

# Kingborough



## COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

7 March 2022

*These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.*

# Kingborough Councillors 2018 - 2022



**Mayor**  
**Councillor Paula Wriedt**



**Deputy Mayor**  
**Councillor Jo Westwood**



**Councillor Sue Bastone**



**Councillor Gideon Cordover**



**Councillor Flora Fox**



**Councillor Clare Glade-Wright**



**Councillor David Grace**



**Councillor Amanda Midgley**



**Councillor Christian Street**



**Councillor Steve Wass**

# Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
Open Session			
	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	3	Attendees	1
C96/4-2022	4	Apologies	1
C97/4-2022	5	Confirmation of Minutes	1
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
C98/4-2022	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
	9	Questions without Notice from the Public	2
	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	2
C99/4-2022	10.1	Organisational Development	2
C100/4-2022	10.2	Significant Tree Registry	3
C101/4-2022	10.3	Leslie Vale Cricket Ground	4
	11	Questions without Notice from Councillors	4
C102/4-2022	11.1	Kaoota Tramway	4
C103/4-2022	11.2	Roundabout near KFC, Kingston	5
C104/4-2022	11.3	Speed Limit Signage	5
C105/4-2022	11.4	Missing Sign	5
C106/4-2022	11.5	Priority Listing for Footpaths	6
	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	6
C107/4-2022	12.1	South Bruny National Park Management Plan	6
C108/4-2022	12.2	Sale of Land at Pearl Place	7
C109/4-2022	12.3	Covid-19 Data	7
	13	Officers Reports to Planning Authority	8
C110/4-2022	13.1	DA-2021-651 Development Application for Upgrading of Dennes Point Lane and associated works between Bruny Island Main Road and chainage 115 at Dennes Point Lane, North Bruny (CT 22067/1, CT 148618/5, CT 155780/1)	8
	14	Petitions still being Actioned	11
	15	Petitions Received in Last Period	11
	16	Officers Reports to Council	11
C111/4-2022	16.1	Planning Authority Delegations Policy 1.1A	11
C112/4-2022	16.2	Policy 6.6 - Bonding of Works	11

## Table of Contents *(cont.)*

<b>Item</b>		<b>Page No.</b>
C113/4-2022	16.3 Review of Sports Ground User Fees	11
C114/4-2022	16.4 Sports Ground User Policy	12
C115/4-2022	16.5 LGAT General Meeting Motions	12
	17 Notices of Motion	12
C116/4-2022	17.1 Installation of a Fourth Flagpole	12
C117/4-2022	18 Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	13

Public Copy

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of  
Council Monday, 7 March 2022 at 5.50pm

**1 AUDIO RECORDING**

---

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council's policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

**2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS**

---

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today's Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

**3 ATTENDEES**

---

**Councillors:**

Mayor Councillor P Wriedt	✓
Deputy Mayor Councillor J Westwood	✓
Councillor S Bastone	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor C Glade-Wright	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor C Street	✓
Councillor S Wass	✓

**Staff:**

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Director Environment, Development & Community Services	Dr Katrena Stephenson
Manager Development Services	Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore
Manager Works	Mr Darren Johnson
Strategic Sport & Recreational Planner	Mr Scott Wade
Media & Communications Advisor	Ms Sam Adams
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

**C96/4-2022**

**4 APOLOGIES**

---

Councillor D Grace

**C97/4-2022**

**5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

---

Moved: Cr Flora Fox  
Seconded: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No. 3 held on 21 February 2022 be confirmed as a true record.

**CARRIED**

---

**6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING**

---

28 February - Transform Kingston

**C98/4-2022**

---

**7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

---

Cr Cordover declared an interest in the report headed "Review of Sports Ground User Fees"

Cr's Westwood and Midgley each declared an interest in the report headed "Review of Sports Ground User Fees" and the report in closed session headed "Kingston Park Commercial Tenancy Lease"

---

**8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS**

---

There were no agenda items transferred.

---

**9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

---

There were no questions without notice from the public.

---

**10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

---

**C99/4-2022**

**10.1 Organisational Development**

**Roger and Gail Tonge** submitted the following question on notice:

*Our reference is to the Organisational Development Quarterly Report to Council on 7 February 2022.*

*The report advises that during the reporting period 26 employees departed and 19 new employees recruited.*

*Could Council please advise if any Exit interviews were conducted with departing staff?*

*If there were not, could Council explain why and if there were, even allowing for Covid was there any particular reason for leaving, for example Council's salary structure.*

**Officer's Response:**

Exit interviews were undertaken for 17 employees. Two other employees chose not to attend an interview (participating in exit interviews is voluntary).

Of the remaining employees, formal interviews were not conducted as the employees were not at work or had left their employment (e.g., they were casual/temporary, were involved in a disciplinary process, had moved interstate or were on extended leave at the time of their resignation). However, in most cases, informal discussions had been held with the employee by their manager around their decision to leave the organisation.

For those employees who left Council to take up other employment, there were varied and often multiple reasons why they chose to resign. These can be divided into factors that are internal (within an organisation's control), and those that are external (outside of the organisation's control).

The main internal factors that were raised during the exit interviews included:

Working conditions – high workload levels, particularly in the professional areas, e.g., Statutory Planning.

Interpersonal issues – including conflict with other employees or customers and stress associated with public contact roles.

Career opportunities – perceived lack of development and progression, the job not being suited to their skill set or career goals, as well as compensation/salary issues.

It should be noted that employees were not resigning to take up other positions in Tasmanian local government. Most of the other employment was into the Federal or State Government or private enterprise and was often associated with a significant increase in salary and opportunities for career progression.

The main external factors influencing decisions to resign included:

Retirement – due to age or ill health or other drivers, such as hobbies and outside interests.

Family and personal health reasons

Interstate moves – often associated with greater career opportunities, the high cost of housing being experienced in Tasmania, or the need to be closer to family during the current pandemic.

*Pene Hughes, Executive Manager Organisational Development*

## **C100/4-2022**

### **10.2 Significant Tree Registry**

**Roger and Gail Tonge** submitted the following question on notice:

*Item 6 of the proposed registry relates to nineteen trees which line the Kingston Beach Esplanade. The trees are aesthetically attractive and provide shade to folk using the Esplanade, indeed the view of a Blue Gum from our home in Victoria Street is just wonderful. However, if one looks to the base of the trees there is in most cases massive root penetration of the pavement surface indeed the walkway itself is becoming difficult for those with limited mobility.*

*If the trees are to be retained, what plan does Council have to rectify the current pavement root penetration and preclude its future occurrence?*

*Assuming Council does have a plan to address the root penetration, could an estimate of the costs be provided.*

*Bearing in mind the above, will Council allocate additional funds, or is it the intent to fund the work from the funds already allocated in the capital budget?*

*To minimise costs in the longer term, would Council consider a replacement program using native species already identified by Council staff as being more appropriate?*

#### **Officer's Response:**

The current intention of Council is to maintain the trees on Kingston Beach Esplanade. No work is currently planned in relation to the pavement. As with any of our infrastructure around trees, it is expected that pavement cracking/lifting will occur and this is treated on a case by case basis following a risk assessment. It is unlikely there would be a specific allocation in the capital budget as this will generally fall under maintenance. Council has identified two trees for replacement - one opposite Victoria Avenue and the other opposite 7 Osborne Avenue and Council Officers will provide advice on the most appropriate species.

*Dr Katrena Stephenson, Director Environment, Development & Community Services*

**C101/4-2022****10.3 Leslie Vale Cricket Ground**

**Mr Stuart Clark** submitted the following question on notice:

*It was announced on the front page of the Kingborough Chronicle 15/2/2022 this week, of the redevelopment of the dilapidated Leslie Vale cricket ground for the City based Intercultural Cricket Sports League and City based players. This ground is roughly mowed very occasionally and will require considerably more mowing and maintenance to be used for sport. As per Councillor Glade Wright's motion previously carried regarding the blocking of the second turf wicket at the Twin Ovals regarding ongoing maintenance costs, what will the ongoing maintenance costs be for this ground? What Council labour will be involved in the redevelopment and at what cost will it be? Are the recurrent maintenance costs going to be put through the council budget process first and tested against other competing priorities?*

**Officer's Response:**

The capital cost of upgrading the ground (including any Council labour utilised) will be funded externally via a grant from the State Government and a contribution from Cricket Tasmania. Council estimates the ongoing maintenance costs for the new ground to be \$10,000. This amount has been listed for consideration in the 2022/23 operational budget and will be subject to the same assessment process as any other recurrent maintenance cost.

*Daniel Smee, Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services*

---

**11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

---

**C102/4-2022****11.1 Kaoota Tramway**

**Cr Cordover** asked the following question without notice:

*I have received reports about people disturbing the peace by riding trail bikes or motorised dirt bikes on tracks and trails that they shouldn't. This was specifically about Kaoota but I'm sure it happens elsewhere in the municipality. What enforcement mechanisms are available to Council to clamp down on that kind of disruptive behaviour?*

**Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services responds:**

We have two mechanisms. One is by means of physical restraint and that would be bollards at the entrances of tracks to prevent access for trail bikes etc. The other is an infringement penalty if we can catch the offenders, but our ability to do that is limited due to often these events take place after hours, so we do rely on reports from adjoining neighbours and track users to advise when this behaviour is happening. There are various offences associated with trail bike riding on our tracks both in legislation and under our by-laws and it's obviously something that we wish to curtail.

**Cr Cordover:**

Are there any plans for bollards or potentially signage if that works around Kaoota? Do signs work in the experience of Council officers? Are they a worth route to go down? Secondly, are there plans for bollards as part of the strategy?

**Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services responds:**

Our experience is that signs don't work. The type of people who want to ride on these tracks with trail bikes when they know it's not the right thing to do, don't tend to pay any attention to signs and yes, it is our intention to install bollards to ensure that we can keep such vehicles off the Kaoota Tramway Track wherever possible.



**C103/4-2022****11.2 Roundabout near KFC, Kingston**

**Cr Glade-Wright** asked the following question without notice:

*I know this is a State Growth road but my question is regarding the lane that exits the CBD of Kingston where a lot of the cars are forming two lanes – one to turn left and one to go straight ahead. I would like to represent quite a few of our community members who have safety concerns regarding what's happening informally there and I remember that this was also raised at the safety committee meeting. What can we do to action some kind of change there? Do we need a Council resolution for lobbying or can someone do something in the background?*

**Mayor responds:**

I agree with you that that is a very difficult intersection and when I was Deputy Chair of the Road Safety Committee many years ago, it was being raised then.

**Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services responds:**

We have previously raised this matter with State Growth and there is not sufficient width to formalise two lanes at that location, however, the informal forming of two lanes is very much preferred by the public in terms of the timeliness of being able to either go left or straight through the roundabout. I know that there are two views on this and one is that if people act sensibly then it's safe but there is the official response from State Growth that there is no room to formalise two lanes. It would be actually reducing that down to one lane to stop two lanes forming which then in turn may not be something that the public want.

**Cr Glade-Wright:**

What can we do from here? Can we request them to change it down to one lane if that is the only acceptable solution if that is going to be safer?

**Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services:**

I think it's a matter that would require some community consultation because I expect that the majority of people would want to see two lanes if that was possible and I expect that could be possible with some acquisition of land and widening of the lane and expenditure. My advice is that it is a State Growth matter and if it is an issue that Council wish to pursue then a resolution to that effect would need to come forward.

**C104/4-2022****11.3 Speed Limit Signage**

**Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice:

*New speed limit signs have been put up in Woodbridge and the speed limit is now 30kms/h. Considering the amount of time we have tried very hard to get Snug down to 50kms/h, I'm wondering why Woodbridge has been prioritised and if there is anything we can do to once again encourage State Growth to look at the speed limit throughout Snug.*

**Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services responds:**

The signs I saw in Woodbridge on the weekend looked temporary rather than permanent. I will take that question on notice. I haven't had communication from State Growth on the matter.

**C105/4-2022****11.4 Missing Sign**

**Cr Bastone** asked the following question without notice:

*When you are coming north from Woodbridge approaching Kettering, there used to be a sign saying 'Welcome to Kettering'. That sign has now been missing for at least three weeks. Is there a reason for this and is it going to be replaced?*

**Director Governance, Recreation and Property Services responds:**

We would need to take that question on notice and investigate.

**C106/4-2022****11.5 Priority Listing for Footpaths**

**Cr Wriedt** asked the following question without notice:

*Because we now have a footpath policy, I'm wondering if we are going to go through a process a bit like we did for hall upgrades and public toilet upgrades where we analysed the situation with footpaths where there are sections missing, sections that need to be repaired and start to have them on a priority list so that when we come around to each Capex program that there is a clear indication of where we might go with that?*

**Manager Works responds:**

The Footpath Policy and nature strip guidelines are obviously a relatively new document and the intention of that policy and those guidelines would have set some standards moving forward in terms of what we would like to achieve into the future. It is recognised that there are a number of locations that don't meet those targets and it's also recognised that to meet those targets would require a very significant capital expenditure. For example, from a very quick desktop assessment, there is roughly 22kms of roadway that wouldn't meet those aspirations and in a lot of those instances it is very challenging to build a footpath with the topography of the land and also noting that there would be a number of residents that have probably spent a lot of time landscaping their nature strips so there may be some community backlash about actually building those footpaths in those locations. In saying that, we are committed to making a prioritised list of new footpaths based on a number of criteria including costs, ease of installation, community need, expected use, safety and the aesthetics of the area.

---

**12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

---

**C107/4-2022****12.1 South Bruny National Park Management Plan**

At the Council meeting on 21 February 2022, **Cr Midgley** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*A question was raised at the Bruny Island Community Association that I attended with Cr Grace and Cr Bastone in regards to the South Bruny National Park Management Plan and the concerns there for Cloudy Bay Beach access and the camping area. The association made reference that it hasn't been updated for quite a while and it would be good to look at revisiting that in regards to the high amount of usage around the beach and beach access and the behaviour sometimes not so great at the camping area. Are staff aware if Council are involved in any discussions regarding this?*

**Officer's Response:**

Ultimately the South Bruny National Park Management Plan and compliance in the Park is a matter for the Parks and Wildlife Service. Officers in the Natural Areas and Biodiversity team have had several discussions over the years advocating for improved management of vehicle access to Cloud Beach with particular reference to shorebird impacts. The difficulty for P&W is resourcing compliance action but it is noted there have been a number of education initiatives undertaken including signage and through the Discovery Ranger program.

*Dr Katrena Stephenson, Director Environment, Development & Community Services*

**C108/4-2022**

**12.2 Sale of Land at Pearl Place**

At the Council meeting on 21 February 2022, **Cr Grace** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*I have received a number of enquiries regarding Council selling some land at 27 Pearl Place. Regarding the power outages on Friday 28 January and a further outage on Sunday 30 January as to a power cable that was damaged by the construction of the new wall that Council approved on Council land. Who will be paying for the replacement of the cable?*

**Officer’s Response:**

Council has not sold land at 27 Pearl Place, Blackmans Bay. Replacement of the cable is a matter for TasNetworks and does not involve Council.

*Gary Arnold, General Manager*

**C109/4-2022**

**12.3 Covid-19 Data**

At the Council meeting on 7 February 2021, **Cr Wass** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*Is there any data available for Covid-19 for Council and do we have Kingborough numbers for infections, testing and vaccinations and vaccinations for both adults and children under 12?*

**Officer’s Response:**

Council’s workforce has been relatively unaffected by COVID-19, with less than 10 cases since the opening of the State borders on 15 December. .

**Kingborough LGA Case numbers and testing rates 15 December 2021 to 12 February 2022**

**Table.** Number of cases and number of PCR tests with LGA of residence reported as Kingborough, and rates per 1000 population, for each of the last 4 weeks and in total since 15 Dec 2021.

	16 Jan to 22 Jan 2022	23 Jan to 29 Jan 2022	30 Jan to 05 Feb 2022	06 Feb to 12 Feb 2022	Total 15 Dec 2021 to 12 Feb 2022
Cases (n)	309	232	201	178	1926
Cases (rate per 1000)	8	6	5	5	50
Diagnosis by RAT (n)	157 (51%)	117 (50%)	115 (57%)	115 (65%)	804 (42%)
Diagnosis by PCR (n)	152 (49%)	115 (50%)	86 (43%)	63 (35%)	1122 (58%)
Total PCR tests* (n)	931	834	762	482	9069
Total PCR tests (rate per 1000)	24	22	20	12	235

*\*Note that the number of PCR tests includes both positive and negative tests, and repeat tests on the same individuals.*

*Daniel Smee, COVID-19 Incident Management Controller*

**OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS**

## PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning authority commenced at 6.05pm

### 13 OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

#### C110/4-2022

#### 13.1 DA-2021-651 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR UPGRADING OF DENNES POINT LANE AND ASSOCIATED WORKS BETWEEN BRUNY ISLAND MAIN ROAD AND CHAINAGE 115 AT DENNES POINT LANE, NORTH BRUNY (CT 22067/1, CT 148618/5, CT 155780/1)

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr Jo Westwood

That the Planning Authority resolves that the development application for Upgrading of Dennes Point Lane and associated works between Bruny Island Main Road and chainage 115 at Dennes Point Lane, North Bruny (CT 22067/1, CT 148618/5, CT 155780/1) for Mr A G Traill be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2021-651 and Council Plan Reference No. P2 submitted on 10 February 2022.

This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. Prior to the commencement of on-site works a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) must be submitted to Council for approval. The plan must be to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services and:
  - (a) be consistent with the CEMP endorsed under DA-2015-122 (Pitt and Sherry, August 2016), including:
    - (i) delineating the access alignment;
    - (ii) requiring pre-disturbance photos;
    - (iii) detail sediment and erosion control measures; and
  - (b) include but not necessarily be limited to the following:
    - (i) ensuring the access works do not compromise the Heritage Trail alignment (as determined by Council);
    - (ii) ensuring all works are undertaken in accordance with the Aboriginal Heritage Assessment Report;
    - (iii) detailing stockpiling locations;
    - (iv) providing for a site inspection by Council prior to use of the land for construction purposes including delivery of materials, heavy vehicle use etc;
    - (v) detailing the construction methodology;
    - (vi) minimising removal of grass vegetation cover to minimise erosion;
    - (vii) minimising soil disturbance;

- (viii) rehabilitating disturbed areas – smoothed surface, sow native coastal grass mix;
- (ix) detailing weed, pest and hygiene measures in accordance with Condition 8 below;
- (x) detailing traffic and pedestrian management measures;
- (xi) demonstrating the location of parking areas for construction and sub-contractors' vehicles, the location of any site sheds, on-site amenities, building waste storage and the like are contained within 21 Dennes Point Lane and are not located on Dennes Point Lane;
- (xii) providing timeframes for undertaking and completing of access works;
- (xiii) avoiding construction in wet conditions; and
- (xiv) including monitoring of rehabilitation works within 12 months of access works being completed.

A site inspection of the implemented plan by the Council's Development Inspector and Council's Environmental Planner or delegates must be satisfactorily undertaken with the principal contractor prior to the commencement of on-site works and issue of start of works.

Once endorsed, the Plan will form part of the permit and must be implemented and maintained throughout construction of the development to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services.

3. Prior to commencement of on-site works, engineering design drawings must be submitted to Council for approval. The engineering plans and specifications must be prepared and certified by a professional Civil Engineer. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Director Engineering Services and Manager Development Services and comply with:
  - Tasmanian Standard Drawings

The Plans must include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Detailed vehicular access road design, including:
  - (i) Longitudinal and cross sections of the driveway/access road
  - (ii) Contours, finish levels and gradients of the driveway/access road
  - (iii) Provision of passing bays
  - (iv) Pavement construction

Once endorsed the plans will form part of the permit.

4. Prior to the commencement of works within the Bruny Island Main Road Reserve (Ch 0 – 12m approximately), the applicant must obtain a works permit from the Department of State Growth. A copy of the work permit must be provided to Council.
5. Prior to the commencement of works within the Road Reserve, the applicant must obtain a roadworks permit from Council. All conditions of the roadworks permit must be complied with as approved.
6. The construction works must be undertaken in accordance with the approved drawings. Works must be to the satisfaction and approval of the Executive Manager - Engineering Services. During the construction phase the developer must not prevent access to the lane without approval from Council.

7. Stormwater runoff from the development must be either contained within the property or discharged to an approved discharge point to the satisfaction of Council's Executive Manager - Engineering Services. Any onsite stormwater management required for the development must be designed by a suitably qualified person to ensure that it does not cause environmental harm or nuisance.
8. To reduce the spread of weeds or pathogens, all machinery must take appropriate hygiene measures prior to entering and leaving the site as per the Tasmanian Washdown Guidelines for Weed and Disease Control produced by the Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

Any imported fill materials must be from a weed and pathogen free source to prevent introduction of new weeds and pathogens to the area.

9. All works must be fully contained within Council land.
10. As the laneway is within a public road reservation, no physical barriers or signage restricting public usage of the road is permitted. The lane must be unrestricted for public usage at all times.

#### ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.
- B. The approval in this permit is under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* and does not provide any approvals under other Acts including, but not limited to *Building Act 2016*, *Urban Drainage Act 2013*, *Food Act 2003* or Council by-laws.

If your development involves demolition, new buildings or alterations to buildings (including plumbing works or onsite wastewater treatment) it is likely that you will be required to get approvals under the *Building Act 2016*. Change of use, including visitor accommodation, may also require approval under the *Building Act 2016*. Advice should be sought from Council's Building Department or an independent building surveyor to establish any requirements.

- C. The recommendations made in the Aboriginal Heritage Assessment Report, Version 2, October 2021, by Consulting Archaeologist, Alan Hay, and Aboriginal Heritage Officer, Caleb Pedder, must be adopted. Advice or any relevant approvals must be sought from Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania prior to commencing any on-site works. All works personnel and contractors should be suitably briefed about and understand the process outlined in the Unanticipated Discovery Plan. All works personnel should be made aware that all Aboriginal heritage in Tasmania is protected under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1975* (the Act), regardless of whether it is in situ or previously disturbed. Under the Act there is a requirement to report Aboriginal heritage, and not to impact Aboriginal heritage without a permit granted by the Minister.

**CARRIED**

## OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open session resumed at 6.10pm

### 14 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

---

There were no petitions still being actioned.

### 15 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

---

No Petitions had been received.

### 16 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

---

#### C111/4-2022

#### 16.1 PLANNING AUTHORITY DELEGATIONS POLICY 1.1A

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright  
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Council endorse the revised Planning Authority Delegations Policy 1.1A.

**CARRIED**

#### C112/4-2022

#### 16.2 POLICY 6.6 - BONDING OF WORKS

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright  
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the amended Bonding of Development Works Policy 6.6 be approved and implemented.

**CARRIED**

#### C113/4-2022

#### 16.3 REVIEW OF SPORTS GROUND USER FEES

Moved: Cr Jo Westwood  
Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council provide in-principle approval to the re-establishment of sports ground user fees in Kingborough, subject to presentation of a further report that details the strategy and logic behind the rates to be charged for hiring of sports grounds, together with the timing and phasing-in of new fees and consultation to be undertaken with local sporting clubs/associations.

**CARRIED**

**C114/4-2022**

**16.4 SPORTS GROUND USER POLICY**

Moved: Cr Jo Westwood  
 Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council defer a review of the current Sports Ground User Policy pending the outcome of deliberations with respect to the re-establishment of sports ground user fees.

**CARRIED**

The Mayor vacated the Chair at 6.50pm  
 The Deputy Mayor assumed the Chair at 6.50pm

**C115/4-2022**

**16.5 LGAT GENERAL MEETING MOTIONS**

Moved: Cr Paula Wriedt  
 Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council advise the Mayor regarding voting at the upcoming LGAT General Meeting as follows:

Derelict and Abandoned Buildings	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> DD <input type="checkbox"/>
Removal of Fringe Benefit Tax for Electric Vehicles	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> DD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Family and Sexual Violence Prevention Framework	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> DD <input type="checkbox"/>
Differential Rates for Vacation Rentals	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> DD <input type="checkbox"/>
Fire Bunkers	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> DD <input type="checkbox"/>

**CARRIED**

**17 NOTICES OF MOTION**

---

**C116/4-2022**

**17.1 INSTALLATION OF A FOURTH FLAGPOLE**

Moved: Cr Paula Wriedt  
 Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That:

- (a) That Council authorises officers to install a fourth flagpole at the Civic Centre, for display of a rainbow flag to recognise our LGBTQI+ communities on dedicated awareness days; and
- (b) That officers develop an application process and guidelines in relation to the flying of any other flags on this fourth flagpole for commemorative or recognition purposes and that this policy be completed and returned to Council for consideration before the end of April, 2022.

**CARRIED**

The Mayor resumed the chair at 7.08pm



C117/4-2022

**18 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION**

---

Moved: Cr Flora Fox  
Seconded: Cr Steve Wass

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

**Confirmation of Minutes**

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

**Applications for Leave of Absence**

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

**Kingston Park Commercial Tenancy Lease**

Regulation 15(2)(f) *proposals for the council to acquire land or an interest in the land or for the disposal of land.*

**CARRIED**

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting will now cease.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 7.09pm

---

**OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS**

**OPEN SESSION RESUMES**

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.52pm

**C118/4-2022**

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Kingston Park Commercial Tenancy Lease	Lease Extension Approved

**CARRIED**

**CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.53pm

.....  
(Confirmed)

.....  
(Date)