

Kingborough



COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

1 March 2021

These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council

Kingborough Councillors 2018 - 2022



Mayor
Councillor Dean Winter



Deputy Mayor
Councillor Jo Westwood



Councillor Sue Bastone



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor David Grace



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Christian Street



Councillor Steve Wass



Councillor Paula Wriedt

Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
Open Session			
	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	3	Attendees	1
C68/4-2021	4	Apologies	1
C69/4-2021	5	Confirmation of Minutes	1
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
C70/4-2021	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
	9	Questions without Notice from the Public	2
	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	2
	11	Questions without Notice from Councillors	2
C71/4-2021	11.1	Story Boards, Gordon	2
C72/4-2021	11.2	Silverwater Park Erosion on Access Driveway	2
C73/4-2021	11.3	Stormwater Management Upgrade Plans	3
C74/4-2021	11.4	Items listed in the Mayor's Diary	3
C75/4-2021	11.5	DSG Maintenance Responsibility for Roundabouts	4
C76/4-2021	11.6	Kettering Hall Windows	4
C77/4-2021	11.7	Kingborough Sports Centre	5
C78/4-2021	11.8	Footpath in Huntingfield	6
C79/4-2021	11.9	KSC Policy on Bookings	7
C80/4-2021	11.10	Single Use Plastics at the Hub Café	7
C81/4-2021	11.11	Youth Advisory Group	8
C82/4-2021	11.12	Climate Change Act Involvement	8
C83/4-2021	11.13	KWS Report Waste Education Funding Timeline	8
C84/4-2021	11.14	Grant for Sealing of Pump at Mountain Bike Park	9
C85/4-2021	11.15	KSC Basketball	9
	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	9
C86/4-2021	12.1	Recycling Stations	9
C87/4-2021	12.2	Number of Roads Sealed since 1993	9
C88/4-2021	12.3	Removal of Trees next to Sherberd Oval	10

Table of Contents *(cont.)*

Item		Page No.
	13 Officers Reports to Planning Authority	11
C89/4-2021	13.1 DA-2020-715 - Development Application for 4 Multiple Dwellings at 180 Channel Highway, Tarooma (CT157047/0)	11
	14 Notices of Motion	14
C90/4-2021	14.1 Kettering and Snug Speed Limits	14
	15 Petitions still being Actioned	14
	16 Petitions Received in Last Period	14
C91/4-2021	16.1 Longley Catchment Compost Toilet	14
	17 Officers Reports to Council	14
C92/4-2021	17.1 Minister's Roadmap and Proposal to amend the Southern Tasmanian Regional Land Use Strategy (STRLUS)	14
C93/4-2021	17.2 Container Refund Scheme and Waste to Landfill Levy	16
C94/4-2021	17.3 Petition: Development of Walking Track in Spring Farm and Whitewater Park Estates to Connect to Huntingfield	16
C95/4-2021	17.4 Petition - Repair or Replace Collapsed Road Surface in Village Drive, Kingston	17
C96/4-2021	17.5 Proposed Street Names - Kingston Park	17
C97/4-2021	18 Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	17

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston
Monday, 1 March 2021 at 5.30pm

1 AUDIO RECORDING

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council's policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today's Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

3 ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Mayor Councillor D Winter	✓
Deputy Mayor Councillor J Westwood	✓
Councillor S Bastone	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor C Street	✓
Councillor S Wass	✓
Councillor P Wriedt	✓

Staff:

General Manager	Mr Gary Arnold
Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Director Engineering Services	Mr David Reeve
Manager Development Services	Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore
Media & Communications Advisor	Ms Sam Adams
Customer Services Coordinator	Ms Kelly Nichols

C68/4-2021

4 APOLOGIES

Councillor D Grace

C69/4-2021

(commences at ± 2 minutes of Part A of audio recording)

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
Seconded: Cr Sue Bastone

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No.0 held on 27 February 2021 be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED

6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

22 February - By-Laws

C70/4-2021

7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The General Manager declared an interest in an item in closed session headed "General Manager's Performance Review".

8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no questions without notice from the public.

10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no questions on notice from the public.

11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

C71/4-2021

(commences at ± 4 minutes of audio recording)

11.1 Story Boards, Gordon

Cr Bastone asked the following question without notice:

Recently the South Channel ratepayers and residents along with the Kingborough Council erected several story boards at Three Hut Point and the caravan park known locally as Abbott's Point. One of the signs at Three Hut Point has 13 indigenous names and place names that have been capitalised and there are 2 on one of the other signs. Is this a mistake as other Aboriginal words on the sign are not capitalised? If it's a mistake, who proof read the signs before they were produced? Will they be redone and at whose cost? At Abbott's Point, the sign relating to the Union Church as one photograph well out of alignment. Again, is this a mistake or just a bad design?

Mayor responds:

We will take your questions on notice.

C72/4-2021

11.2 Silverwater Park Erosion on Access Driveway

Cr Bastone asked the following question without notice:

Can Council undertake to make emergency repairs to the access driveway regardless of when the formal work on Silverwater Park is to begin?

Director Engineering Services responds:

That certainly can be looked at and I would encourage the public that if they do come across any of these, to lodge a service request with customer services as that could be dealt with immediately.

C73/4-2021**11.3 Stormwater Management Upgrade Plans**

Cr Cordover asked the following question without notice:

Does Council have long term infrastructure plans to upgrade the 3000 pipes so that they are fit for purpose? How much will these upgrades cost? How long before all 9500 pipes are fit for purpose and able to withstand a once in 20 year flood event?

Director Engineering Services responds:

Our plans now and going forward is to do more detailed flood analysis of priority areas. Anything that gets identified through this process will then go through our normal capital works process in terms of upgrading those. I would also add is that in many cases, the pipelines themselves may not have a capacity that is suitable, but we also rely on the overland flow pass as well, as does every other Council. We need to take that into account when we are looking at whether or not pipes need to be upgraded. Yes, the intention is to do the detailed analysis and yes, the intention would be to upgrade pipes as they are needed.

Cr Cordover:

Would a street tree strategy assist in stormwater mitigation by having more street trees rather than concrete?

Director Engineering Services:

Predominantly street tree strategies aren't put in place as a primary reason to help with the stormwater. However, we do know that any sort of vegetation is important in terms of the quality of stormwater.

C74/4-2021**11.4 Items listed in the Mayor's Diary**

Cr Cordover asked the following question without notice:

On 8 January you met with Mr Clennett of Mitre 10 regarding a proposed planning scheme amendment. What are some of the proposals?

Mayor responds:

The General Manager and I met with Mr Clennett and his planner. They wanted us to be aware at a very high level of a planning scheme amendment that they were thinking about on the site where Mitre 10 is at Huntingfield. It is currently industrially zoned and he was talking about some of the change in use might require it to be changed to commercial. He hasn't submitted a planning scheme amendment at this stage.

Cr Cordover:

The shared path extension meeting with David Bain and Nic Street, where is the shared path extension up to?

Mayor responds:

Mr Street invited myself and Mr Bain to come and have a chat about the forthcoming petition. At that stage Council didn't have a position on the petition but will hopefully after tonight. I explained that we were keen to look at the proposal and obviously receive the petition at that stage and then we would get a report and explain the process from our point of view. Obviously once we deal with the item, then Council will have a position and we can go forward from there, lobbying at both State and Federal level for support or, depending on the price, potentially undertaking the project on our own.

Cr Cordover:

Met with Metro Tas to discuss City Deal projects?

Mayor:

We invited the Chair and CEO of Metro to come to Kingborough. The CEO of Metro is quite new to Tasmania, previously been working at Melbourne Airport. We invited them both to talk about the City Deal predominantly and some of the transport issues. The General Manager and I actually walked them down the Channel Highway in Kingston and showed the new CEO and the Chair what it is like catching the bus in and out of Kingston. The good thing is that we've got the funding there to fix it but they are obviously quite interested in the City Deal and that component of rebuilding Kingston. We also asked them for some assistance to fast track Council's engagement with Metro so that we can get some momentum and some of those councillors who were at the workshop on Friday about one of the proposal that Metro is now engaged with us on. Since that meeting, Council's Transform Kingston program manager has been invited onto a working group with both State Growth and Metro to talk about public transport in and out of Kingborough. It was a very productive meeting and I was really impressed with the new CEO. She is showing some great signs that we are going to see some positive changes out of Metro going forward.

C75/4-2021**11.5 DSG Maintenance Responsibility for Roundabouts**

Cr Westwood asked the following question without notice:

While the roundabouts at Kingston and Margate are a State Growth asset we have had difficulties and complaints from the community about the state of them. Could the General Manager please give me some information about the meeting he had last week?

Director Engineering Services responds:

We have had a meeting with State Growth about those two roundabouts with the options to look at who does maintain it and whether Council could take on this role and what that might mean in terms of our resourcing costs. We will feed this information back to State Growth and they will make a decision from there.

Cr Westwood:

If Council took over responsibility for those roundabouts, would there be some financial compensation for the resources involved in doing that?

Director Engineering Services:

It wouldn't be taking over the roundabouts as such, it would be taking over some of the landscaping for the roundabouts. It would fit in with the other works that we do nearby. Yes, definitely we would be looking at recovering costs associated with that if we intended to go down that track.

C76/4-2021**11.6 Kettering Hall Windows**

Cr Westwood asked the following question without notice:

I have had some complaints from a member of the Kettering Community Association for some time now about the windows that whistle which makes it difficult to hold yoga classes and music concerts. Could you please give me an update as to whether Council staff have had a look at this?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

We received some correspondence from the Kettering Hall Management Committee last week. Our Building Maintenance Supervisor had some further discussions with the committee and it was agreed that the option of sealing of the windows, which we had thought was going to be sufficient, is not going to bring an optimum outcome. We have had some discussions internally and we are going to reallocate some funds to replace those windows this financial year.

C77/4-2021**11.7 Kingborough Sports Centre**

Cr Westwood asked the following question without notice:

Is Council aware that, as of the end of this month, volleyball will no longer be held at the sports centre?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

There has been some discussions with volleyball in relation to a hire request that they put in which we are unable to accommodate their request for the particular night that they want. We have offered them alternate nights and it's a question for Volleyball Tasmania whether they want to take up the offer of the alternate options that we have provided.

Cr Westwood:

I believe that volleyball has been held on a Tuesday night for 5 plus years and that Volleyball Tasmania did not find out until February that their User Agreement would not be valid past the end of this month. Why did it take so long to let this organisation know that their long standing sports night would no longer be upheld?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

Volleyball submitted a request for hire. That request for hire was not confirmed pending our negotiations with basketball in relation to their roster requirements. Unfortunately those roster requirements are quite complex and it did take some time before we were able to get back to Volleyball Tasmania. I do acknowledge that that was not an ideal situation and communication could have been improved.

Cr Westwood:

Whose user request was received first? Volleyball's or basketball's?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

We don't allocate on the basis of first in best dressed. We allocate space at our courts at the sports centre in relation to a number of factors. I don't know who submitted their request first, but as I indicated, that is irrelevant to this particular situation because we don't prioritise on that basis.

Cr Westwood:

Moving onto netball, Netball has had a user agreement for Tuesday nights on courts 1 and 2 for a significant period of time. They have now been moved to courts 3 and 4 which are not the appropriate size for a netball game, which is why volleyball is now not being held at the sports centre after the end of this month. Why was netball moved to courts 3 and 4?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

The situation relates to our older courts where the run off distance in the older courts is slightly less than what is the optimum size for either netball or basketball. In this situation, we had to prioritise the higher level of competition being played and in this instance that was basketball with the netball competition being more at a social level. Hence, it was considered appropriate that the level of sport being played was not that it required the full sized optimum courts, whereas basketball did require that for their higher level of competition. That's not to say that the courts are in any way unsafe. They are some centimeters short. It's not a significant difference.

Cr Westwood:

Moving onto gymnastics, I understand that gymnastics has also had a long standing booking for its state competition at the sports centre, which it is no longer to have in the same place that it normally has because basketball is being played in that indoor site instead. If the sports centre is prioritising basketball as an anchor sport, why is gymnastics not considered an anchor sport?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

Gymnastics have their own facility. They very occasionally seek to hire one of our multi-courts. In this instance we have a block booking from basketball which is our anchor tenant and to bump that block booking for a one off gymnastics event was not considered to be appropriate. Our manager of the sports centre has worked with gymnastics to come up with an alternate option and made some concessions in relation to access to the sports centre and my understanding is that the alternate option is now one that is satisfactory to all parties.

Cr Westwood:

It seems like this community facility is prioritising some sports over other sports. We've recently approved a future directions plan for the sports precinct which I was under the impression was about encouraging equity in sport and access to that precinct and the question is, is there anything in that future directions plan that accounts for capacity issues inside the sports centre and is there a plan to build more courts.

Mayor:

The manager of the sports precinct and, this is included in the vision statement, has a concept of having the current outdoor netball courts converted into an indoor stadium. All of your questions tonight have been about what is an increasing demand and a stagnant supply of court space and what people are fighting over is court space in all instances. Every decision that has been made, that Mr Smee has outlined, has been made on the basis of getting the most number of athletes onto the court and in every single one of those decisions, more athletes are able to get onto the court.

Cr Westwood:

Why has the decision been made to prioritise getting a higher number of athletes onto the court over more variety of sports for ratepayers?

Mayor:

We put the community at the heart of everything we do and getting more people into the sports centre for longer is a very good outcome for the Kingborough Sports Centre and athletes. In the occasion of basketball going into Tuesday nights instead of volleyball, it would be 4 hours of basketball as opposed to 2 hours of volleyball and it will be twice as many people on the court as there were at volleyball. So there would be four times as many athletes on the court on a Tuesday night as a result of the change that has been made.

Cr Westwood:

But there will be no volleyballers on the court.

Mayor:

That's correct. We treat everybody fairly whether you are a volleyballer or a basketballer. So, if you've got four times as many people with a demand for access to a court, I think the decision was the right one.

C78/4-2021**11.8 Footpath in Huntingfield**

Cr Wass asked the following question without notice:

My question is on behalf of an elderly resident. Does the State Government have plans in relation to the DA that currently exists for Huntingfield to provide a permanent footpath along the Channel Highway which is deficient by about 100 to 150 metres or whether there would be money in the City Deal funding to complete that. There will more than likely be people like her living in Huntingfield in the years to come and the reason she asks is that she became stuck in the sandy gravel alongside the highway recently and was not able to move.

Manager Development Services responds:

It would be good to be able to take that on notice so that we can look at the details on the plans.

C79/4-2021**11.9 KSC Policy on Bookings**

Cr Wriedt asked the following question without notice:

Following on from Cr Westwood's questions about the rostering at the sports centre for this year, I wanted to understand more about how the decisions are made. Is there a written policy which is open and transparent, accessible to teams or associations who are putting in their bids for when they would have access to the facilities that identifies clearly how those decisions are made and on what basis, so that there can be no misunderstanding from organisations. Certainly it sounds like that we are going to end up with nobody playing volleyball in Kingborough this year and it seems unbalanced.

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

The dilemma that we have in relation to more users than available court space is a relatively new one. In recent years we have charged the Kingborough Sports Centre team with a challenge to maximise the court usage and they have done a lot of work in relation to the business development and working with the anchor tenants at the sports centre to grow their sports. It's only very recently that we have found ourselves in a situation where we are unable to accommodate all of the requests that we have had for hire. Traditionally, we have never really had that issue and to answer the question, there does need to be some policy development around how we provide an open and transparent response to the community in relation to the hire processes and expectations going forward.

Cr Wriedt:

When will we see a policy?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

What we do have is a user agreement that does outline the expectations of hire and our conditions of use and does provide some of that information and transparency that has been referred to. In relation to a more specific policy on this issue, and what I will say is that whilst we do have a commercial imperative to our prioritisation of bookings, we are very mindful that the sports centre does also have a community service obligation and that is an underlying of our decision making process. I take onboard the feedback from councillors tonight and I will have a discussion with our precinct manager in relation to bringing forward a policy to Council in the near future.

C80/4-2021**11.10 Single Use Plastics at the Hub Café**

Cr Midgley asked the following question without notice:

Can we be assured that the new café at the Hub will have no single use plastics?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

Are you referring to the café that is about to open?

Cr Midgley:

Yes.

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

I will need to check that that has been communicated with the operators. I would hope that it has but I will take that on notice as to whether they have been informed of that requirement.

C81/4-2021**11.11 Youth Advisory Group**

Cr Midgley asked the following question without notice:

How are we promoting the Tasmania's first Child and Youth Wellbeing Strategy for 0-25 year olds to the community? Do we have the consultation postcards available at the sports centre where a lot of children and young people attend? Is the Council youth advisory group having a say? Has Council promoted this to the Kingborough Community forum?

Mayor responds:

We will take your questions on notice.

Cr Midgley:

What are some of the projects the youth action Kingborough are current involved in and will they have chance to comment on the upcoming budget consultation and youth related projects?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

Our Youth Advisory Committee differs from year to year in relation to their interest. Some committees do like to get involved in matters of Council policy and would have an interest in the budget. Others are far more events focussed and on providing activities for young people. Our advisory committee has been in recess due to Covid and that's been pretty much for the past 12 months and we've only just started to make efforts to put that committee back together. I would need to take on notice the majority of your question as to what they see as their priorities but that would depend on when they are formed.

C82/4-2021**11.12 Climate Change Act Involvement**

Cr Midgley asked the following question without notice:

The government has initiated its 4 yearly statutory review of the Climate Change (State Action) Act 2008, with online community workshops be held this week. What is Council staff involvement in this?

General Manager responds:

Along with my colleague, Jon Doole, I have been invited to attend a session, they have multiple sessions coming up and officer attendance will occur.

C83/4-2021**11.13 KWS Report Waste Education Funding Timeline**

Cr Midgley asked the following question without notice:

I note KWS report which is an appendix to the agenda, there is a possibility of looking at waste education funding programs for schools. Is there a timeline for this to happen?

Direct Engineering Services responds:

We are currently in discussions with Glenorchy City Council who do that service in their own municipality and they have some resource to allow us to utilise that. We are finalising some details and hopefully put it in place sooner rather than later.

C84/4-2021**11.14 Grant for Sealing of Pump at Mountain Bike Park**

Cr Midgley asked the following question without notice:

Could we apply for a Department of Communities Infrastructure grant to seal the pump track at the mountain bike park?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

Yes, we certainly can look at that. We like to take advantage of every grant opportunity going and the pump track is a project that appears is going to miss out in our capital budget but is one that a section of our community is very keen on seeing come to fruition.

C85/4-2021**11.15 KSC Basketball**

Cr Bastone asked the following question without notice:

Have we thought about what will happen when the DEC is up and running, and a lot of the basketball teams will be going out there to play and we will be left with no volleyball here in Kingston because its not able to play this year? Are we being very shortsighted?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

The decisions that have been made in relation to basketball allocation are about our local clubs, specifically the Kingborough Huon Basketball Association. This is not about the Chargers taking over space that will then become vacant when they go to the DEC. It's about our local clubs and our local content and that isn't going to change when the DEC is up and running.

12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

C86/4-2021

(commences at ± 34 minutes of audio recording)

12.1 Recycling Stations

Cr Westwood submitted the following question on notice:

In the "new normal" world of COVID, does Council plan to reinstate the recycling stations previously situated at the Civic Centre and the Kingborough Sports Centre?

Officer's Response:

Council's Medical Officer of Health has been consulted about the recycling stations and is satisfied with the reinstatement of this service. However his recommendation is that the receptacles provided minimise multiple touch points. The previous recycling station was not set up this way and staff are currently investigating the installation of an alternative station based on this advice.

Stuart Baldwin, Manager Kingborough Waste Services

C87/4-2021**12.2 Number of Roads Sealed since 1993**

At the Council meeting on 15 February 2021, **Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

Could Councillors be informed as to how many roads have been sealed by the Kingborough Council that were formerly gravel or dirt roads, since the Council amalgamation in 1993?

Officer's Response:

Council does not have detailed information on sealing of unsealed roads dating back to 1993, however over the last 10 years the following have been identified:

- A section of Proctors Road
- A section of Summerleas Road
- Oxleys Road
- A section of Groombridge Road
- Parish Lane
- Various Junctions of Roads and approaches to bridges on unsealed roads.

David Reeve, Director Engineering Services

C88/4-2021**12.3 Removal of Trees next to Sherberd Oval**

At the Council meeting on 15 February 2021, **Cr Midgley** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

- 1 *How many trees have been removed from this site?*
- 2 *What was the species of the tree?*
- 3 *How old was the tree?*
- 4 *Was there an arborist report to suggest removal of this tree?*
- 5 *Will any other trees be cut down from this site? If so please provide details.*
- 6 *What was the cost for the tree removal?*
- 7 *What is the estimated cost for any other works on this site eg a possible fence or other works?*

Officer's Response:

Council approved the sale of the residential block adjacent to the Sherburd Park Oval carpark in October 2020. The sale process included the construction of a side boundary fence that necessitated the removal of one Peppermint Gum of unknown age. This was a single tree with two forked trunks. The cost of the fence and associated tree removal totalled \$6,680 and will be costed against the proceeds of sale of the property. The removal of any further trees will be dependent on what plans the purchaser has for the block, along with any relevant planning controls.

Sean Kerr, Property Officer

PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning authority commenced at 6.05pm

13 OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

C89/4-2021

(commences at ± 34 minutes of audio recording)

13.1 DA-2020-715 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR 4 MULTIPLE DWELLINGS AT 180 CHANNEL HIGHWAY, TAROONA (CT157047/0)

Moved: Cr Steve Wass

Seconded: Cr Christian Street

That the four multiple dwellings at 180 Channel Highway, Taroona for Giameos Developments Pty Ltd be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. 2020-715 and Council Plan Reference No. P2 submitted on 23 December 2020. This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.
2. Before the approved development commences, amended plans to the satisfaction and approval of the Manager Development Services must be submitted to and approved. When approved, the plans will be endorsed and will then form part of this permit. The plans must be generally in accordance with the advertised plans but modified to show:
 - a. Landscaping as required by Conditions 5 and 6 of this permit.
 - b. Engineering Design drawings as required by Condition 7 of this permit.
 - c. Schedule of external materials, finishes and colours. The external building materials must be of types and colours that are sympathetic to the environment. Unpainted metal surfaces will not be approved. The walls of the building must be coloured using colours with a light reflectance value not greater than 40 percent.
 - d. A lighting plan must be submitted with the building application for this development with attention to landscaped areas and parking areas to the satisfaction of the Manager-Development Services. The lighting plan shall include:
 - i. external lighting to illuminate car parking areas and pathways;
 - ii. external lighting to illuminate any entrapment spaces around the site;
 - iii. security lighting must be baffled to ensure it do not cause emission of light outside the Local Business Zone.
3. The building must not exceed 8.5 metres in height above the natural ground level existing prior to the construction of that building directly below that point.
4. To ensure that the building contributes positively to the streetscape and the amenity and safety of the public and adjoining land is protected the owner/developer must ensure that:
 - i. mechanical plant and miscellaneous equipment such as heat pumps, air conditioning units, switchboards, hot water units or similar from view from the street and other public spaces is screened from view; and
 - ii. roof-top service infrastructure, including service plants and lift structures are incorporated within the design of the roof.
5. Landscaping must be provided prior to occupation of the new multiple dwellings to the satisfaction of the Council's Manager Development Services. The landscaping areas shown on the endorsed plans must be used for landscaping and no other purpose to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services.

6. Before the approved development commences, landscaping plans must be submitted for approval by Council's Manager Development Services.

The landscape plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified person and be at a suitable scale, and indicate the following:

- (a) the existing hedge along the south boundary is to be retained and protected during construction and post construction. In the event that detailed planning and design requires the hedge to be removed or it is damaged the hedge must be replaced with a new hedge with minimum dimensions of 2m high and 2m width of an appropriate species (e.g. pittosporum or similar);
 - (b) the existing street tree identified to be removed from Jenkins Street shall be replaced with a similar species tree and located in Jenkins Street to the satisfaction of Manager – Development Services;
 - (c) outline of the proposed buildings;
 - (d) proposed planting by quantity, genus, species, common name, expected mature height and plant size;
 - (e) existing trees including street trees to be retained and proposed measures to be carried out for their preparation and protection during construction;
 - (f) earth shaping proposals, including retaining wall(s);
 - (g) fencing, paths and paving (indicating materials and surface finish).
7. Prior to the commencement of any buildings and works, engineering design drawings prepared and certified by a professional Civil Engineer must be submitted to Council for approval. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and the Manager – Development Services and demonstrate that:
- i. Vehicle access complies with the Tasmanian Standard construction drawings;
 - ii. The car parking and vehicle manoeuvring areas must be of a sealed construction and comply with Australian Standard AS2890.1:2004 (Off street car parking);
 - iii. Open Parking bays must be installed with wheel stops as required;
 - iv. Parking and vehicle circulation roadways and pedestrian paths must be provided with suitable lighting;

The engineering plans must also include, but not be limited to, detailed internal vehicular and pedestrian access, car parking, manoeuvring areas and drainage services layouts. Furthermore, the driveway/access road designs must detail the following:

- (a) long and cross sections of the driveway/access road;
 - (b) contours, finish levels and gradients of the driveway/access road;
 - (c) pavement construction;
 - (d) the provision of parking and turning bays.
8. The construction works must be supervised by the engineer that certified that plans and must undertaken in accordance with the approved drawings. Works must be to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services and include the following:
- (a) visitor carparking signs must be installed for the visitor carparking spaces;
 - (b) signage noting residential parking for Units must be installed for the carparking spaces as appropriate;
 - (c) open parking bays must be installed with wheel stops as required;
 - (d) parking and vehicle circulation roadways and pedestrian paths must be provided with suitable lighting.

9. If a strata plan is lodged for the development, the plan must include some common property and the visitor parking space must be contained within the common property and be accessible through the common property from all units.
10. A double width (5.5m) vehicular access must be constructed in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-RO9, TSD-E01 and TSD-RF01) in standard grey concrete with a broomed non-slip finish from the kerb crossing layback to the lot boundary. A Permit to carry out works within a Council road reservation must be obtained prior to any works commencing within the Council road reservation.
11. Erosion/siltation infiltration control measures must be applied during construction works to the satisfaction of the Executive Manager – Engineering Services.
12. All waste material generated by the development or from other sources must be contained in appropriate building waste containers for periodic removal to a licensed disposal site. The receptacle must be of a size to adequately contain the amount of waste generated and must be appropriately located on the subject site and must not impede residential traffic or parking at any time.

ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.
- B. The approval in this permit is under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 and does not provide any approvals under other Acts including, but not limited to Building Act 2016, Urban Drainage Act 2013, Food Act 2003 or Council by-laws.

If your development involves demolition, new buildings or alterations to buildings (including plumbing works or onsite wastewater treatment) it is likely that you will be required to get approvals under the Building Act 2016. Change of use, including visitor accommodation, may also require approval under the Building Act 2016. Advice should be sought from Council’s Building Department or an independent building surveyor to establish any requirements.

- C. The Developer should not allocate any property address numbers for the proposed units.

New property addresses have been allocated as follows:

Lot/Unit No.	Allocated Property Address
1	1/3 Jenkins Street, Taroona
2	2/3 Jenkins Street, Taroona
3	3/3 Jenkins Street, Taroona
4	4/3 Jenkins Street, Taroona

These numbers must then be referenced on design and As-Constructed drawings as well as any Strata Plans lodged for sealing.

- D. An application for Notifiable Plumbing Work must be lodged with Council before commencing any work.
- E. A drainage design plan at a scale of 1:200, designed by a qualified Hydraulic Designer, showing the location of the proposed sewer and stormwater house connection drains; including the pipe sizes, pits and driveway drainage, must be submitted with the application for Plumbing Permit.

In Favour: Crs Dean Winter, Jo Westwood, Sue Bastone, Flora Fox, Amanda Midgley, Christian Street, Steve Wass and Paula Wriedt

Against: Cr Gideon Cordover

CARRIED

OPEN SESSION

Open session resumes at 7.03pm

14 NOTICES OF MOTION

C90/4-2021*(commences at ± 1 hour, 33 minutes of audio recording)***14.1 Kettering and Snug Speed Limits**

Moved: Cr Sue Bastone

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council will request the Tasmanian Government initiate a process to consider changing the speed limit on the Channel Highway through the townships of Snug and Kettering to 50km/h.

CARRIED

15 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

16 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

C91/4-2021*(commences at ± 1 hour, 43 minutes of audio recording)***16.1 Longley Catchment Compost Toilet**

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the petition containing 100 signatures be received and referred to the appropriate Department for a report to Council.

CARRIED

17 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C92/4-2021*(commences at ± 1 hour, 43 minutes of audio recording)***17.1 MINISTER'S ROADMAP AND PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE SOUTHERN TASMANIAN REGIONAL LAND USE STRATEGY (STRLUS)**

Moved: Cr Christian Street

Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

- A. That Council note the Minister for Planning's Roadmap for reviewing the Southern Tasmanian Regional Land Use Strategy (STRLUS).
- B. That Council note the Minister for Planning's interim initiative to allow rezoning outside the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).
- C. That Council advise the Minister for Planning that whilst the interim initiative may be able to temporarily address increased development pressures on the UGB, ad hoc rezoning approvals outside the UGB is a risk to the long-term integrity of the STRLUS. A comprehensive review of STRLUS is urgently required as is increased investment in strategic planning resources at the Planning Policy Unit to ensure that this occurs in conjunction with the completion of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme.
- D. That Council recommends the following modifications to the interim rezoning process to ensure the integrity of regional settlement strategy that informed STRLUS is not compromised.

1. Prior to determining the appropriate maximum property sizes for rezoning (i.e. 2, 3 or 4 ha), the Planning Policy Unit should provide the Minister with indicative modelling of potential cumulative supply impact or a total additional supply to be provided through the proposed mechanism. This should be undertaken in conjunction with the work that is currently being done as part of the Greater Metro Plan.
 2. Urban rezoning beyond the Urban UGB should be restricted to the Greater Hobart metropolitan area.
 3. The current Information Sheet on *Amending the Regional Land Use Strategies* prepared by the Planning Policy Unit should be revoked or amended to relevantly apply to proposals to rezone land outside the UGB.
- E. That Council request the Minister to revise the Roadmap to:
1. Allow review of the regional strategies concurrently with the completion of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme, noting that this is in the hands of the Tasmanian Planning Commission, whereas the Planning Policy Unit will oversee the implementation of the regional strategy review; and
 2. Specify a projected completion timeframe for the review and implementation of regional strategies in the roadmap; giving clarity to councils, relevant agencies, developers and the community.

Amendment:

Moved: Cr Midgley

Seconded: Cr Fox

- D. Kingborough Council is not supportive of any rezoning outside the UGB ahead of the STRLUS review; if the government are going to continue to allow interim rezoning, that at a minimum, Council would like to see the following modifications:
- i. Prior to determining the appropriate maximum property sizes for rezoning (i.e. 2, 3 or 4 ha), the Planning Policy Unit should provide the Minister with indicative modelling of potential cumulative supply impact or a total additional supply to be provided through the proposed mechanism. This should be undertaken in conjunction with the work that is currently being done as part of the Greater Metro Plan.
 - ii. Urban rezoning beyond the Urban UGB should be restricted to the Greater Hobart metropolitan area.
 - iii. The current Information Sheet on *Amending the Regional Land Use Strategies* prepared by the Planning Policy Unit should be revoked or amended to relevantly apply to proposals to rezone land outside the UGB.

In Favour: Crs Gideon Cordover, Flora Fox and Amanda Midgley

Against: Crs Dean Winter, Jo Westwood, Sue Bastone, Christian Street, Steve Wass and Paula Wriedt

LOST

The motion was then put.

CARRIED

*Meeting adjourned 7.48pm
Meeting resumed at 8pm*

C93/4-2021

(commencement of Part B of audio recording)

17.2 CONTAINER REFUND SCHEME AND WASTE TO LANDFILL LEVY

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr Paula Wriedt

That Council resolve to provide the following feedback to the Local Government Association of Tasmania on the proposed implementation of a Container Refund Scheme and the draft Waste and Resource Recovery Bill.

1. Container Refund Scheme

(i) The implementation of the Scheme is supported on the basis that:

- (a) the operational model has split responsibility between the administration and finance of the Scheme and the network operator; and
- (b) there be a broad range of accessible collection points for the containers to be returned.

2. Draft Waste and Resource Recovery Bill

(ii) The Draft Bill is supported on the basis that:

- (a) the funding collected through the imposition of a Waste to Landfill Levy be fully re-invested for use in waste management and minimisation;
- (b) funding collected from the imposition of the Levy be used to reimburse regions for the loss of waste levies currently in place, and reimburse Councils who have invested in regional waste initiatives where an existing levy is not in place;
 - Such reimbursements should reflect population and waste tonnage within the regions.
- (c) consideration be given to the implementation of the Levy at an initial rate of \$20 per tonne, increasing annually by \$10 per tonne (to a maximum of \$60 per tonne) rather than a \$20 increase every second year, as currently proposed; and
- (d) the proposed commencement of the Levy on 1 November 2021 be noted, however kerbside collection of waste to landfill be excluded from the Levy until the 1 July 2022 (to align with Councils' annual rates notices).

CARRIED

C94/4-2021

(commences at ± 30 minutes of audio recording)

17.3 PETITION: DEVELOPMENT OF WALKING TRACK IN SPRING FARM AND WHITEWATER PARK ESTATES TO CONNECT TO HUNTINGFIELD

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That:

- (a) The report in relation to a petition seeking the development of a walking track in Spring Farm and Whitewater Park Estates to connect to Huntingfield, with provision of open space/play space in these areas be received and noted;
- (b) A further report be provided to Council once further details are available with respect to the opportunities provided for improved active transport linkages associated with the State Government's developments in Huntingfield; and
- (c) A copy of this report be provided to the organiser of the petition.

CARRIED

C95/4-2021

(commences at ± 1 hour, 17 minutes of audio recording)

17.4 PETITION - REPAIR OR REPLACE COLLAPSED ROAD SURFACE IN VILLAGE DRIVE, KINGSTON

Moved: Cr Steve Wass

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council consider the adoption of the project in the 2021/22 capital works program as part of the overall budget deliberations.

Cr Midgley left the room at 9.18pm

Cr Midgley returned at 9.19pm

CARRIED

C96/4-2021

(commences at ± 1 hour, 23 minutes of audio recording)

17.5 PROPOSED STREET NAMES - KINGSTON PARK

Moved: Cr Flora Fox

Seconded: Cr Sue Bastone

That:

- (a) The name "Sparrowhawk Street" be endorsed for the road currently referred to as "Road F" in the Development Plan for Kingston Park;
- (b) The names Paperbark Crescent, Greenhood Circuit, Lobelia Lane, Rock Lily Way, Storksbill Lane and Cordrush Lane be endorsed for the roads within Stage One of the residential development in Kingston Park associated with DA-2019-112;
- (c) The name "Fantail Parade" be endorsed for the pedestrian section of Pardalote Parade;
- (d) The above names be submitted to Place Names Tasmania for formal approval.

In Favour: Crs Dean Winter, Jo Westwood, Sue Bastone, Flora Fox, Amanda Midgley, Christian Street, Steve Wass and Paula Wriedt

Against: Cr Gideon Cordover

CARRIED 8/1

C97/4-2021

18 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION

Moved: Cr Flora Fox

Seconded: Cr Paula Wriedt

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

Confirmation of Minutes

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

Tender Assessment - AB2102 Groombridges Road Construction and Sealing

Regulation 15 (2)(b), and (2)(d) *information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct business, and contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

Tender Assessment - AB2103 Kingston Beach Sailing Club Carpark Construction

Regulation 15 (2)(b), and (2)(d) *information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct business, and contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

Tender Assessment - AB2104 Alonnah Footpath Construction

Regulation 15 (2)(b), and (2)(d) *information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct business, and contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

General Manager's Performance Review

Regulation 15 (2)(a) *personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the council and industrial relations matters.*

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY AND BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

Cr Midgley left the meeting at 9.23pm

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting will now cease.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 9.23pm

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 9.51pm

C98/4-2021

Moved: Cr Christian Street

Seconded: Cr Sue Bastone

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Tender Assessment - AB2102 Groombridges Road Construction and Sealing	Tender awarded to Duggans Pty Ltd for \$843,635.50 excl GST
Tender Assessment - AB2103 Kingston Beach Sailing Club Carpark Construction	Tender awarded to De Klein Construction Pty Ltd for \$287,350.61 excl GST
Tender Assessment - AB2104 Alonnah Footpath Construction	Tender awarded to JRV Civil Construction for \$409,648 excl GST
General Manager's Performance Review	Endorsed

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 9.52pm

.....
(Confirmed)

.....
(Date)