boat Ramp at Middleton Esplanade

**Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice:

I had a phone call about the wash out not far from the boat ramp. There are no barricades up. Could a barricade go up around it before a car rolls into the river?

#### **Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

Yes, I will investigate that and action if required.

#### C270/8-2020

#### 11.7 Determination of Condition of Roads

**Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice:

When determining what sections of roads are in bad conditions and marking in white lines for digging and hotmixing, when is the stage when a road is beyond that? I've had phone calls and discussions with the Mayor about Coningham Road going into Coningham beach is beyond repair.

#### **Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

Yes, I will have a look at that. A more general comment is that each road is looked at on the same merits and on some occasions they require more major work and on other occasions, even though it may require more major work, sometimes temporary work is done just to hold that road in condition.

#### C271/8-2020

#### 11.8 Huntingfield Consultation

**Cr Midgley** asked the following question without notice:

Referring to Mr Ferrier's comment earlier regarding if Council set the required amount of time for the consultation. Should Council write to Minister Jaensch stating how much consultation period of time that we would like to have and perhaps detailing what that consultation should look like so that it does actually include the voices of the community and they have enough time to provide input?

#### **Deputy General Manager responds:**

I'm happy to do that. It might be interesting to sound out the department as well to see what the expectations on their consultation is as it is actually them who are doing the consultant, not us.

Page 7

#### C272/8-2020

#### 11.9 Draft Budget

**Cr Midgley** asked the following question without notice:

I noted on a social media post that the budget has gone out for public comment. What does that consultation look like?

#### **Communications and Engagement Advisor responds:**

We have published the budget for public comment so that people can ask questions or raise concerns they have over it. As the Mayor's statement says on our website, we do have quite a lot of limitations owing to the current pandemic situation. We've got 4 weeks and anyone can ask questions on the OurSay platform or as well in writing if they are unable to access that.

#### 12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

C273/8-2020

(commences at ±23 minutes of audio recording)

#### 12.1 Re-Addressing the Council Building Surveying Services

At the Council meeting on 27 April 2020, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

"In the report that was put before Council in 2019, it didn't really state how much impact that this would cause to our residents and ratepayers. Can we as a Council come back and readdress this policy? I quote 2.4 "In order to continue to provide Part 2 of the Building Surveying Services for the Council certified works, Council engaged a private building surveyor consultant to undertake the works". What works? How did the building surveyor come out and tell the public that Council are no longer doing that and the current high demands on building services resulting from Tasmanian Planning? Can we have a workshop on this or can we re-address this with the government because it runs out in the next few months and people have no hope in getting a building surveyor."

#### Officer's Response:

The introduction of a blanket expiry date (1 July 2020) for all building permits that did not have an expiry date stipulated, was introduced by the State Government in January 2019. Initially the expiry date was July 2019, but they were lobbied hard by Councils and the industry to extend the date to allow people reasonable time to undertake the outstanding works, if required.

The affected building permits are those that remain outstanding (incomplete) where they were issued prior to 1 January 2017 (and did not have an expiry date stipulated). Examples of the types of situations we see are houses that have been built with no occupancy permit (and possibly occupied); buildings occupied or used with no final building or plumbing inspection; half built buildings and dwellings that are non-compliant and pose a potential risk to occupiers.

People affected by the potential expiry must either complete the development/permit requirements or apply for an extension of time to allow it to be completed after the expiry date of 1 July 2020. Kingborough Council has been very proactive in informing permit holders of the impending expiry date and provided guidance as to what they need to

do. Council does not have the power to change the requirements of the State Government, instead we must try and administer it as best we can and help our residents navigate the best way forward for their situation.

Tasha Tyler-Moore, Manager Development Services

#### C274/8-2020

#### 12.2 Cost of Vandalism to Grader

At the Council meeting on 27 April 2020, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

What was the cost to the damage to the road grader that was vandalised at the Margate tip some time back?

#### Officer's Response:

The total cost attributed to the damage, including the loss of tools and diesel fuel was \$12,135. All but the excess (\$1,000) was recovered from insurance.

David Reeve, Executive Manager Engineering Services

#### C275/8-2020

#### 12.3 Gardens Removed Within Road Reserves

At the Council meeting on 27 April 2020, **Cr Street** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

"It has been noted by a couple of ratepayers in correspondence to me that there have been some gardens within the road reserve removed by Council staff over the last couple of weeks. I'm just wondering what the plans are with those road reserve gardens, if any?"

#### Officer's Response:

There were trees removed from St Aloysius on the corner next to the Jindabyne roundabout that Council were not involved with, but if the question is in regards to the garden beds next to Carita Road, we removed some plantings between the road and the footpath and are intending to replant with ground cover when the timing is right. This removal was undertaken after reports of line of sight issues turning onto Roslyn Avenue from the public and investigation by Council's Traffic Engineer.

Darren Johnson, Manager Works

#### C276/8-2020

#### 12.4 Opening of Kingston Dog Beach

**Cr Bastone** submitted the following question on notice:

At the Council meeting on 27 April 2020, **Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

If you Google Kingston Dog Beach it is still saying that it's closed. Are we able to change this?

#### Officer's Response:

This has been actioned.

Gary Arnold, General Manager

#### C277/8-2020

#### 12.5 Kingborough's Transition to New Statewide Planning Scheme

Cr Winter submitted the following question on notice:

What is the status of Kingborough's transition to the new Statewide Planning Scheme? What is the expected timeframe to finalise it?

#### Officer's Response:

It is difficult for Council to predict the future timeframe because it is one that is dictated by the Tasmanian Planning Commission. Council's role is to prepare and exhibit the draft Local Provisions Schedule (LPS). The draft LPS has been completed and endorsed by Council and has been submitted to the Commission for further assessment. Council was informed that this assessment commenced in mid-February 2020 and will determine whether the LPS has been prepared in accordance with all statutory requirements and can then be publicly advertised. Council is aware that the Commission is conducting similar assessments for a number of other councils that are ahead of Kingborough in the queue. It is hoped that the Kingborough LPS can be exhibited for the necessary 60 day period some time early in the second half of 2020. After the public exhibition period, Council must assess and report on all public representations or submissions. All of this information is provided to the Commission and it would then conduct a series of public hearings where the representors can make further submissions. The Commission then prepares its report on the LPS for the Minister. The Minister declares the final Kingborough planning scheme in accordance with the legislated Tasmanian Planning Scheme. It appears that this process should be able to be completed by mid-2021 if there are no major delays. This is the most optimistic scenario and delays are likely so it may well not be until early 2022 that the whole process in finalised.

Tony Ferrier, Deputy General Manager

#### C278/8-2020

#### 12.6 Re-opening of the Barretta Re-Use Shop

**Cr Bastone** submitted the following question on notice:

When will the Barretta's reuse shop reopen? The South Hobart and Mornington shops have remained open. Many people are working more around their homes while we are staying at home and need bits and pieces for their projects. They are also clearing out their homes and a lot of recyclable goods are going to landfill as op shops are closed and our transfer station is being swamped with goods.

#### Officer's Response:

The Barretta reuse shop was closed because of the ongoing pandemic and the difficulty in being able to manage that aspect of the business safely. This was a similar approach

as to other nearby councils such as Hobart and Huon Valley councils who likewise closed their re-use shops. With improvements in the current pandemic situation, Kingborough Waste Service staff are investigating when they may re-open the re-use shop at Barretta and what they will need to put in place to do so safely. At this stage, it is envisaged this maybe early June 2020, pending any changes associated with the pandemic and/or requirements outlined by other levels of government.

David Reeve, Executive Manager Engineering Services

#### C279/8-2020

#### 12.7 Maintenance of Council Land, Wolfes Road

**Cr Bastone** submitted the following question on notice:

When will council mow the 10 meter strip of land in Wolfe's road Leslie Vale that belongs to council and was set aside for horse riding as part of the public open space provision during a subdivision? In places the strip is hip high with weeds and very unkempt.

#### Officer's Response:

This area has been scheduled for moving within the next 4 weeks.

Darren Johnson, Manager Works

#### C280/8-2020

#### 12.8 Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Major Projects) Bill 2020

**Cr Westwood** submitted the following question on notice:

Has Council responded to the public consultation process on the State Government's draft Land Use Planning and Approvals Amendment (Major Projects) Bill 2020? If yes, what was Council's response? If not, why not?

#### Officer's Response:

This Bill will replace the current 'Projects of Regional Significance' process, introduced in 2010. The Major Projects Bill is particularly suited to large public infrastructure projects. Following the initial round of consultation the Bill was amended to address inconsistencies, removes duplications and improve certainty in regard to time frames and process. We had no objection to the changes. Kingborough does not intend on putting in a submission to the Major Projects Bill. Both LGAT and Hobart City Council are putting in submissions and we do not feel that we have anything further to add.

Tasha Tyler-Moore, Manager Development Services

#### OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

#### PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning Authority commenced at 5.55pm

Cr Fox left the meeting at 5.55pm

#### 13 OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

C281/8-2020

(commences at  $\pm$  24 minutes of audio recording)

# 13.1 DA-2018-657 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR FOUR MULTIPLE DWELLINGS (ONE EXISTING) AT 26 GRONINGEN ROAD, KINGSTON

Moved: Cr Christian Street Seconded: Cr David Grace

That the Planning Authority resolves that the development application for four multiple dwellings (one existing) at 26 Groningen Road, Kingston for Another Perspective be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2018-657 and Council Plan Reference No. P3 submitted on 21 January 2020 and P5 received 18 March 2020. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.
- 2. Prior to the issue of a building permit and commencement of onsite works, a Landslide Risk Management Report for the development and associated works must be submitted. This Report must be by a suitably qualified person (civil engineer) and be prepared in accordance with the Australian Geomechanics Society Practice Note Guidelines for Landslide Risk Management 2007 demonstrating the risk from the development is either acceptable or capable of feasible and effective treatment so as to be a tolerable risk.
  - Once endorsed, this Report will form part of this permit and must be satisfactorily implemented.
- 3. The very high conservation value Eucalyptus viminalis (white gum) tree identified on Council Plan Reference P3 and received on 21 January 2020 and located at 28 Groningen Road (CT 5436/5) must be appropriately protected during and after construction in accordance with the Arborist Assessment (Element Tree Services, 27 September 2019). This includes:
  - (a) establishing and maintaining a Tree Root Protection zone (TPZ) through the installation of temporary fencing between any authorised works and the TPZ of the tree prior to commencement of construction in accordance with AS 4970-2009 to exclude:
    - (i) Machine excavation including trenching;
    - (ii) Excavation for silt fencing;
    - (iii) Cultivation;

- (iv) Storage;
- (v) Preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products;
- (vi) Parking of vehicles and plant;
- (vii) Refuelling;
- (viii) Dumping of waste;
- (ix) Wash down and cleaning of equipment;
- (x) Placement of fill;
- (xi) Lighting of fires;
- (xii) Soil level changes;
- (xiii) Temporary or permanent installation of utilities and signs; and
- (xiv) Physical damage to the tree(s).
- (b) payment of a \$5000 tree bond prior to issue of the Building Permit and commencement of construction, to be refunded in equal amounts in two increments: (i) upon an assessment by a suitably qualified arborist verifying that all recommendations in the Arborist Assessment (Element Tree Services, 27 September 2019) and tree protection construction measures identified in the approved building plans have been satisfactorily implemented; and (ii) an assessment by a suitably qualified arborist verifying the tree remains in good health 2 years after commencement of on-site works and is capable of retention into the future;
- (c) a site inspection by Council's Environmental Planner to verify satisfactory installation of this fencing must be undertaken prior to the commencement of any on-site works.
- (d) adhering to the following construction for all areas within the Tree Root Protection Zone but outside the footprint of the approved works:
  - (a) the existing soil level must not be altered around the Tree Root Protection Zone of the trees (including the disposal of fill, placement of materials or the scalping of the soil); and
  - (b) the Tree Root Protection Zone must be free from the storage of fill, contaminates or other materials:
  - (c) machinery and vehicles are not permitted to access the Tree Root Protection Zone; and
  - (d) development and associated works is not permitted unless otherwise approved by Council in writing.
- 4. Pruning of one very high conservation value Eucalyptus viminalis (white gum) tree identified on Council Plan Reference P3 and received on 21 January 2020 is approved in accordance with the Arborist Assessment (Element Tree Services, 27 September 2019). Pruning is limited to the removal of one low scaffold branch and some smaller laterals which extend into the development site, as shown in Figure 2 of the Arborist Assessment. Pruning must be undertaken by a suitably qualified arborist and must not be undertaken prior to the issue

of a Building Permit for the dwelling and the written consent of the landowner at 28 Groningen Road (CT 5436/5) being obtained.

FOR ADVICE: No further felling, lopping, ringbarking or otherwise injuring or destroying of native vegetation or individual trees is to take place without the prior written permission of Council or in accordance with a further permit or otherwise as provided for in the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015 or otherwise in accordance with law.

- 5. Plans submitted for building approval for the development must demonstrate:
  - (i) they are consistent with the Bushfire Hazard Report and BHMP submitted with a development application (Lark & Creese, 3 February 2020);
  - (ii) they incorporate any recommended mitigation measures identified in the Landslide Risk Management Report required under Condition 2; and
  - (iii) they incorporate the recommendations of the Arborist Assessment (Element Tree Services, 27 September 2019), including the following:
    - post and beam footing rather than strip footing within the structural root zone of the tree;
    - excavation of footings within the tree root protection zone using low impact methods (hand digging or vacuum extractor;
    - retaining any roots greater than 75mm by adjusting the footings, with input from an engineer where required; and
    - excluding any further excavation within the TPZ for any other purpose (including services);
    - specifying no further fill is to placed over the embankment to the north of the units; and
    - specifying the recommended tree protection measures will be implemented and adhered to before, during and after construction.

All bushfire, landslide and tree protection construction and mitigation measures identified in the approved building plans must be satisfactorily implemented.

- 6. Prior to the issue of a Building Permit, engineering design drawings must be submitted to Council for approval. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Executive Manager Engineering Services and demonstrate that:
  - (a) Vehicle access must comply with the Tasmanian Standard construction drawings;
  - (b) The car parking and vehicle manoeuvring areas must be of a sealed construction (concrete or asphalt) and comply with Australian Standard AS2890.1:2004 (Off street car parking);
  - (c) Visitor car parking signs must be installed for the visitor car parking spaces;
  - (d) Parking and vehicle circulation roadways and pedestrian paths must be provided with lighting;
  - (e) Water sensitive urban design systems must be incorporated to achieve the acceptable stormwater quality targets required in Table E7.1 of the Kingborough Interim Planning

Scheme 2015. Supporting documentation with associated hydraulic calculations and MUSIC modelling must be submitted; and

(f) On-site stormwater detention systems must be incorporated to achieve the acceptable stormwater discharge rates required in Clause E7.7.1 of the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015. Long section details must be provided for the proposed stormwater infrastructure and supporting documentation and associated hydraulic calculations must be submitted.

The engineering plans must also include, but not be limited to, detailed internal vehicular and pedestrian access, car parking, manoeuvring areas and drainage services layouts. Furthermore, the driveway/access road designs must detail the following:

- long and cross sections of the driveway/access road;
- contours, finish levels and gradients of the driveway/access road;
- pavement construction; and
- the provision of parking and turning bays

The engineering plans and specifications must be prepared and certified by a professional Civil Engineer approved by the Executive Manager - Engineering Services. The Engineer must supervise the construction works.

- 7. Plans submitted for plumbing approval must demonstrate all excavation for services including water, sewer, drainage and stormwater will be entirely located outside the tree root protection zone as shown in Council Plan Reference P3, received on 21 January 2020.
- 8. A "start works" notice must be lodged with Council fourteen (14) days prior to the commencement of any on-site works and works must not commence until this notice has been approved by the Manager Development Services.
- 9. A double width (5.5m) vehicular access must be constructed in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-RO9, TSD-E01 and TSD-RF01) in standard grey concrete with a broomed non-slip finish from the kerb crossing layback to the lot boundary. A Permit to carry out works within a Council road reservation must be obtained prior to any works commencing within the Council road reservation.
- 10. Prior to the commencement of site works a soil and water management plan must be submitted to Council for approval. The plan must be in accordance with NRM South Soil and Water Management of Construction Sites Guidelines and Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-SW28). A site inspection of the implemented plan by the Council's Development Inspector must be satisfactorily undertaken with the principal contractor prior to the commencement of any work on site.
- 11. If any excess fill requires disposal off site, prior to the commencement of on site works a fill disposal plan must be submitted to Council for approval. This plan must specify the location for the disposal of fill and demonstrate this site is either a certified landfill facility for Level 1 fill or a site that has been approved for the disposal or use of Level 1 fill under a development use permit issued by Council.
- 12. To reduce the spread of weeds or pathogens, all machinery must take appropriate hygiene measures prior to entering and leaving the site as per the Tasmanian Washdown Guidelines for Weed and Disease Control produced by the Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

Any imported fill materials must be sourced from quarries able to provide documentation as to the weeds present on the source site in order to minimise introduction of new weeds and pathogens to the area.

- 13. To ensure the development and associated works are contained within the property boundary and do not impact upon adjacent native vegetation at 30A Groningen Road (CT 14477/4), prior to the commencement of on-site works a solid, non-combustible boundary fence at a height of 1.8m must be constructed to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services. Prior to construction of the fence, details of the fence design, materials and location must be provided to the Manager Development Services for approval. Once constructed, this fence must be maintained for the life of the development.
- 14. The construction works must be undertaken in accordance with the approved drawings. Works must be to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and include the following:
  - (a) visitor carparking sign must be installed for the visitor carparking space;
  - (b) signage noting residential parking must be installed for the residential carparking spaces and 'no parking' turning bay as required;
  - (c) parking and vehicle circulation roadways and pedestrian paths must be provided with bollard lighting;
  - (d) wheel stops and linemarking must be installed where required in accordance with Australian Standards AS2890.
- 15. All existing kerb outlets must be abandoned and the kerb reinstated to the satisfaction and approval of Executive Manager Engineering Services as direct stormwater connections to kerb and channel are not permitted.

All works to Council's reticulated stormwater system must be designed to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager – Engineering Services. The relocation, connection or extension to Council's reticulated stormwater mains must be provided by an approved contractor at the applicant's cost. A Permit to carry out works within a Council road reservation must be obtained prior to any stormwater connection works commencing within the Council road reservation.

- 16. All waste material generated by the development or from other sources must be contained in appropriate building waste containers for periodic removal to a licensed disposal site. The receptacle must be of a size to adequately contain the amount of waste generated and must be appropriately located on the subject site and must not impede residential traffic or parking at any time.
- 17. Prior to the occupation of the new dwellings the privacy screens:
  - (a) adjacent to the decks of Units 2, 3 and 4 shown; and
  - (b) to the bedroom window of Unit 2

as shown on the endorsed plans must be installed to the satisfaction of Council. The screens must be maintained for the life of the development to the satisfaction of Council.

18. Prior to the occupation of the new dwellings the landscaping shown on the endorsed landscape plan must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council.

- 19. Prior to the occupation of any of the new dwellings, the existing frontage fence must be relocated or replaced to the correct frontage boundary location and removed from within the road reserve, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Development Services.
- 20. Prior to the occupation of the new dwellings the following works must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council:
  - the parking areas shown on the endorsed plans have been constructed;
  - the garden and landscape areas shown on the endorsed plans have been established;
  - drainage works have been undertaken and completed;
  - Signage for the visitor and residential parking has been installed.
  - Relocation of the front fence, as required by condition 6.
- 21. At least one (1) visitor parking space must be provided for the proposed development. This visitor parking space must be appropriately signposted and kept available for visitor parking at all times. Any future application for strata title in respect of the property must ensure that the visitor parking space is included within the common property on the strata plan.
- 22. The conditions as determined by TasWater, and set out in the attached Appendix A, form part of this permit.

In Favour: Crs Dean Winter, Jo Westwood, Sue Bastone, Gideon Cordover, David Grace,

Amanda Midgley, Christian Street, Steve Wass and Paula Wriedt

Against: Nil

CARRIED 9/0



#### **Submission to Planning Authority Notice**

Council Planning Permit No.	DA-2018-657		Council notice date	17/12/2018	
TasWater details	TasWater details				
TasWater Reference No.	TWDA 2018/0207	2018/02070-KIN		Date of response	9 Jan 2019
TasWater Contact	Greg Clausen	Greg Clausen Phone No.		(03) 6237 8242	
Response issued	to				
Council name	KINGBOROUGH COUNCIL				
Contact details	kc@kingborough.tas.gov.au				
Development details					
Address	26 GRONINGEN RD, KINGSTON			Property ID (PID)	5740307
Description of development	Three multiple dwellings				
Schedule of drawings/documents					
Prepared by		Drawing/doo	cument No.	Revision No.	Date of Issue
Another Perspective		Location Plan			3 Dec 2018
On a distance					

#### Conditions

Pursuant to the *Water and Sewerage Industry Act* 2008 (TAS) Section 56P(1) TasWater imposes the following conditions on the permit for this application:

#### CONNECTIONS, METERING & BACKFLOW

- A suitably sized water supply with metered connections to each dwelling unit must be designed and constructed to TasWater's satisfaction and be in accordance with any other conditions in this permit.
- Any removal/supply and installation of water meters must be carried out by TasWater at the developer's cost.

#### **DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT FEES**

3. The applicant or landowner as the case may be, must pay a development assessment fee of \$211.63 to TasWater, as approved by the Economic Regulator and the fee will be indexed, until the date it is are paid to TasWater, and the payment is required within 30 days of the issue of an invoice by TasWater.

#### Advice

#### General

For information on TasWater development standards, please visit <a href="http://www.taswater.com.au/Development/Development-Standards">http://www.taswater.com.au/Development/Development-Standards</a>

For application forms please visit <a href="http://www.taswater.com.au/Development/Forms">http://www.taswater.com.au/Development/Forms</a>

#### Service Locations

Please note that the developer is responsible for arranging to locate the existing TasWater infrastructure and clearly showing it on the drawings. Existing TasWater infrastructure may be located by a surveyor and/or a private contractor engaged at the developers cost to locate the infrastructure.

A copy of the GIS is included in email with this notice and should aid in updating of the documentation. The location of this infrastructure as shown on the GIS is indicative only.

• A permit is required to work within TasWater's easements or in the vicinity of its infrastructure.

Issue Date: August 2015 Page 1 of 2
Uncontrolled when printed Version No: 0.1



Further information can be obtained from TasWater

- TasWater has listed a number of service providers who can provide asset detection and location services should you require it. Visit <a href="www.taswater.com.au/Development/Service-location">www.taswater.com.au/Development/Service-location</a> for a list of companies
- · TasWater will locate residential water stop taps free of charge
- Sewer drainage plans or Inspection Openings (IO) for residential properties are available from your local council.

#### Declaration

The drawings/documents and conditions stated above constitute TasWater's Submission to Planning Authority Notice.

Authorised by

**Jason Taylor** 

Development Assessment Manager

TasWater Contact Details			
Phone	13 6992	Email	development@taswater.com.au
Mail	GPO Box 1393 Hobart TAS 7001	Web	www.taswater.com.au



Page 2 of 2 Version No: 0.1

Uncontrolled when printed

#### **OPEN SESSION RESUMES**

Open Session resumed at 6.16pm

Cr Fox returned to the meeting at 6.16pm

#### 14 NOTICES OF MOTION

No Notices of Motion were received.

#### 15 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

Reports in response to the petitions headed "Safe Bicycle Way, Algona Road, Kingston" and "Dedicated Rate Rise to Raise the Required Shortfall for the Kingborough Council Climate Change Plan" will be brought to a future Council meeting.

#### 16 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

No Petitions were received.

#### 17 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C282/8-2020

(commences at  $\pm$  47 minutes of audio recording)

#### 17.1 KINGSTON PLACE STRATEGY 2020-2050

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley Seconded: Cr Jo Westwood

That Council:

- (a) endorse the Kingston Place Strategy 2020-2050;
- (b) consider future implementation of the Strategy recommendations by way of normal annual budgeting process; and
- (c) actively seek external funding over the life of the Strategy to support its implementation and the transformation of the central Kingston precinct.

**CARRIED** 

Meeting adjourned at 7.03pm Meeting resumed at 7.15pm C283/8-2020

(commences at ± 1 hour, 45 minutes of audio recording)

#### 17.2 COVID-19 PANDEMIC - COMMUNITY SUPPORT

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That the report detailing the work being undertaken by Council to support the community during the COVID-19 pandemic be received and noted.

**CARRIED** 

C284/8-2020

(commences at ± 1 hour, 59 minutes of audio recording)

#### 17.3 KERBSIDE GREEN WASTE COLLECTION SERVICE

Moved: Cr David Grace Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That Council defer the commencement date of the kerbside green waste service to January 2021.

#### Amendment:

Moved: Cr Jo Westwood Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

Council defer the commencement date of the kerbside green waste service to October 2020, if possible, or January 2021 if this is not possible, and include an equivalent service charge in the 2020/2021 draft budget..

**CARRIED** 

The substantive motion was then put.

**CARRIED** 

C285/8-2020

(commences at ± 2 hours, 32 minutes of audio recording)

#### 17.4 NORTH WEST BAY RIVER MULTI-USE TRAIL FEASIBILITY STUDY

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council endorses the release of the Draft North West Bay River Multi-Use Trail Feasibility Study for public consultation.

**CARRIED** 

#### C286/8-2020

(commences at ± 2 hours, 50 minutes of audio recording)

# 17.5 KINGBOROUGH BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 14 FEBRUARY 2020

Moved: Cr Flora Fox

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Council:

- (a) notes the minutes of the Kingborough Bicycle Advisory Committee; and
- (b) submits a request seeking twenty thousand dollars funding from the Hobart City Deal funding stream to be used for the development of a new Kingborough Bike Plan.

**CARRIED** 

#### C287/8-2020

#### 18 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION

Moved: Cr Flora Fox

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

#### **Confirmation of Minutes**

Regulation 34(6) In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.

#### Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 15(2)(h) applications by councillors for a leave of absence

#### Tender Acceptance - Algona Road Stormwater

Regulation 15 (2)(d) contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY AND BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting ceased.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 8.28pm.

#### **OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS**

### **OPEN SESSION RESUMES**

Open Session of Council resumed at 8.45pm.

#### C288/8-2020

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover Seconded: Cr Sue Bastone

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Nil
Tender Acceptance - Algona Road Stormwater	Tender awarded to Bullocks Civil Contracting for \$388,105 excl GST

**CARRIED** 

#### **CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 8.46pm.

