









# Kingborough Significant Tree Assessment




Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
1	TRN-2021-12  The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a Council officer.	10 Kingston View Drive, Kingston (Kingborough Sports Centre)  	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus globulus subsp. globulus</i> (Blue Gum).  	The tree meets the following policy criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Age</li> <li>• Unusual physical features</li> </ul> <p>The arborist assessment indicates that the tree is more than 100 years old with a life expectancy of another 30 to 50 years.</p> <p>In terms of its structure, there is some decay and fire damage to the lower trunk. There are very large dead sections, decay and hollows in crown scaffold framework, but is still worthy of being listed.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>



Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
2	<p>TRN-2021-11</p> <p>The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a Council officer.</p>	<p>1/12 Wyburton Place, Margate</p> 	<p>The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus ovata</i> (Black Gum).</p> 	<p>The tree meets the following policy criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Size (height, circumference, canopy)</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> </ul> <p>The arborist assessment indicates that the tree is well formed and overhangs onto 4 properties. The tree is approximately 60 to 80 years old with a life expectancy of another 30 to 50 years.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
3	<p>TRN-2021-10</p> <p>The nomination is for a new listing and is made by the landowner.</p>	<p>2 Dallas Avenue, Tarooma</p> 	<p>The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> (White Gum).</p> 	<p>The tree meets the following policy criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Size (height, circumference, canopy)</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> </ul> <p>The arborist assessment indicates that the tree is approximately 60 to 80 years old, in fair condition with a life expectancy of another 15 to 30 years.</p> <p>As the tree age, there is potential for branch failure over the roof and garden space at 2 Dallas Ave and 6 Oakleigh Ave. There is a need for periodic crown maintenance (this may impact on tree's aesthetics and longevity), however it is still worthy of being listed.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>







Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
4	TRN-2021-6  The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a member of the public.	Blackmans Bay Foreshore  	The proposal is for the listing of 2 trees - <i>Eucalyptus globulus subsp. Globulus</i> (Blue Gums).  	The trees meet the following policy criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> </ul> The arborist assessment indicates that both trees are approximately 50 to 60 years old, in good health with a life expectancy of another 30 to 50 years.  <b>Recommended for listing.</b>
Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy

5	<p>TRN-2021-4</p> <p>The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a member of the public.</p>	<p><b>Adventure Bay Foreshore</b></p>  	<p>The proposal is for the listing of 1 <i>Eucalyptus obliqua</i> (Stringybark) and a large group of 181 trees growing on the northern and southern side of Adventure Bay Road incorporating the foreshore and roadside plantings essentially opposite the Captain Cook Holiday Park and opposite the Adventure Bay General Store. The group is largely made up of mature <i>E. obliqua</i> and <i>E. globulus subsp. globulus</i>.</p> 	<p>The trees meet the following policy criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> </ul> <p>The arborist assessment indicate that the Stringy bark tree is between 80 and 100 years old, in good health with a life expectancy of another 30 to 50 years.</p> <p>The grouping of trees has reached considerable size, with impressive specimens of <i>E. obliqua</i>, <i>E. globulus</i>, and <i>E. viminalis</i>, some reaching 38m in height. The trees are approximately 80 to 100 years old, and generally in good health.</p> <p>It is likely that more detailed inspections of some individual trees and crown maintenance works will be necessary in the coming years to manage risk. This may impact on the natural form and aesthetics of the individual trees.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>
---	---	--	---	--



Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
6	<p>TRN-2021-3</p> <p>The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a Council officer.</p>	<p>Kingston Beach Reserve</p> 	<p>The proposal is for the listing of a grouping of 19 trees along the eastern side of Osborne Esplanade, between Beach Road and Balmoral Road, Kingston Beach. They consist of 13 <i>Eucalyptus globulus subsp. Globulus</i> (Blue Gum) and other contributory species.</p> 	<p>The trees meet the following policy criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> <li>• Historical significance</li> </ul> <p>The trees line the very popular promenade along the Kingston beach foreshore and marry the distant views of treed hills with the urban landscape of Kingston Beach. The gums, despite the irregular spacing lead the eye along the promenade and provide valuable shade for walkers and vehicles.</p> <p>The arborist assessment indicates that the trees are between 60 and 80 years old, in good health with a life expectancy of another 30 to 50 years.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>







Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
7	TRN- 2021-1  The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a Council officer.	Tarooma Foreshore 	The proposal is for the listing of a group of 36 trees – <i>Eucalyptus globulus subsp. Globulus</i> (Blue Gum) 	The trees meet the following policy criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> </ul> <p>The trees range in size and form, from 12m high individual by the water's edge to the tallest approximately 32m high. The trees form a canopy over the park, including the amenities building and playground.</p> <p>These are mature trees, 60-80 years old with the typical range of features for trees of this age and location: decay in trunks, dead branches, historic storm damage and long heavy branches. The trees are generally in good condition, with fair to good structure.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
8	TRN-2018-2  The nomination is for a new listing is made by a resident in the street.	5 Belhaven Avenue, Taroona  	The proposal is for the listing of two trees - <i>Quercus Palustris</i> (Pin Oaks)    	The trees meet the following policy criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Size (height, circumference, canopy)</li> </ul> The trees contribute to the deciduous landscape. The arborist assessment indicates that the trees are approximately 50 to 70 years old, in fair to good health with a life expectancy of at least another 50 years.  <b>Recommended for listing.</b>




Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
9	<p>TRN-2016-1</p> <p>The nomination is for a new listing and is made by the previous landowner.</p>	<p>7 James Avenue Kingston</p> 	<p>Proposal is for the listing of two trees– <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> (White Gum)</p> 	<p>The trees meet the following policy criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Size (height, circumference, canopy)</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> </ul> <p>The arborist assessment indicates that the trees are approximately 80 to 100 years old, in good health with a life expectancy of another 20 to 40 years.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
10	<p>TRN-2021-21</p> <p>The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a Council officer.</p>	<p>Road casement between 958 and 960 Killora Road, North Bruny.</p> 	<p>The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus obliqua</i> (Stringybark)</p> 	<p>The tree meets the following policy criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Unusual physical features</li> </ul> <p>The arborist report indicates that the tree is approximately 100 years old and in good health with a life expectancy of another 30 to 50 years.</p> <p><b>Recommended for listing.</b></p>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 and 6.3 of the Significant Tree Policy
11	<p>TRN-2017-3</p> <p>The nomination is for a new listing and is made by a member of the public.</p>	<p>25A Osborne Esplanade, Kingston Beach</p> 	<p>Proposal is for the listing of one tree – <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> (White Gum)</p> 	<p>The tree meets the following policy criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aesthetic significance</li> <li>• Landscape significance</li> </ul> <p>The arborist assessment indicates that the tree is approximately 50 to 70 years old, in poor health with a life expectancy of less than 10 years, however the significance of the tree in the landscape warrants listing with appropriate maintenance.</p> <p><b>Recommendation for listing.</b></p>



Item	Application number/ Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
12	<p>TRN-2012-50</p> <p>The nomination is for a delisting of a tree that was listed in 2012.</p> <p>The nomination is made by nearby residents.</p>	Road reserve at 59 Roslyn Avenue	<p>The proposal is for a delisting - <i>Eucalyptus globulus subsp. Globulus</i></p> 	<p>Nearby residents have requested that the tree be removed due to safety concerns. It dropped a couple of limbs in 2021 causing temporary road blockage.</p> <p>A risk assessment was completed by Element Tree Services on 28/01/21 that indicates that the tree is of good vitality and that there is no indication that the tree has become defective. This risk assessment concluded that the risk is acceptable for the tree to remain.</p> <p>Because the tree is located on a road reserve (next to a major thoroughfare), there is a risk of liability for Council if the tree was to drop a limb causing property damage or injury. In such an event Council will have to defend such a claim, and Council would need to demonstrate that it has taken reasonable care to avoid risk of injury or property damage by the tree. Subsequently, another risk assessment was completed by Tree Inclined on 25/10/21 to specifically assess Council's risk of public liability. The report can be provided on request.</p>

				<p>The assessment found that the tree is of good condition and appears to be stable and generally well structured. The assessment concluded that there is a 1 in 500,000 change that the tree could cause serious injury or death.</p> <p>Tree roots are damaging a stone retaining wall beside pedestrian access and step to 63 Roslyn Avenue. The value of property damage lies in the unacceptable range when imposed on others / tolerable by agreement range – 1 in 3,000.</p> <p>The assessment recommends that Council contact the owners of 63 Roslyn Ave regarding the need to repair or tolerate the stone retaining wall damage. The recommendation provides actions to establish baseline data of trunk decay and to determine the rate (if any) of trunk subsidence over the road. It is also recommended dead wood be removed from the tree.</p> <p>The tree still meets the policy criteria in terms of its aesthetic significance, size and landscape significance.</p> <p><b>The recommendation is for the listing to remain.</b></p>
--	--	--	--	--

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
13	TRN-2012-10  The request is for a review of existing listing. The request is made by the landowner.	Southlea - 342 Proctors Road, Kingston	Horse Chestnut <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	The owner informed Council that the tree has deteriorated over the last 10 years and potentially could be removed.  Council officers contacted the owner so see if an arborist report can be supplied, however during the discussion it was agreed that delisting may not be appropriate at this point in time. The tree still meets the policy criteria as per the original assessment.  <b>It is recommended that the tree remains listed.</b>
14	TRN-2012-13  The request is for a review of existing listing. The request is made by a Council officer.	Lot 1 Alfreds Garden, Kingston	Bishop Pine <i>Pinus muricata</i> (5 tree grouping)	The listing relates to a grouping of trees. One of the trees has fallen down. The remainder of the group of trees still holds significance as per the original assessment.  <b>Recommendation is for the listing to be amended to only refer to 4 trees.</b>
15	TRN-2012-11  The request is for a delisting and is requested by a Council officer.	Southlea - 342 Proctors Road, Kingston	Hawthorn <i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	The tree has fallen down. Delisting warranted.  <b>Recommended for delisting.</b>



Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
16	TRN-2021-20  The nomination is for a new listing.	26-38 Channel Highway "Grange Villas"	The proposal is for the listing of a Maple.	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
17	TRN-2021-19  The nomination is for a new listing.	26-38 Channel Highway "Grange Villas"	The proposal is for the listing of a Pine.	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
18	TRN-2021-18  The nomination is for a new listing.	26-38 Channel Highway "Grange Villas"	The proposal is for the listing of a Conifer.	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
19	TRN-2021-17  The nomination is for a new listing.	26-38 Channel Highway "Grane Villas"	The proposal is for the listing of a Eucalyptus.	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
20	TRN-2021-14  The nomination is for a new listing.	26 Groningen Road, Kingston	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Quercus robur</i> .	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
21	TRN-2021-9  The nomination is for a new listing.	1287 Channel Highway, Kingston	The proposal is for the listing of a Cupressus.	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
22	TRN-2021-8  The nomination is for a new listing.	1287 Channel Highway, Kingston	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Cupressus macrocarpa</i> .	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
23	TRN-2021-7  The nomination is for a new listing.	1287 Channel Highway, Kingston	The proposal is for the listing of a Blue Gum ( <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> ).	Meets aesthetic significance criteria, however the tree is approved to be removed under a development permit for the Huntingfield roundabout.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
24	TRN-2021-2  The nomination is for a new listing.	Taroona Foreshore Reserve	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> .	The tree has less than 10 years life expectance left and it does not warrant listing under the policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
25	TRN-2019-5  The nomination is for a new listing.	28 Groningen Road, Kingston	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> .	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
26	TRN-2019-4  The nomination is for a new listing	139 Mudsleys Road, Allens Rivulet	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> .	Insufficient information to complete the assessment and landowner indicated that they are not in support of the listing. The tree is also unlikely to meet policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
27	TRN-2019-3  The nomination is for a new listing.	104 Channel Highway, Taroona	The proposal is for the listing of a Blackwood.	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>



Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
28	TRN-2019-1  The nomination is for a new listing.	22 Grange Avenue, Tarooma	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> .	Does not meet the policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing</b>
29	TRN-2018-3  The nomination is for a new listing.	54 Mount Pleasant Road, Kingston	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> .	Not very visible from a public domain. Does not meet the policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
30	TRN-2018-1  The nomination is for a new listing.	30 Powell Road, Blackmans Bay	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Callitris</i> .	The tree does not exist anymore.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
31	TRN-2017-2  The nomination is for a new listing.	Brown River Foreshore Reserve, Kingston Beach	The proposal is for the listing of <i>Monterey Pine</i> ( <i>Pinus Radiata</i> ).	Insufficient information to complete assessment. Unlikely to meet criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
32	TRN-2017-1  The nomination is for a new listing.	211 Nicholas Rivulet Road Oyster Cove	The proposal is for the listing of a English Oak.	Insufficient information to complete assessment. Unlikely to meet criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
33	TRN-2016-2  The nomination is for a new listing.	52 Channel Highway, Tarooma	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> .	Application withdrawn. Unlikely to meet policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
34	TRN-2015-1  The nomination is for a new listing.	72 Burwood Drive, Blackmans Bay	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus amygdalina</i> .	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
35	TRN-2021-13  The nomination is for a new listing.	Lot 38 Blue Bush Crescent	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> .	Meets at least 3 of the policy criteria, however tree has been approved for removal as part of a historic development application (DAS-2007-82). The landowner's intention is still to remove the tree.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
36	TRN-2021-16  The nomination is for a new listing.	6 Opal Drive, Blackmans Bay (communal property to the back of 2/6 Opal Drive)	The proposal is for the listing of a <i>Eucalyptus mannifera</i> (Brittle Gum).	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>
37	TRN-2021-15  The nomination is for a new listing.	2 Opal Drive, Blackmans Bay	The proposal is for the listing of <i>Eucalyptus mannifera</i> (Brittle Gum).	The tree does not contain values that constitute a significant tree in the urban landscape. The tree also does not meet the relevant policy criteria.  <b>Not recommended for listing.</b>

Item	Application number / Nomination	Address	Description	Assessment against clause 5.5 (read with clause 6.3) of the Significant Tree Policy
38	TRN-2012-  The request is for delisting and requested by a Council officer.	In the road reserve adjacent to 212 Summerleas Road, Kingston	The proposal is for the delisting of a <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> (White Gum)	Tree no longer exists. It appears that the tree was removed as part of the construction of the new road.  <b>Recommended for delisting.</b>