



COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

4 October 2021

These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council

Kingborough Councillors 2018 - 2022



Mayor
Councillor Paula Wriedt



Deputy Mayor
Councillor Jo Westwood



Councillor Sue Bastone



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor Clare Glade-Wright



Councillor David Grace



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Christian Street



Councillor Steve Wass

Table of Contents

Item		Page No.
Open Session		
	1 Audio Recording	1
	2 Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	3 Attendees	1
	4 Apologies	1
C533/20-2021	5 Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6 Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
	7 Declarations of Interest	2
	8 Transfer of Agenda Items	2
	9 Questions Without Notice from the Public	2
	10 Questions on Notice from the Public	2
C534/20-2021	10.1 Spring Farm Walking Track	2
C535/20-2021	10.2 Tasmanian State Service	3
C536/20-2021	10.3 Management Wage Freezes	3
	11 Questions without Notice from Councillors	4
C537/20-2021	11.1 Disc Golf	4
C538/20-2021	11.2 Spanish Heath and Fox Glove	4
C539/20-2021	11.3 Dog Poo Bags	4
C540/20-2021	11.4 New Staff Member	5
C541/20-2021	11.5 Spring Farm Walking Track	5
C542/20-2021	11.6 General Manager's Diary	6
C543/20-2021	11.7 21 Dennes Lane	6
	12 Questions on Notice from Councillors	6
C544/20-2021	12.1 Retaining Structure Near the Bruny Island Boat Club	6
C545/20-2021	13 Officers Reports to Planning Authority	8
C546/20-2021	13.1 Development Application for Four (4) Multiple Dwellings at 31 Lewan Avenue, Kingston	8
	14 Notices of Motion	16
C547/20-2021	14.1 Beach Road, Kingston Beach	16
C548/20-2021	14.2 Council Caretaker Period Arrangements	16
	15 Petitions Still Being Actioned	16
	16 Petitions Received in Last Period	16

Table of Contents *(cont.)*

Item		Page No.
	17 Officers Reports to Council	17
C549/20-2021	17.1 Margate Rivulet Hydraulic Assessment	17
C550/20-2021	17.2 Annual Plan 2021/2022	17
C551/20-2021	18 Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	17

Public Copy

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston
Monday, 4 October 2021 at 5.30pm

1 AUDIO RECORDING

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council's policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today's Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

3 ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Mayor Councillor P Wriedt
Deputy Mayor Councillor J Westwood
Councillor G Cordover
Councillor F Fox
Councillor C Glade-Wright
Councillor S Wass

Staff:

General Manager
Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services
Director Environment, Development & Community Services
Senior Manager Capital Works
Media & Communications Advisor
Executive Assistant

Mr Gary Arnold
Mr Daniel Smee
Dr Katrena Stephenson
Mr Craig Reid
Ms Sam Adams
Mrs Amanda Morton

4 APOLOGIES

Councillor S Bastone
Councillor D Grace
Councillor A Midgley
Councillor C Street

C533/20-2021

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
Seconded: Cr Jo Westwood

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No.19 held on 20 September 2021 be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED

6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

27 September - Kingston CBD Structure Plan

7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no questions without notice from the public.

10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

C534/20-2021

10.1 Spring Farm Walking Track

Mr David Bain submitted the following question on notice:

In January 2020, against Council Officers' advice, Council accepted the Spring Farm Estate developers offer to construct a walking track through Spring Farm, in lieu of making their public open space contribution of approximately \$353,000.

On Monday 6th September 2021 (20 months later), the developer (Josef Chromy's JAC Group) advised Council that they were no longer prepared to undertake the construction works of the walking track. Essentially, walking away from the original agreement without even lodging an acceptable development application, let alone making an actual start on the track.

Can the Council advise:

- 1) *Will the Council be penalising the developer for reneging on the original agreement and/or charging interest for the 20 months the JAC Group has held funds that would have otherwise been paid to Council?*

- 2) *Is the Council now committed to undertaking these track works and if so, what are the amount of funds set aside for the works; what are the next steps; and approximate timeframes?*

Officer's Response:

Council has been provided with a full set of design plans for the track by the JAC Group and does not intend to take any action in relation to the changes to the original agreement. The JAC Group has paid \$207,000 in public open space funds associated with the development to date, with future payments dependent upon further subdivisions proceeding. It is Council's intention to put the \$207,000 towards the development of the Spring Farm Walking track. However, this amount will not be sufficient to complete the track and it is intended to apply for grant funding to cover the shortfall. The outcome of this grant funding will not be known until early in 2022.

Daniel Smee, Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services

C535/20-2021

10.2 Tasmanian State Service

Ms Monika Kuppelwieser submitted the following question on notice:

An Independent Review of the State Service has been released and includes a recommendation (Recommendation 64, page 214) that identifies Kingston as a possible location for consideration of decentralised office hub for the Tasmanian State Service.

This sounds like a promising idea which could keep people working closer to where they live, reduce congestion on roads and public transport and improve work life balance.

Is this something that the Kingborough Council would consider supporting?

http://www.dpac.tas.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0004/587515/TSSR_Final_Report.pdf

Officer's Response:

Council does not have a formal policy position in relation to this matter but is broadly supportive of measures to reduce congestion on roads. Subject to the provision of a more detailed proposal, it is something that Council would consider supporting.

Daniel Smee, Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services

C536/20-2021

10.3 Management Wage Freezes

At the Council meeting on 20 September 2021, **Ms Karen Tantari** of the **Australian Services Union** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

On 27 May 2020 the General Manager advised that on 25 May 2020, Council adopted a Notice of Motion from the Deputy Mayor "that Council directs the General Manager to implement a 2.8% Covid-19 efficiency dividend across the organisation for all non-salary expenditure in 2020/21". The General Manager advised that as a result of this outcome that Council was unable to pay a wage increase to employees in 2020, in effect a wage freeze for everyone, himself included. Could Council please advise how many managers, senior employees on contract have received a wage increase during the period in which employees have endured a wage freeze?

Officer's Response:

Between 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021 two members of the management team received a wage increase due to changes to their position descriptions/requirements and one due to a condition of contract. The General Manager did not receive a pay increase during this period.

Gary Arnold, General Manager

11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

C537/20-2021**11.1 Disc Golf**

Cr Cordover asked the following question without notice:

Has Council ever investigated using any of our parks and reserves as a potential area for a community course for disc golf?

Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services responds:

We have not had an approach and it certainly hasn't come out in consultation in relation to previous sport and recreation strategies that we have done. We have had ultimate frisbee played on our sports ground before but that is slightly different to disc golf. Certainly if there was interest from the community that is something we could investigate.

C538/20-2021**11.2 Spanish Heath and Fox Glove**

Cr Glade-Wright asked the following question without notice:

I've had some enquiries about Spanish heath and fox gloves becoming quite invasive and I'm wondering how that is being tackled?

Mayor responds:

We will take your question on notice.

C539/20-2021**11.3 Dog Poo Bags**

Cr Glade-Wright asked the following question without notice:

Why do we still have degradable dog poo bags given that FOGO is coming in and we can put pet poo in those bins now?

Mayor responds:

The issue with that is that the compostable bags can't be bought online until we finish the current contract with the contractor and it would also come at an additional cost. There have also been some issues with, as I understand it, from the Dog Walkers Association of some studies done interstate about whether the dog poo and the compostable bags would actually get enough sun in Tasmania to break down appropriately.

C540/20-2021**11.4 New Staff Member**

Cr Westwood asked the following question without notice:

I understand that Council is having some difficulties in getting a new member of staff, who happens to be a very much needed planner, from mainland Australia to start in their role and that this has dragged on for some time. Can you provide some information about this?

Mayor responds:

It's certainly correct that we have had significant issues in trying to get a person living in New South Wales who has been appointed to a permanent position as a town planner into Tasmania and this has been going on for two months. It's much to the frustration of a number of Council staff because we have made numerous appeals to the Deputy State Controller and to government Ministers and to other MP's to no avail. Initially we had to have a lengthy fight to have that person recognised as an essential traveller in category 4, despite the fact that she was coming to Tasmania to take up permanent employment. Category 4 encompasses specialist skills critical to maintaining key industries or businesses in Tasmania and that is obviously very relevant to Council. We had to advertise several times and we had to demonstrate that we weren't able to secure anybody that was a Tasmanian resident to fill the position. We were able to prove that but when you look at the fact that last year we had essential travellers in a category which was specialist skills and they were construction workers who were fitting out a pizza shop on the Eastern Shore who were allowed in, yet we can't get a town planner in. I am really, really frustrated about it. She now has secured that essential traveller category 4 but the problem is that hotel quarantine is full. We haven't been asking any for exemptions because we don't need to because she is prepared to come here and pay to be in hotel quarantine, we have a Covid safe plan for Council, she has a place of residence and was able to give a Tasmanian address. She ticks all the boxes. Disturbingly she has actually resigned from her previous employment and she is now completely in a vacuum. We need her here. A lot of the work that our town planners do as part of assessing development applications is actually going off on site, so we have been able to give her some limited remote training but she needs to actually be here in the State to undertake those assessments. We have a shortage of planners, there is a national shortage and we are very fortunate to get her. What she is now being told because hotel quarantine is full, is that every time she applies, she cannot reapply for another 14 days. There is actually no priority list that you go onto so if she rings on the right day there might be a spot for her, even though she is deemed an essential traveller, otherwise it's the luck of the draw. We are very frustrated and haven't had any success and I hope our friends in the media will take this up and look at how ridiculous it is, given that we have statutory obligations that we need to fulfill, there is huge pressure on our planning staff, and we need to get this person here before she changes her mind and thinks it's all too hard.

C541/20-2021**11.5 Spring Farm Walking Track**

Cr Westwood asked the following question without notice:

I note that we have \$207,000 from the developer paid into public open space funds, which isn't quite enough to complete the track. How much more money is estimated that we might need to complete that walking track at Spring Farm?

Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services responds:

Unknown at this stage. We need to complete the design and that is imminent. Once we have done the design then we will be able to do a costing on it, but I couldn't give a figure at this stage.

Cr Westwood:

In terms of the planning process, is Council able to submit a development application for that track while we are waiting to find out if we are successful for grant funding, for example.

Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services:

Yes we can, bearing in mind that the section that runs through Spring Farm still needs to be connected to Whitewater Creek track through a block of land that Council now owns. What we are doing is the design work for that entire length and our aim is to lodge that development application for the whole length rather than have to do two separate DA's.

C542/20-2021**11.6 General Manager's Diary**

Cr Cordover asked the following question without notice:

Could you please give me some further information about the meeting on 16 September to discuss commercial operations and also the Mayor's Forum?

General Manager responds:

The discussion with Mr Redman was in relation to a rural property that he adjoins, which is an existing commercial operation. The specific issue was concerning a noisy generator. In relation to the Mayor's Forum, that is a regular forum that is held generally to discuss matters pertaining to either the Hobart City Deal or the Greater Hobart Act, which are both related to the four metro Councils.

C543/20-2021**11.7 21 Dennes Lane**

Cr Wass asked the following question without notice:

Could we have an update on proceedings and the present position regarding a development application at 21 Dennes Point Lane?

General Manager responds:

I'm happy to take that on notice and provide Councillors with a detailed response because there are a number of matters that pertain to that question.

12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

C544/20-2021**12.1 Retaining Structure Near the Bruny Island Boat Club**

At the Council meeting on 20 September 2021, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

As you are aware with the erosion that occurred down there, the government put \$80,000 towards the reinstatement of the erosion and Council undertook the planning and management of that project. I understand that the rock size is too small and the whole thing is starting to collapse again. Could Council give us a report on the process of what took place there?

Officer's Response:

The retaining structure has been designed by experts in coastal sea walls and constructed in accordance with the design. The wall is designed to have a mixture of rock sizes and is structurally sound.

David Reeve, Director Engineering Services

C545/20-2021**12.2 Vacant Land, Bruny Island**

At the Council meeting on 20 September 2021, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

Council own a block of land at Bruny Island which we tried to sell some time ago and it was voted unanimously that we would not sell that block of land because it belongs to the ratepayers of Bruny Island. They are in a position where they are trying to develop this block of land. The sewerage that is coming from the hotel onto that block is a major problem that they have inherited. Can Council look at that problem and advise the hotel owner to remove the sewerage off that block of land?

Officer's Response:

This issue is currently being investigated with consideration to off-site impacts and determination of the origin of any seepage.

Abylene McGuire, Senior Environmental Health Officer

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning authority commenced at 5.45pm

13 OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

C546/20-2021

13.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR FOUR (4) MULTIPLE DWELLINGS AT 31 LEWAN AVENUE, KINGSTON

Moved: Cr Jo Westwood

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That the Planning Authority resolves that the development application for 4 multiple dwellings at 31 Lewan Avenue, Kingston for Mr R Smeekes be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2021-196 and Council Plan Reference No. P4 submitted on 14/09/2021.

This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. Prior to commencement of on-site works, engineering design drawings must be submitted to Council for approval. The engineering plans and specifications must be prepared and certified by a professional Civil Engineer. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Director Engineering Services and comply with:

- Tasmanian Standard Drawings
- Austroads Standards and Australian Standards
- Australian Rainfall and Runoff Guidelines

The Plans must include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Detailed internal vehicular and pedestrian access, carparking and manoeuvring areas including:
 - (i) Longitudinal and cross sections of the driveway/access road
 - (ii) Contours, finish levels and gradients of the driveway/access road
 - (iii) Provision of vehicle access (crossovers) with notation to be constructed in standard grey concrete with a broomed non-slip finish
 - (iv) Provision of passing bays
 - (v) Pavement construction
 - (vi) Signage for visitor spaces or residential/commercial spaces if allocated
 - (vii) No parking/keep clear signage for turning bay areas

- (viii) Wheel stops for open parking bays (as appropriate)
- (ix) Lighting for parking and vehicle circulation roadways and pedestrian paths
- (x) Surface treatment and stormwater drainage
- (b) Design (including supporting documentation and hydraulic calculations) of the proposed stormwater infrastructure including:
 - (i) Layout details
 - (ii) A stormwater detention system to limit the stormwater discharge rate to the pre-development discharge rate.
 - (iii) A new 150mm diameter PVC stormwater lot connection to the stormwater main in Lewan Avenue.
 - (iv) Upgrade the existing stormwater lot connection at the rear of the lot to 150mm diameter PVC.

Once endorsed the plans will form part of the permit.

- 3 A "start works" notice must be lodged with Council fourteen (14) days prior to the commencement of any on-site works and works must not commence until this notice has been approved by the Manager Development Services.

4. The double width (5.5m wide) vehicular access must be constructed in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-R09) in standard grey concrete with a broomed non-slip finish from the kerb crossing layback to the lot boundary.

Prior to the commencement of the use, all redundant crossovers must be removed and replaced with new kerb and channel with footpaths (where applicable) to match with the existing in a smooth and continuous fashion to the satisfaction of Director Engineering Services.

Advice: A 'Road Works Permit' is required for any works within Council road reservations prior to works commencing. An application can be made on Council's website.

5. The construction works must be undertaken in accordance with the approved engineering design drawings to the satisfaction and approval of the Director Engineering Services.

The works must be supervised by a professional Civil Engineer in accordance with Council's inspections schedule.

6. Prior to the commencement of site works a soil and water management plan must be submitted to Council for approval. The plan must be in accordance with NRM South Soil and Water Management of Construction Sites – Guidelines and Tasmanian Standard Drawings (TSD-SW28). A site inspection of the implemented plan by the Council's Development Inspector must be satisfactorily undertaken with the principal contractor prior to the commencement of any work on site.

7. All waste material generated by the development or from other sources must be contained in appropriate building waste containers for periodic removal to a licensed disposal site. The receptacle must be of a size to adequately contain the amount of waste generated and must be appropriately located on the subject site and must not impede residential traffic or parking at any time.

8. Prior to the occupation of any of the new dwellings the following works must be completed in accordance with the endorsed plans to the satisfaction of the Council:

- i. The parking areas (including signage and access);
 - ii. The garden and landscape areas;
 - iii. Drainage works undertaken and completed;
 - iv. Letterboxes installed
 - v. The privacy screens to all upper level balconies are to be installed.
9. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Responsible Authority, the landscaping areas shown on the endorsed plans must be used for landscaping and no other purpose to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.
10. At least two (2) visitor parking spaces must be provided for the proposed development. These visitor parking spaces must be appropriately signposted and kept available for visitor parking at all times.
- Any future application for strata title in respect of the property must ensure that the visitor parking spaces are included within the common property on the strata plan.
11. The conditions as determined by TasWater, and set out in the attached Appendix A, form part of this permit.

ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.
- B. The approval in this permit is under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 and does not provide any approvals under other Acts including, but not limited to Building Act 2016, Urban Drainage Act 2013, Food Act 2003 or Council by-laws.

If your development involves demolition, new buildings or alterations to buildings (including plumbing works or onsite wastewater treatment) it is likely that you will be required to get approvals under the Building Act 2016. Change of use, including visitor accommodation, may also require approval under the Building Act 2016. Advice should be sought from Council's Building Department or an independent building surveyor to establish any requirements.

- C. The Developer should not allocate any property address numbers for the proposed units.

New property addresses have been allocated as follows:

Unit No.	Allocated Property Address
1	1/31 Lewan Avenue, Kingston
2	2/31 Lewan Avenue, Kingston
3	3/31 Lewan Avenue, Kingston
4	4/31 Lewan Avenue, Kingston

These numbers must then be referenced on design and As-Constructed drawings as well as any Strata Plans lodged for sealing.

- D. An application for Notifiable Plumbing Work must be lodged with Council before commencing any work.
- E. A drainage design plan at a scale of 1:200, designed by a qualified Hydraulic Designer, showing the location of the proposed sewer and stormwater house connection drains; including the pipe sizes, pits and driveway drainage, must be submitted with the application for Plumbing Permit.

CARRIED

Public Copy



Amended Submission to Planning Authority Notice

Council Planning Permit No.	DA2021-196	Council notice date	28/04/2021
TasWater details			
TasWater Reference No.	TWDA 2021/00661-KIN	Date of response	24/05/2021
		Date Amended	30/09/2021
TasWater Contact	Al Cole	Phone No.	0439 605 108
Response issued to			
Council name	KINGBOROUGH COUNCIL		
Contact details	kc@kingborough.tas.gov.au		
Development details			
Address	31 LEWAN AVE, KINGSTON	Property ID (PID)	9739813
Description of development	Multiple Dwellings x5		
Schedule of drawings/documents			
Prepared by	Drawing/document No.	Rev No.	Date of Issue
Smeeke Drafting – JG	Proposed Site Plan / 31LEWAN – 001	3	14/09/2021
JSA Engineers – DG	Proposed Site Plan / 21E02-01_C02	H	07/08/2021
JSA Engineers – DG	Sewer & Water Plan / 21E02-01_H06	H	07/08/2021
JSA Engineers – DG	Sewer Realignment Plan & Section Detail / 21E02-01_H08	H	07/08/2021
JSA Engineers – DG	Section Detail / 21E02-01_H09	H	07/08/2021
Conditions			
Pursuant to the <i>Water and Sewerage Industry Act 2008</i> (TAS) Section 56P(1) TasWater imposes the following conditions on the permit for this application:			
CONNECTIONS, METERING & BACKFLOW			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A suitably sized water supply with metered connections and sewerage system and connections to each lot of the development must be designed and constructed to TasWater's satisfaction and be in accordance with any other conditions in this permit. 2. Any removal/supply and installation of water meters and/or the removal of redundant and/or installation of new and modified property service connections must be carried out by TasWater at the developer's cost. 3. Prior to commencing construction of the development, any water connection utilised for construction/the development must have a backflow prevention device and water meter installed, to the satisfaction of TasWater. 			
ASSET CREATION & INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Plans submitted with the application for Certificate(s) for Certifiable Work (Building and/or Plumbing) and Engineering Design Approval must, to the satisfaction of TasWater show, all existing, redundant and/or proposed property services and mains. 5. Prior to applying for a Permit to Construct new infrastructure the developer must obtain from 			



- TasWater Engineering Design Approval for new TasWater infrastructure. The application for Engineering Design Approval must include engineering design plans prepared by a suitably qualified person showing the hydraulic servicing requirements for water and sewerage to TasWater's satisfaction.
6. Prior to works commencing, a Permit to Construct must be applied for and issued by TasWater. All infrastructure works must be inspected by TasWater and be to TasWater's satisfaction.
 7. In addition to any other conditions in this permit, all works must be constructed under the supervision of a suitably qualified person in accordance with TasWater's requirements.
 8. Prior to the issue of a Certificate of Water and sewerage Compliance (Building and/or Plumbing) all additions, extensions, alterations or upgrades to TasWater's water and sewerage infrastructure required to service the development, generally as shown on the *Hydraulic Sewer & Water Plan - H06*, are to be constructed at the expense of the developer to the satisfaction of TasWater, with live connections performed by TasWater.
 9. After testing, to TasWater's requirements, of newly created works, the developer must apply to TasWater for connection of these works to existing TasWater infrastructure, at the developer's cost.
 10. At practical completion of the water and sewerage works and prior to TasWater for a Certificate of Water and Sewerage Compliance (Building and/or Plumbing), the developer must obtain a Certificate of Practical Completion from TasWater for the works that will be transferred to TasWater. To obtain a Certificate of Practical Completion:
 - a. Written confirmation from the supervising suitably qualified person certifying that the works have been constructed in accordance with the TasWater approved plans and specifications and that the appropriate level of workmanship has been achieved;
 - b. A request for a joint on-site inspection with TasWater's authorised representative must be made;
 - c. Security for the twelve (12) month defects liability period to the value of 10% of the works must be lodged with TasWater. This security must be in the form of a bank guarantee;
 - d. Work As Constructed drawings and documentation must be prepared by a suitably qualified person to TasWater's satisfaction and forwarded to TasWater.
 11. After the Certificate of Practical Completion has been issued, a 12 month defects liability period applies to this infrastructure. During this period all defects must be rectified at the developer's cost and to the satisfaction of TasWater. A further 12 month defects liability period may be applied to defects after rectification. TasWater may, at its discretion, undertake rectification of any defects at the developer's cost. Upon completion, of the defects liability period the developer must request TasWater to issue a "Certificate of Final Acceptance". The newly constructed infrastructure will be transferred to TasWater upon issue of this certificate and TasWater will release any security held for the defects liability period.
 12. The developer must take all precautions to protect existing TasWater infrastructure. Any damage caused to existing TasWater infrastructure during the construction period must be promptly reported to TasWater and repaired by TasWater at the developer's cost.
 13. Ground levels over the TasWater assets and/or easements must not be altered without the written approval of TasWater.
 14. A construction management plan must be submitted with the application for TasWater Engineering Design Approval. The construction management plan must detail how the new TasWater infrastructure will be constructed while maintaining current levels of services provided by TasWater to the community. The construction plan must also include a risk assessment and contingency plans



covering major risks to TasWater during any works. The construction plan must be to the satisfaction of TasWater prior to TasWater's Engineering Design Approval being issued.

EASEMENTS & ENDORSEMENTS

15. Pipeline easements, to TasWater's satisfaction, must be created over any existing or proposed TasWater infrastructure and be in accordance with TasWater's standard pipeline easement conditions.
16. Prior to the issue of a TasWater Consent to Register a Legal Document, the applicant must submit a .dwg file, prepared by a suitably qualified person to TasWater's satisfaction, showing:
 - a. the exact location of the existing water/sewerage infrastructure,
 - b. the easement protecting that infrastructure.

The developer must locate the existing TasWater infrastructure and clearly show it on the .dwg file. Existing TasWater infrastructure may be located by a surveyor and/or a private contractor engaged at the developers cost.

17. Prior to the issue of a Certificate of Water & Sewerage Compliance (Building and or Plumbing) and Certificate of Practical Completion from TasWater, the applicant must submit a copy of the completed Transfer for the provision of a Pipeline and Services Easement(s) over the proposed TasWater infrastructure.

56W CONSENT

18. Prior to the issue of the Certificate for Certifiable Work (Building) and/or (Plumbing) by TasWater the applicant or landowner as the case may be must make application to TasWater pursuant to section 56W of the Water and Sewerage Industry Act 2008 for its consent in respect of that part of the development which is built within a TasWater easement or over or within two metres of TasWater infrastructure.

DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT FEES

19. The applicant or landowner as the case may be, must pay a development assessment fee of \$351.28 to TasWater, as approved by the Economic Regulator and the fee will be indexed, until the date paid to TasWater.

The payment is required within 30 days of the issue of an invoice by TasWater.

Advice

General

For information on TasWater development standards, please visit <http://www.taswater.com.au/Development/Development-Standards>

For application forms please visit <http://www.taswater.com.au/Development/Forms>

Service Locations

Please note that the developer is responsible for arranging to locate the existing TasWater infrastructure and clearly showing it on the drawings. Existing TasWater infrastructure may be located by a surveyor and/or a private contractor engaged at the developers cost to locate the infrastructure.

- (a) A permit is required to work within TasWater's easements or in the vicinity of its infrastructure.

Further information can be obtained from TasWater

- (b) TasWater has listed a number of service providers who can provide asset detection and location services should you require it. Visit www.taswater.com.au/Development/Service-location for a list of



companies

56W Consent

The plans submitted with the application for the Certificate for Certifiable Work (Building) and/or (Plumbing) will need to show footings of proposed buildings located over or within 2.0m from TasWater pipes and will need to be designed by a suitably qualified person to adequately protect the integrity of TasWater's infrastructure, and to TasWater's satisfaction, be in accordance with AS3500 Part 2.2 Section 3.8 to ensure that no loads are transferred to TasWater's pipes. These plans will need to also include a cross sectional view through the footings which clearly shows;

- (a) Existing pipe depth and proposed finished surface levels over the pipe;
- (b) The line of influence from the base of the footing must pass below the invert of the pipe and be clear of the pipe trench and;
- (c) A note on the plan indicating how the pipe location and depth were ascertained.
- (d) The location of the property service connection and sewer inspection opening (IO).

Declaration

The drawings/documents and conditions stated above constitute TasWater's Submission to Planning Authority Notice.

Authorised by

Jason Taylor

Development Assessment Manager

TasWater Contact Details

Phone	13 6992	Email	development@taswater.com.au
Mail	GPO Box 1393 Hobart TAS 7001	Web	www.taswater.com.au

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open session resumed at 5.47pm

14 NOTICES OF MOTION

C547/20-2021

14.1 Beach Road, Kingston Beach

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council staff investigate road safety issues involving pedestrians crossing Beach Road, Kingston Beach, and report back to Council with traffic data and recommended solutions.

CARRIED

C548/20-2021

14.2 Council Caretaker Period Arrangements

Moved: Cr Jo Westwood

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council officers prepare a report to address the introduction of Caretaker Conventions to guide the actions of Kingborough's elected representatives and Council staff in a 'caretaker role' during the period of a declared local government election, including by-elections.

In Favour: Crs Paula Wriedt, Jo Westwood, Gideon Cordover, Flora Fox and Clare Glade-Wright

Against: Cr Steve Wass

CARRIED 5/1

15 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

16 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

At the time the Minutes was compiled no Petitions had been received.

17 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C549/20-2021

17.1 MARGATE RIVULET HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council

- (a) incorporate the 1% AEP storm event (year 2100), as identified in the Margate Rivulet Hydraulic Assessment, into Council's planning process;
- (b) engage with the community about the result of this study via Council's website and online interactive map; and
- (c) further investigate and consider identified mitigation options presented in this report to reduce the overall flood risk and to control the impact of future development within the catchment.

CARRIED

C550/20-2021

17.2 ANNUAL PLAN 2021/2022

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

That in accordance with Section 71 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, Council adopts the Annual Plan for the 2021-2022 financial year and instructs the General Manager to:

- a) Make a copy of the Annual Plan available for public inspection at the Civic Centre and on Council's website; and
- b) Provide a copy of the Annual Plan to the Director of Local Government and to the Director of Public Health.

CARRIED

C551/20-2021

18 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

Confirmation of Minutes

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

98 Beach Road, Kingston proposed lease to Raine & Horne

Regulation 15 (2)(f) *proposals for the council to acquire land or an interest in the land or for the disposal of land.*

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY AND BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting will now cease.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 6.38pm

Public Copy

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 6.53pm

C552/20-2021

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright
Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
98 Beach Road, Kingston proposed lease to Raine & Horne	General Manager authorised to re-negotiate lease

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 6.54pm

.....

(Confirmed)

.....

(Date)