

# Kingborough



## COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

9 June 2020

# Kingborough Councillors 2018 - 2022



**Mayor**  
Councillor Dean Winter



**Deputy Mayor**  
Councillor Jo Westwood



Councillor Sue Bastone



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor David Grace



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Christian Street



Councillor Steve Wass



Councillor Paula Wriedt

# Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
Open Session			
	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners	1
	3	Attendees	1
	4	Apologies	1
C324/10-2020	5	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
	9	Questions without Notice from the Public	2
	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	2
C325/10-2020	10.1	North Roslyn Avenue	2
C326/10-2020	10.2	Huntingfield Development	3
C327/10-2020	10.3	Fencing at the Blowhole Reserve, Blackmans Bay	5
	11	Questions without Notice from Councillors	6
C328/10-2020	11.1	Emission of Smoke from a Property at Great Bay on Bruny Island	6
C329/10-2020	11.2	BBQ Shelter at Barnes Bay	6
C330/10-2020	11.3	Sealing of the Road from Alonnah to Lunawanna	6
C331/10-2020	11.4	Dog Poo Bags at Silverwater Park and Signage	7
C332/10-2020	11.5	Short Stay Accommodation	7
C333/10-2020	11.6	Building Permits	8
	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	8
C334/10-2020	12.1	Blackmans Bay Beach	8
C335/10-2020	12.2	Zero Tolerance of Racism During the Covid Pandemic	9
C336/10-2020	12.3	Roadside Spraying	10
	13	Officers Reports to Planning Authority	11
C337/10-2020	13.1	PSA-2020-2 - Amendment to the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015 to Formally Incorporate Supplementary Documents	11
	14	Notices of Motion	12
	15	Petitions still being Actioned	12
	16	Petitions Received in Last Period	12
	17	Officers Reports to Council	12

## Table of Contents *(cont.)*

<b>Item</b>		<b>Page No.</b>
C338/10-2020	17.1 Fees and Charges 2020/21	12
C339/10-2020	17.2 Kingborough Play Space and Playground Audit 2020	13
C340/10-2020	17.3 Green Waste Vouchers	13
C341/10-2020	17.4 Kingborough Senior Citizens Club Lease	14
C342/10-2020	17.5 Appointment of representative C Cell Unit Trust	14
C343/10-2020	17.6 May 2018 Community Recovery and Resilience Grants (CRRG) Projects	14
C344/10-2020	18 Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	15

Public Copy

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council held on  
 Tuesday, 9 June 2020 at 5.30pm

**1 AUDIO RECORDING**

---

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 5.30pm, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council’s policy the Chairperson requested confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

**2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL OWNERS**

---

The Mayor paid his respect and Council’s respect to the traditional and original owners of this land, the muwinina people. The Mayor acknowledged the contemporary Tasmania aboriginal community who have survived invasion and disposition and continue to maintain and fight for their identity, their culture and indigenous rights.

**3 ATTENDEES**

---

**Councillors:**

- Mayor Councillor D Winter ✓
- Deputy Mayor Councillor J Westwood ✓
- Councillor S Bastone ✓
- Councillor G Cordover ✓
- Councillor F Fox ✓
- Councillor D Grace ✓
- Councillor A Midgley ✓
- Councillor C Street ✓
- Councillor S Wass ✓
- Councillor P Wriedt ✓

Public Copy

**Staff:**

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| General Manager                                   | Mr Gary Arnold       |
| Deputy General Manager                            | Mr Tony Ferrier      |
| Chief Financial Officer                           | Mr John Breen        |
| Executive Manager Engineering Services            | Mr David Reeve       |
| Executive Manager Governance & Community Services | Mr Daniel Smee       |
| Manager Finance                                   | Mr Tim Jones         |
| Manager Development Services                      | Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore |
| Strategic Planner                                 | Mr Adriaan Stander   |
| Stormwater Engineer                               | Mr Alex Aronsson     |
| Urban Designer                                    | Mr Paul Donnelly     |
| Communications & Engagement Advisor               | Ms Sarah Wilcox      |
| Executive Assistant                               | Mrs Amanda Morton    |

**4 APOLOGIES**

---

There were no apologies.

**C324/10-2020***(commences at ± 3 minutes of audio recording)*

---

**5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

---

Moved: Cr Flora Fox  
Seconded: Cr Sue Bastone

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No. 9 held on 25 May 2020 be confirmed as a true record.

**CARRIED**

---

**6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING**

---

No workshops had been held since the last Council meeting.

---

**7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

---

There were no declarations of interest.

---

**8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS**

---

There were no agenda items transferred.

---

**9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

---

There were no questions without notice from the public.

---

**10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

---

**C325/10-2020***(commences at ± 5 minutes of audio recording)***10.1 North Roslyn Avenue**

**Ms Tricia Ramsay** submitted the following questions on notice:

1. *Given the conflict of interest, will the General Manager give his assurance that an independent engineering consultancy be employed to carry out Council's instructions in support of the speed limit reduction on North Roslyn Avenue?*
2. *Will the General Manager give his assurance that 2017 ATS data – collected to specifically reflect **speeds** on North Roslyn Avenue – will be provided to the independent engineering consultancy: because it wasn't provided to GHD to inform its engineering assessments last year?*
3. *Will the General Manager give his assurance that the submission from Kingborough Council to the relevant state government agency regarding Council's instruction to recommend a speed limit reduction will do just that, in addition to providing separate details of the survey results?*

**Officer's Response:**

Council formally wrote to Department of State Growth following the resolution of Council to recommend to the Department of State Growth (DSG) that the speed limit on Roslyn Avenue between Beach Road and Jindabyne Road be reduced to 40 km/h. DSG was also provided with the original petition, the initial and subsequent Council reports and GHD's report.

DSG advised that they will not make an assessment on the speed limit and that if Council considers that a speed limit change is warranted a formal submission to the Transport Commissioner is required. The submission needs to be supported by a report that demonstrates that the proposed change complies with the Australian Standards and Austroads Guidelines. The Transport Commission requires Council to consult with road users and local residents about any proposed speed limit change and include a report on the consultation and the feedback received as part of its submission. The community consultation currently being conducted on the proposed speed limit reduction on Roslyn Avenue to 40 km/h is a requirement of Council's submission to the Transport Commissioner.

Council engaged an engineering consultancy to undertake an independent assessment of the performance of Roslyn Avenue and consider mitigation measures to address the concerns raised in the original petition. Their assessment and recommendations have already been discussed in the December 2019 meeting and will be included in Council's submission to the Transport Commissioner.

There is no conflict of interest between Council's motion and Council Officers' actions. DSG have clearly advised what Council must provide in their submission to the Transport Commissioner. A secondary independent engineering consultancy is not required to carry out Council's motion.

*Renai Clark, Roads & Stormwater Engineer*

**C326/10-2020****10.2 Huntingfield Development**

**Mr Darryl Pyrke** submitted the following question on notice:

- 1. From now on, what are the various stages of the planning, subdivision and subsequent building development application process?*
- 2. At what stage(s) of this process does Council have a chance to approve, reject or modify the proposal?*
- 3. At what stage(s) of this process will the public have the opportunity to have any input.*
- 4. What items and costs are Council likely to incur for any part of the development, including any capital infrastructure involved?*
- 5. Is the funding for the proposed new roundabout on Channel Highway coming from the \$20.8M City Deal funding allocated to Kingborough to address traffic congestion?*
- 6. Can Council confirm that the draft Master Plan on PinPoint includes land described as Open Space and gives the impression of being part of the development, but is actually part of the Peter Murrell Conservation Area?*

7. *What opportunities have there been for Councillors or Council staff to meet with the Minister or his staff, or the Project Manager, to discuss any aspect of the proposed development at Huntingfield, and were these opportunities taken up by Council?*

**Officer's Response:**

1. The Department of Communities Tasmania will finalise the proposed Master Plan for the Huntingfield development. This will then be submitted to Council for its endorsement.

Clauses F5.8.1 and F5.9.1 of the Huntingfield Housing Land Supply Order Specific Area Plan provide a Permitted pathway through the relevant subdivision standards at A1 if Kingborough Council 'endorses' a master plan for the entire site.

There is however no obligation for Council to endorse the plan.

Neither the *Housing Land Supply Act 2018* or the Order specify how the Council is to endorse the Master Plan.

However, a motion to endorse the Master Plan could be an agenda item at a Council Meeting and recognised as a formal decision of Council.

If Council elects not to endorse the Master Plan, the subdivision of the site will be Discretionary through these subdivision standards and require consideration against the Performance Criteria at clause F5.8.1 P1 and F5.9.1 P1, which includes matters such as lot layout, road connections, staging of the subdivision, provision of public transport, open space, pedestrian and cycle connections, and stormwater management.

It should be noted that Council's endorsement of the Master Plan only provides a Permitted pathway at F5.8.1 and F5.9.1, and the overall subdivision application will be considered as Discretionary due to the construct of the *Local Government (Building and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1993*, and there are various standards in the Specific Area Plan that will require Discretionary consideration by the Council, such as the assessment of roads at clause F5.9.2, where there is no Acceptable Solution.

As it is discretionary, the subdivision application will be publicly advertised. The application will be supported by all necessary background reports – it is at this stage that the detailed impact of the proposed development will be described. The assessment will be conducted by Council officers (as for any subdivision application) and a report will be considered by Council. The decision will be subject to appeal. If approved, the subdivision will allow the creation of individual titles and the potential sale of these new land parcels and the subsequent lodgement of individual development and building applications.

2. As outlined above, Council can approve with conditions or refuse the subdivision application.
3. The public has the opportunity to have input into the current Master Plan and then when the subdivision application is submitted it will be publicly advertised.
4. This is essentially a private development and Council is not required to contribute to any capital infrastructure as part of this development.
5. No. The expenditure of the funds allocated to the Kingston Congestion Package (as part of the Hobart City Deal) was explained in a report to Council at its meeting on 25 May 2020.
6. The draft Master Plan on PinPoint shows how the proposed public open space on the eastern edge of the Huntingfield development connects on to the existing trails within the Peter Murrell Conservation Area. It is understood that details within the Peter Murrell



reserve were only shown so that these connections could be properly understood. The plan clearly shows the boundary between these two properties.

7. Council staff have met with Departmental officers on a number of occasions in regard to this development proposal. The last meeting was held in the Minister's office on 28 February 2020. The Mayor and council officers met with the Minister, Project Manager, and ministerial staff to discuss the proposed development last year. Despite best endeavours from each party we were unable to find a suitable date to meet pre COVID-19 and commencement of the current public consultation by the state government.

*Tony Ferrier, Deputy General Manager*

## **C327/10-2020**

### **10.3 Fencing at the Blowhole Reserve, Blackmans Bay**

**Mrs Anne Harrison** submitted the following question on notice:

1. *Can you please provide us with a detailed reason why such a peer review cannot be furnished or was deemed unnecessary?*
2. *Ratepayers would also appreciate knowing the recommendation(s), if any, of Council's insurer. Can you please provide ratepayers with a copy of the Insurer's advice if such exists?*

#### **Officer's Response:**

1. A peer review of the IPM Report was not considered necessary for the following reasons:
  - The report was undertaken by suitably qualified professionals.
  - The process undertaken by IPM in developing the report is considered robust and in accordance with Council's risk profile.
  - The report was reviewed by Council's insurer and advice provided that the recommendations were reasonable.
  - It is not standard practice for Council to double up on the provision of professional advice.
2. The recommendations provided by Council's insurers were as follows:
  - a) Council should undertake due diligence in relation to the installation of anchor points for abseiling.
  - b) Council should obtain geo-technical advice in relation to the cliffs.
  - c) Council should give consideration to the Aboriginal Heritage of the area.

*Daniel Smee, Executive Manager Governance & Community Services*

---

**11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

---

**C328/10-2020***(commences at ± 6 minutes of audio recording)***11.1 Emission of Smoke from a Property at Great Bay on Bruny Island**

**Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice:

*I have received a number of phone calls and e-mails about the emission of smoke that is coming from a property at Great Bay on Bruny Island. It appears to be a peak fire that has been burning for some weeks now. Is the Council taking some action about this on behalf of the residents? I realise that we don't have any jurisdiction over fires as such but the EPA, DPIPW and the owners all seem to be passing it from one to the other so I'm wondering what we can do about it.*

**Mayor responds:**

I have been provided with photos from a number of residents and yourself and have been contacted by a number of residents. I spoke to the office of Minister Mark Shelton who is the Minister for Emergency Services today and they have provided me with the advice that from tomorrow the Tasmanian Fire Service will be taking control of that fire and my understanding is that means that they will be the lead agency in dealing with it.

**C329/10-2020****11.2 BBQ Shelter at Barnes Bay**

**Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice:

*On reading the Cruising Yacht Club of Tasmania's proposals to build at Barnes Bay, the report states 'after our proposal was presented and formally discuss, it has progressed to the next stage and planning approval has been granted'. Is this true? Have we granted a planning approval on land that isn't our land? What part has Mr Paul Donnelly played in submitting plans for this BBQ shelter?*

**Deputy General Manager responds:**

There has been no development application lodged and Mr Donnelly has only had some additional conversations with the Cruising Yacht Club of Tasmania. We are aware of their proposal and that is being investigated. There has been no commitments made as Cr Bastone indicated. The proposal is on Crown Land so Crown consent will be needed prior to any lodgement of a DA.

**C330/10-2020****11.3 Sealing of the Road from Alonnah to Lunawanna**

**Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice:

*I thought the original plan for sealing the Alonnah to the Lunawanna Road included from the Lunawanna Hall to the start of the Lighthouse Road, but it appears to have stopped 150m short. Is there a reason for this?*

**Deputy General Manager responds:**

No, I'm not aware of that. I understood that the sealing of the main road was only from the end of the seal at Alonnah to the end of the seal at Lunawanna. I wasn't aware that there was a further extension of that seal on the southern side of Lunawanna. I think it only goes to the actual Lunawanna township itself but if there was an original proposal to go further, we can investigate that with the Department of State Growth and see why that additional bit wasn't included.

**C331/10-2020****11.4 Dog Poo Bags at Silverwater Park and Signage**

**Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice:

*I read in the latest online Woodbridge News that the dog poo bags have already been installed at Silverwater Park. I went down and I couldn't find them. Could we therefore know when they will be installed? Will the signs that forbid dogs in Silverwater Park and the beach be removed, rather than be there beside the dispenser of dog poo bags?*

**Executive Manager Engineering Services responds:**

I will have to take that on notice.

**C332/10-2020****11.5 Short Stay Accommodation**

**Cr Cordover** asked the following question without notice:

*In February 2020, the first report on data collection from the Short Stay Accommodation Act 2019 was published. It showed that there were 223 properties in Kingborough listed as short stay accommodation properties where it was not the owners principal place of residence. That is to say that the entire property was used for Airbnb. What is the total number of properties in Kingborough that are currently approved for visitor accommodation?*

**Manager Development Services responds:**

The data that has come back from the State Government through that new Act has revealed that there is quite a lot of errors in their data which we have raised with them. I don't have the number on hand about how many approvals we do have but we can provide that information at the next meeting.

**Cr Cordover:**

Do we anticipate that all of those properties will go back to short stay accommodation after the Covid pandemic or is there an opinion that some of those will revert to rentals?

**Manager Development Services responds:**

We wouldn't want to speculate about what people want to do and we don't have any anecdotal information from applicants that I'm aware of about what they want to do. In some instances they may revert back to residential but in a lost instances where properties that are rural resourced or other similar zones it may be prohibited to close a long term rental because that is what the provisions of that zone dictate.

**Cr Cordover:**

On 2 June the Mercury reported the Premier as saying that it was up to Local Councils to restrict the number of short term rental properties if they felt that this would increase housing affordability and the quote from that Mercury articles says "Mr Gutwein said it was not the State Government's job to cap short term rental numbers as that power was already in the hands of the Councils." Do you have any comment on the Premier's assertion? Is it true that this power to cap short term accommodation falls solely to Councils? Has Council considered capping short term accommodation properties similar to the attempt by Byronshire Council?

**Manager Development Services responds:**

It wouldn't be as simple to cap the number of people with visitor accommodation because we have to assess each application on its own merits. If there are no grounds to refuse it then you can't refuse it. If we did want to go down that road then we would need to introduce some sort of policy or specific area plan that applies to the municipality that may have restrictions on it. Hobart City Council, Battery Point and Flinders planning scheme do have restrictions in them which is different to all the other Southern Councils. We don't have that in place and its my understanding that it would be up to the State Government who controls it as well as they have the power to implement that through the planning schemes.

**C333/10-2020****11.6 Building Permits**

**Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice:

*Has the building compliance that the Government brought on been extended or not because people are still receiving letters from Council giving them only to June to fix their problem?*

**General Manager responds:**

I will have to take that question on notice and check whether the State Government have indeed extended the time frames given the Covid situation.

**Cr Grace:**

Could you please provide us with the number of people who have been issued with these infringement notices for failing to comply and why they are charged so much in costs, and how many have been resolved?

**General Manager:**

I will take that on notice.

---

**12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

---

**C334/10-2020**

*(commences at ± 19 minutes of audio recording)*

**12.1 Blackmans Bay Beach**

At the Council meeting on 25 May 2020, **Cr Westwood** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*Is there an update that we can have on the water quality and infrastructure works in Blackmans Bay?*

**Officer's Response:**

Low flow devices have been installed on the two largest stormwater outlets on Blackmans Bay Beach and have been operating for some time. Some recent tweaks to the low flow devices have been undertaken and further minor improvements will be made as required to ensure they operate as efficiently and effectively as possible. Further rollout of low flow devices will be discussed with TasWater shortly. Monitoring of the stormwater outlets and at several marine locations continues to occur on a weekly basis for both Blackmans Bay and Kingston Beach and have continued to

provide good results. Council Stormwater Investigations Officer has been working closely with TasWater identifying possible pollutant sources in the Kingston Beach and Blackmans Bay catchments and this has identified several issues which would have been causing significant pollution within the stormwater network; these have now been rectified. It will remain a priority and ongoing task to continue to work through the catchments to identify any remaining pollutant sources. The positive results from the long term monitoring coupled with our active investigation role in the Blackmans Bay area means that we are now in a position to provide a submission to the Department of Health seeking a regrading for the water quality for Blackmans Bay Beach. This work is being undertaken by an independent expert consultant and its envisaged that this will be forwarded to the Department of Health in late June/early July.

*David Reeve, Executive Manager Engineering Services*

## **C335/10-2020**

### **12.2 Zero Tolerance of Racism During the Covid Pandemic**

At the Council meeting on 25 May 2020, **Cr Cordover** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*What is Council doing to record instances of vilification in the municipality, what is Council doing to protect people from it and to raise awareness of our zero tolerance approach to it and what can we do to help law enforcement to bring people to accountability for those who are responsible for it?*

#### **Officer's Response:**

Council maintains a file to record instances of vilification reported within the community. There have been very few cases reported to Council over the years, with the most recent being in November 2019. Section 20 of the *Local Government Act 1993* states that one of the functions of a council is to "provide for the health, safety and welfare of the community". Within this context, Council has a broad-based approach to addressing issues of community safety that includes the operation of the Kingborough Community Safety Committee. This group includes representation from Tasmania Police to assist with the identification of trends in relation to local crime statistics. The Committee was instrumental in assisting Council to obtain grant funding to recently upgrade and install additional CCTV cameras throughout Kingston and Blackmans Bay to provide greater surveillance of public areas and identification of the perpetrators of crime and anti-social behaviour.

Council's Youth Services staff have addressed the issue of racism as part of the Respectful Relationships program conducted in schools and outreach initiatives. Racial vilification has not come up as an issue in the various youth consultation and engagement activities conducted over the years as part of the development of the Youth Strategy and associated program development. Council has in previous years conducted events as part of Harmony Day to highlight the message of inclusiveness and respect amongst all our residents.

As noted by Mayor Winter at the last Council meeting, the four greater Hobart Mayors have recently recorded a short video with a message of tolerance and unity during the challenging times associated with the COVID-19 pandemic.

*Daniel Smee, Executive Manager Governance & Community Services*

**C336/10-2020****12.3 Roadside Spraying**

At the Council meeting on 25 May 2020, **Cr Midgley** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

- 1 *How often does roadside spraying occur across Kingborough?*
- 2 *How do we notify the community when this will occur?*
- 3 *How often to people apply for opt-out of roadside spraying? I understand it's two years.*
- 4 *Have we thought about increasing it to three years?*
- 5 *How do we notify community members that roadside spraying is up for renewal?*
- 6 *What is the product used for roadside spraying?*
- 7 *What is the volume used?*
- 8 *Does it vary from area to area?*

**Officer's Response:**

- 1 Urban areas twice a year and rural areas once a year, our urban area, particularly footpaths and roadside kerbing is serviced more regularly as required.
- 2 Notification occurs via Council's website, social media outlets, snapshot and Kingborough Chronicle.
- 3 We advertise every year but applicants need to re-apply/register every two years to remain on the no spray register. This is to cover instances where people may have moved or a change in circumstances.
- 4 Yes, however no change was deemed necessary as the current regime allows for more accurate record keeping.
- 5 Existing registrations are included in a mail out, and we also use Council's website, social media outlets and other printed media.
- 6 Predominantly Glyphosate.
- 7 Boom spraying covers between 1.5 and 2 meters generally from the shoulder of the road to the back of the drain in Rural areas. Spot spraying is different as we try and cover all visible weeds in Urban areas. The product is diluted in accordance with the manufacturers recommended dosage, this can vary with different products. The total volume used is based on the square meter coverage per litre. Volumes used vary annually due to a range of factors including seasonal, weather conditions, growth of weeds, contractor availability, etc.
- 8 The approach taken to roadside spraying is consistent in the urban and rural areas of Kingborough.

*Darren Johnson, Manager Works*

**OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS**

## PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning Authority commenced at 5.49pm

### 13 OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

**C337/10-2020**

(commences at ± 19 minutes of audio recording)

#### 13.1 PSA-2020-2 - AMENDMENT TO THE KINGBOROUGH INTERIM PLANNING SCHEME 2015 TO FORMALLY INCORPORATE SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTS

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley  
Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council resolves that the report of the Manager Development Services be received and that:

- (a) Pursuant to section 34(1) (b) of the former provisions of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, Council resolve to initiate Amendment PSA-2020-2 to the *Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015*;
- (b) Pursuant to section 35 of the former provisions of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, Council certify that Amendment PSA-2020-2 to the *Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015* meets the requirements of section 32 of the former provisions of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* and authorise the General Manager to sign the Instrument of Certification;
- (c) Pursuant to section 38 of the former provisions of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, Council place Amendment PSA-2020-2 to the *Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015* on public exhibition for a period of at least 28 days following certification.

**CARRIED**

PLANNING AUTHORITY SESSION ADJOURNS

---

**OPEN SESSION**

---

Open Session resumes at 6.04pm

---

**14 NOTICES OF MOTION**

---

At the time the Minutes was compiled there were no Notices of Motion received.

---

**15 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED**

---

There are no petitions still being actioned.

---

**16 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD**

---

At the time the Agenda was compiled no Petitions had been received.

---

**17 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL**

---

**C338/10-2020**

*(commences at ± 35 minutes of audio recording)*

**17.1 FEES AND CHARGES 2020/21**

Moved: Cr Flora Fox

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Council adopts the attached Fees and Charges Schedule for the 2020/21 financial year, with the following changes to Planning Fees:

Fee 15. Subdivision - increasing from \$689 to \$758

Fee 16. ... plus per proposed lot (including balance lot) - increasing from \$161 to \$177

Fee 36. Amendment to Planning Scheme - from \$4,016 to \$7,028

Fee 37. Urgent planning scheme amendment - from \$464 to \$580

which are in keeping with Council's adoption of the Planning Review in December 2019.

In Favour: Crs Dean Winter, Jo Westwood, Sue Bastone, Gideon Cordover, Flora Fox, Amanda Midgley, Christian Street, Steve Wass and Paula Wriedt

Against: Cr David Grace

**CARRIED 9/1**



**C339/10-2020***(commences at ± 1 hour, 1 minute of audio recording)***17.2 KINGBOROUGH PLAY SPACE AND PLAYGROUND AUDIT 2020**

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That:

- (a) The activities and results of the *Kingborough Play Space and Playground Audit 2020* be noted;
- (b) The findings of the *Kingborough Play Space and Playground Audit 2020* be endorsed;
- (c) The *Kingborough Play Space and Playground Audit 2020* be published to Council's website;
- (d) Information about the Audit activities and results be promoted via appropriate communications channels, in accordance with Council's Communications and Engagement Policy;
- (e) Appropriate webpage content be developed for publication to Council's website that includes (for each play space and playground):
  - (i) the *Kingborough Play Space and Playground Audit 2020* score and ranking,
  - (ii) list of facilities available,
  - (iii) site images, and
  - (iv) other related information, as appropriate.
- (f) The Asset Register be updated to reflect the findings of the *Kingborough Play Space and Playground Audit 2020* (including play space and playground classifications as presented in the revised 'Master List of Playgrounds').

**CARRIED***Meeting adjourned at 7.05pm**Meeting resumed at 7.16pm***C340/10-2020***(commences at ± 1 hour, 38 minutes of audio recording)***17.3 GREEN WASTE VOUCHERS**

Moved: Cr David Grace

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

- 10.1 That Council maintains the current number of free disposal weekends and reschedules the events to the November and January to maximise the opportunity for property owners to reduce the fuel load on their properties.
- 10.2 Will reconsider a green waste voucher system for the 2021/22 financial year.

**CARRIED**

**C341/10-2020**

*(commences at ± 2 hours, 3 minutes of audio recording)*

**17.4 KINGBOROUGH SENIOR CITIZENS CLUB LEASE**

Moved: Cr Steve Wass  
 Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That:

The Kingborough Senior Citizens Club be offered a lease over the Council owned property at 35 Redwood Road, Kingston, that includes the following terms and conditions:

- (a) A lease period of five years from 1 July 2020;
- (b) A rental of \$10 per annum (if demanded);
- (c) The lessee to pay all rates (excluding general rates), taxes, statutory charges, utility costs and other outgoings associated with the use of the premises;
- (d) The lessee to be responsible for the maintenance of the premises, fittings and contents as required to keep them in good repair and in a neat and tidy condition;
- (e) Council to be responsible for repairs to the building associated with structural damage, natural disasters, vandalism and fair wear and tear; and
- (f) Council to be responsible for the maintenance of the land surrounding the building, including the grassed areas, gardens, paths and carparks.

**CARRIED**

**C342/10-2020**

*(commences at ± 2 hours, 14 minutes of audio recording)*

**17.5 APPOINTMENT OF REPRESENTATIVE C CELL UNIT TRUST**

Moved: Cr Flora Fox  
 Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Council resolve to appoint the Mayor, Cr Dean Winter as Council’s representative to the C Cell Unit Trust and Cr Sue Bastone as proxy.

**CARRIED**

**C343/10-2020**

*(commences at ± 2 hours, 16 minutes of audio recording)*

**17.6 MAY 2018 COMMUNITY RECOVERY AND RESILIENCE GRANTS (CRRG) PROJECTS**

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover  
 Seconded: Cr David Grace

*For Whitewater Creek Flood Study*

That Council:

- (a) engage with the community about the result of this study;
- (b) incorporate the 1% AEP storm event for the year 2100 flood maps for the catchment developed in this study into Council’s planning process;

- (c) develop a waterway maintenance plan;
- (d) further investigate, and where appropriate implement, other non-structural measures; and
- (e) further investigate the proposed flood protection levees along Whitewater Creek as a preferred potential structural mitigation option.

*For Kingston CBD CRP*

That Council:

- (a) engage with the community about the result of this study;
- (b) incorporate the 1% AEP storm event for the year 2100 flood maps for the catchment developed in this study into Council's planning process;
- (c) undertake further detailed assessments, and where appropriate implement, the top six recommended management measures in the resilience program for Kingston CBD: Review Flood Emergency Management, Flood Planning and Control, Flood Awareness Program, Owner Contribution to Flood Mitigation, Consider Depth Indicators on Roads and Creek and Drainage Maintenance; and
- (d) further investigate identified structural mitigation options that provide a significant reduction in flood risk for Kingston CBD.

*For Blackmans Bay CRP*

That Council:

- (a) engage with the community about the result of this study;
- (b) Incorporate the 1% AEP storm event for the year 2100 flood maps developed in this study into Council's planning process;
- (c) undertake further detailed assessments, and where appropriate implement, of the non-structural mitigation measures identified as priority 2 – 5 (refer to Table 8.1 of the report) based on the MCA and their ability to provide a significant reduction of flood risk in Blackmans Bay; and
- (d) undertake further investigations of the two recommended structural mitigation options in Blackmans Bay: SM5 Roslyn Avenue and SM6 View and Hazell Street.

**CARRIED**

**C344/10-2020**

**18 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION**

---

Moved: Cr Flora Fox  
Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That in accordance with the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

**Confirmation of Minutes**

Regulation 34(6) In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.

**Applications for Leave of Absence**

Regulation 15(2)(h) applications by councillors for a leave of absence

**CARRIED AND BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Public Copy

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting will now cease.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 8.09pm.

**OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS**

**OPEN SESSION RESUMES**

Open Session of Council resumed at 8.14pm

**C345/10-2020**

Moved: Cr Flora Fox  
 Seconded: Cr Jo Westwood

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Nil

**CARRIED**

**CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 8.15pm.

.....  
 (Confirmed)

.....  
 (Date)

Public Copy