

**Kingborough Community Consultative Forum
GENERAL MEETING**

**Saturday 7 August
9.30am-12.00noon**

**Following the Annual General Meeting
Council Chambers – Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston**

MINUTES

Chris Ireland (Chair), Wayne Burgess (Deputy Chair), Kathy Holland (Blackmans Bay Community Association), Roger Walker, Peter Tatham (Bonnet Hill Community Association), Rob Nixey (Bruny Island Community Association), David Bonny, Richard Mount (Conningham and Lower Snug Community Association), June Walker (Howden Progress Association) Peter Laud (Kettering Community Association), John Cox (Kingborough Landcare Advisory Group), Tricia Ramsey (Kingborough Ratepayers Association – as observer), John Reeves (South Channel Ratepayers and Residents Association), Rob Crossthwaite (Snug Landcare); Jill Hickie (Taroona Community Association); Warwick Lee (Westwinds); Luca Vanzino (Woodbridge Community Association); Mike Brough, George Taylor (Friends of Longley Area Group).

Councillors: Mayor Paula Wreidt, Cr Sue Bastone, Cr Gideon Cordover, Cr Clare-Glade Wright

Council Officers: Gary Arnold, Katrena Stephenson, Alexander Arronson, Bridget Jupe

Meeting commenced at 9.40am

1. Welcome
2. Apologies: Leslie Frost, Cr Jo Westwood, Cr Amanda Midgely, Cr Flora Fox
3. Confirm Minutes of the General Meeting held 5 June 2021.

Minutes confirmed with inclusion of John Reeves (SCRRA) in attendance list.

4. Action from the Last Meeting (update will be provided at meeting if not before)

GA to seek advice from TasWater on how large a community needs to get before an extension of reticulated water and sewerage.

Response from TasWater read at meeting:

Further to your request for some information for a Conningham resident who asked about water and sewer services for Conningham I provided an outline below of how we undertake service introduction.

We don't automatically install water and sewer services to communities based on population growth. It is a matter for communities to decide if they want our services.

The costs to introduce service is significant and a portion of the cost of installing a water/and or sewer system is paid by the property owners, this is the service introduction charge. We look at a number of factors to calculate service introduction charges and the cost differs based on the complexity of setting up the service. The formula used and full details of the service introduction policy are included in our Price and Services Plan which can be found on our website [here](#).

We will consider service introduction for water services and/or sewerage services when a proposal is put forward by:

- A community or a council on behalf of the community; or
- The relevant council's Environmental Health Officer, the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) or the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), who have identified that the absence of water services and/or sewerage services is causing significant and/or wide scale environmental harm and/or public health issues.

The service introduction process has three stages:

- Stage 1 Initial Consultation – we will provide high level, preliminary design of the infrastructure, a map of the proposed service area and indicative service introduction charges per title for the service(s)
- Stage 2 Indicative Community Support – we will test whether there is broad community support of at least 50 per cent for the service introduction proposal to undergo detailed design and business case development; and
- Stage 3 TasWater Board approval of the business case is conditional on the threshold in Stage 3 being reached. Community Commitment to Service Introduction – at least 80 per cent of owners of developed land titles within the proposed service introduction area must enter into an agreement committing to pay the service introduction charge and any other relevant charges

CALSCA to share information on their Emergency Management Kits with the Forum.

- CALSCA presented on their Kits. Noted that is was a foundational program with some community grant funding. The idea of the project to build a basic emergency kit (a physical thing), to help remind people they could do something about getting prepared for emergencies. Acknowledged support of TFS/SES and Belinda Loxley from Council. Discussed distribution process, the difficulty of identifying vulnerable people, broad community contact and letter boxing and the idea of pay it forward. Provided members with a printout listing all the things in the kit and a copy of the invitation letter. Noted they now also have a phone tree in place for bushfire alerts.

KS to follow up on questions around TasWater Sediment Traps, swale, Sandfly intersection.

Depot has been requested to investigate.

KS to feedback to relevant staff that community would like to be consulted on Sedgebrook Reserve plans before proceeding further.

COMPLETE – consultation undertaken 21st June. BHCA thanks Paul Donelley for assistance.

GA to follow up regarding feedback on matters raised through kc@kingborough.tas.gov.au as opposed to just acknowledgement (eg how has been actioned?).

COMPLETE see Business Arising. GA noted Council receives 3-3,500 service requests per month, many without providing return contact information.

TTM to provide a summary of the issues and concerns related to PD8.

COMPLETE advice circulated previously with Agenda

5. Business Arising

Forum reiterated importance and value of getting feedback on what has been fixed or how it has been actioned, delegated or put in priority list (as opposed to just an

acknowledgement).

NOTE: Council went live week of 28 June with a replacement request system which provides the capacity to automate (or partially automate as the case may be) responses to customer requests as these pass through various status milestones i.e Lodged, Declined/Approved (after triage), In-Progress, Work Order Generated, Completed, mandatory officer comments required at each stage. We still need to tune how the notifications are compiled to ensure status accuracy and appropriateness of commentary (maybe only on completion). Feedback will obviously only be available to customers who provide return contact details and request to be provided with updates.

December Forum to be focussed on budget initiative ideas.

6. Council Presentation on Stormwater (10.00AM)

Alex and Bridget presented to the KCF. The Presentation will be sent with the minutes.

Break for morning tea

7. General Manager Update – Focus on By-Law Process

The General Manager provided an update on the process for renewing/making by-laws as required under the Local Government Act. This includes signoff on draft by-laws for consultation from a qualified legal officer and the Director for Local Government. The high level of interest in the Health and Environmental Services By-Law, s25, was noted, with the majority of submissions received focussing on that. It was noted in response to community input timeframes that the legislation requires council to resolve to renew, undertake a Regulatory Impact Statement and have agreement of the Director of Local Government prior to going to community consultation. The 7th June Council Agenda flagged the removal of Part 7, c25. The General Manager also noted the constraints around providing the legal advice due to client privilege needing to be maintained in the event of any legal challenge to Council. However, the broad thrust of the advice was that because of changes to Planning legislation and the introduction of state planning provisions, the provisions in the by-law would be in conflict with LUPPA (see LG Act section 150 (1) (d)). It was noted that this matter would be considered by Council on 16 August and that the officer's report would scope a range of options to support Council's decision making. The timeframes and the impact of lapsed by-laws was also noted.

KCF Members endorsed a motion seeking "that the Council postpone removal of Part 7 of the Health and Environmental Services By-Law (Trees on private property), until Council receives additional legal advice.

They further sought that "Council waive client privilege and provide a copy of the legal advice, paid for by the ratepayers, to the community"

Moved Jill/Luca

Passed

8. Reports from each Community Organisation

Kingborough Landcare- Huon Highway Corridor Study, calling for submissions, extended timeframe to 14 August.

BICA – Many issues quite specific to Bruny. Big issue is controlling tourism.

BHCA – some issues around signage around north bound direction close to golf course, signage bit secluded Alum cliff near brickworks.

WCA –Successful in grants to finalise village green. Positive meeting with Su Sprott re walk to wreck.

Snug Landcare – AGM on Wednesday, working bee to address walkway towards Snug creek. Sought advice on status of Climate Change Policy and it was noted this will be coming back to the Council later this year.

South Channel – Broken seat replaced by Council; State Growth slow to respond to concerns.

KCA – Thanks for responses on Ferry. Want lower speed limit through Kettering. Noted Council raised but was not supported by State Growth so petition now underway for uniform speed limit down channel. 50 through all townships.

TCA– Apology no written report. Road crossing issues - want to engage with road engineer because of safety issues of children crossing from upper Tarooma to lower Tarooma for school. Good constructive conversations around Tarooma Hall masterplan including potential community fund grant. Thanks for work re road crossings Nubeena Cres and Jenkins St. Visioning Day for residents 15th August 2-4pm Tarooma Primary.

CALSCA – speed limits around Channel Highway have been raised with Premier and Minister Ferguson. With lack of response hence petition. Productive meeting with Renai re road signage Conningham and Lower Snug re safety.

HCA –Seek to have speed limit on Brightwater and Howden Road reduced to 50. Council did not support as not aligned with Australian Standards. However, feel it is not significantly different from main part of Howden and should be reduced. Daily in Howden Facebook page people talk about near misses.

BBCA – seeking advice on significant tree register timeline Asked if any opportunities for community to participate in foreshore maintenance work. Could Council consider recycle bins next to rubbish bins on foreshore?

FLAG– increasing concern re heavy vehicle use Leslie Rd. Asked can any constraints be placed on HV use on Leslie Rd re Council's own projects, or conditions on where waste fill disposed of (i.e. not Longley Quarry). A walkway along Leslie Rd in longer-term might alleviate some conflict. Many concerns relate to use of Longley Park and Oval – one way to address in an integrated manner would be to refresh 2010 Longley Reserves Masterplan (last reviewed 2018). Longley Oval extensively used by school groups and visitors, quite derelict etc – needs regeneration. Noted derelict property adjacent to cemetery – house falling to pieces, overgrown with weeds (see pictures). Seeking Council support to reduce speed limit through Longley to 50km/hr and to keep Huon Highway intersection at 80km/hr once works complete.

9. Other Business

None raised

10. Date of next meeting

9 October 2021

Meeting close 12.05pm

List of Actions:

KS to forward advice from Taswater	In these Minutes
KS to forward Stormwater Presentation	Will be send with draft minutes
KS to provide advice on Significant Tree Register process/timelines.	In train.

Business Arising From This Meeting

Alum cliffs signage, north bound, close to golf course – bit secluded.
Road Crossings Taroona – seeking engagement with engineer.
Any opportunities for community to participate in BB foreshore work? Could Council consider recycle bins next to rubbish bins on foreshore?
Can any constraints be placed on HV use on Leslie Rd re Council's own projects, or conditions on where waste fill disposed of (i.e. not Longley Quarry)?
Longley Park and Oval – needs regeneration and possible refresh of Longley Reserves Masterplan.