

Kingborough



COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

7 November 2022

These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.

Kingborough Councillors 2022 - 2026



Mayor
Councillor Paula Wriedt



Deputy Mayor
Councillor Clare Glade-Wright



Councillor Aldo Antolli



Councillor David Bain



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Kaspar Deane



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Mark Richardson



Councillor Christian Street

Table of Contents

Item	Page No.		
Open Session			
1	Audio Recording	1	
2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1	
3	Attendees	1	
C420/21-2022	4	Apologies	1
C421/21-2022	5	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
C422/21-2022	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
C423/21-2022	9	Questions Without Notice from the Public	2
	9.1	Landscape Conservation Zoning	2
	9.2	Carbon Footprint	6
C424/21-2022	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	6
	10.1	Local Food Vans	6
	10.2	Local Provisions Schedule	7
	10.3	Landscape Conservation Zoning	8
C425/21-2022	11	Questions Without Notice from Councillors	9
	11.1	Blackmans Bay Beach and Sea Wall Planning	9
	11.2	Annual Report	9
	11.3	Local Historic Heritage Code	9
	11.4	Climate Change	9
	11.5	Snug Hall	10
	11.6	Snug Beach Foreshore	10
	11.7	Recycling Bins at Snug Beach	10
	11.8	Pump Stations	11
	11.9	Park and Ride	11
	11.10	Annual Report	11
	11.11	Louisa Hinsby Park	12
	11.12	Sportsground User Fees	12
	11.13	Adventure Bay Road	12
	11.14	Pedestrian Crossings at Margate and Snug	13
	11.15	Bus Shelter Upgrades	14

Table of Contents (cont.)

Item		Page No.	
	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	14
	13	Petitions still being Actioned	14
	14	Petitions Received in Last Period	14
	15	Officers Reports to Council	14
C426/21-2022	15.1	Certificate of Election	14
C427/21-2022	15.2	Appointment to Council Special Committees	15
C428/21-2022	15.3	Channel Football Club Clubrooms at Snug Oval - Transfer of asset to Council	16
C429/21-2022	15.4	New Sublease to Snug Cricket Club Inc and the Channel Junior Football Club Inc at the Snug Oval	16
C430/21-2022	15.5	Community Grants	16
	16	Notices of Motion	17
C431/21-2022	16.1	Workshop on Waste Reduction	17
C432/21-2022	17	Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	17

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston
Monday, 7 November 2022 at 5.30pm

1 AUDIO RECORDING

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council's policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today's Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

3 ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Mayor Councillor P Wriedt	✓
Councillor A Antolli	✓
Councillor D Bain	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor K Deane	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor M Richardson	✓

Staff:

General Manager	Mr Gary Arnold
Chief Financial Officer	Mr John Breen
Director Engineering Services	Mr David Reeve
Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Director Environment, Development & Community Services	Dr Samantha Fox
Manager Development Services	Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore
Media & Communications Advisor	Ms Sam Adams
Communications & Engagement Support Officer	Ms Bianca Kleine
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

C420/21-2022

4 APOLOGIES

Deputy Mayor Councillor C Glade-Wright
 Councillor C Street

C421/21-2022

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No. 20 held on 17 October 2022 be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED

6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

Nil.

C422/21-2022

7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cr Cordover declared an interest in the report headed “Community Grants” and the “Kingborough Community Awards”.

Cr Midgley declared an interest in the report headed “Community Grants”.

Mr Daniel Smee declared an interest in the report headed “Community Grants”.

8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

C423/21-2022

9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

Ms Mel O’Keefe

9.1 Landscape Conservation Zoning

Could you please advise approximately how many GIS staff are working with Kingborough Council?

General Manager responds:

We have one staff member working in that field.

Ms O’Keefe:

I appreciate that the Local Provisions Schedule is a draft only currently, nonetheless, could you please advise the approximate number of properties proposed for LCZ and the total number of hectares and if this information is not to hand, could this please be taken on notice?

Mayor responds:

We will take it on notice.

Ms O'Keefe:

Recognising that vegetation reduction is in conflict with LCZ, has any modelling been undertaken to assess the change in bushfire risk that will result from the proposed wide scale rezoning to LCZ?

Manager Development Services responds:

Specifically to bushfire risk, no.

Ms O'Keefe

Is Council familiar with the content of the Southern Fire Management Area Bushfire Risk Management Plan that was issued by the State Fire Management Council in January of 2021?

Manager Development Services:

I don't specifically but we do have several staff who are involved specifically with bushfire matters and I would be confident that they would be aware of it.

Ms O'Keefe:

The previously mentioned report contains a risk assessment and within that are numerous areas of Kingborough have been assessed as having an extreme fire risk with the consequence rating of major which is one below the most severe which is catastrophic. The majority of these areas have also been proposed for significant application of LCZ. The report identifies vegetation reduction as a strategic mitigation strategy, however, vegetation reduction is in conflict with LCZ. How does Council propose to mitigate the extreme bushfire risk to residents of these areas.

Manager Development Services:

Bush fire is dealt with in the planning scheme in two parts only. If it's an application for subdivision or if it is a vulnerable use such as a hospital and there are other uses listed in there. Otherwise it is dealt with under the *Building Act 2016* where it has requirements for BAL assessments and needs an approval from TFS or a bush fire practitioner and it includes things such as clearing of vegetation to protect the house or other methods such as firefighting equipment or set up or also to do with materials of a building or houses. It also has limitations about setbacks of Outbuildings near houses. So all of those BAL requirements are throughout the country, it is not limited to Tasmania and that is where the bushfire assessments are undertaken. A planning permit wouldn't prohibit the implementation of a BAL assessment.

Ms O'Keefe:

Given that the BAL ratings are a relatively new requirement, how are properties that have existed prior to that requirement, how are they protected?

Manager Development Services:

That's up to the residents who own the dwellings. There is no retrospective requirement put on by the Federal Government that people have to then achieve a BAL. If a person is to modify their house including change of use or they do an extension, as an example, then they will need to meet the BAL requirements for that, but there is not a retrospective requirement for them to do that.

Ms O'Keefe:

Considering that properties can impact the fire risk to other properties, and I understand that Council can issue an abatement notice to require neighbouring properties to reduce vegetation risk for the benefit of neighbouring properties, how is this managed in that you are saying that it is up to the property owner however it's not always vegetation on the property owner's own land that puts them at risk?

Manager Development Services:

Living in rural no-urban areas and bush areas in any part of Australia, including Tasmania, comes with risk of bush fire. That's part of our natural environment unfortunately and it is a risk that has to be taken. The BAL requirements for the property themselves, there are occasions where a BAL requirement such as vegetation clearing can occur on the neighbouring property. It is something that we discourage and is something that I would discourage generally beyond Kingborough as well because you don't know that they're going to maintain the requirements, you don't know that the owner is not going to change, you don't know of the other risks, so a BAL should be contained within the site. The same as the requirement we have a policy which has been approved by Council that BAL requirements are not to occur on Council land. You cannot rely on Council land and you must contain it within your own site. So, if you need to modify your design to achieve that so you may not have the house exactly with the site line that you like then you may need to modified it anyway to achieve your BAL. Control of bush fire risk onto another property is advised through those assessments initially because the neighbouring properties are looked at as part of the TFS requirements but there is not a requirement to then go onto someone else's land to remove vegetation and is probably an unrealistic expectation that all vegetation around any dwelling is going to be removed. I think that's really important where that conversation is about appropriate development and appropriate subdivisions where there are bush fire risks or there are coastal erosion risks or there is inundation risks. There are many risks that are posed on properties and that's where the planning scheme acts to try and find that balance between those natural occurrences or natural risks. We say to people not every site is definitely not suitable for the development and not suitable for development that everyone ideally has because that needs to be put into balance of what it is and that is part of the consideration and there are bushfire prone area overlays that are applied in the scheme as well.

Ms O'Keefe:

Just to clarify, Council can with an abatement notice require other property owners to reduce vegetation risk? That's certainly in a bush fire management plan that I had prepared it provides the provision under the Local Government Act for Council to require that vegetation to be reduced.

Manager Development Services:

My department doesn't deal with the abatement notices. It's done under the compliance department. It is my understanding that the abatement notices have limitations about where they can and can't be applied but as I'm not that area expert, I can't answer the question.

Director Governance Recreation & Property Services responds:

Yes, broadly speaking, you are correct, Council can issue an abatement notice requiring a neighbouring property to reduce the hazard.

Ms O'Keefe:

The report that I made reference to wherein a number of areas within Kingborough have been assessed as having an extreme fire risk, would Council give consideration to having their GIS officer overlay the properties proposed for LCZ over the top of the extreme risk areas?

Manager Development Services:

It is something that can be provided.

Ms O'Keefe:

In the Kingborough Land Use Strategy, it notes that extensive areas of environmental living will need to be rezoned as LCZ or ILZ and that this will result in significant changes across the municipality. When the draft was endorsed in December 2019, the Councillor who moved the motion asked that staff speak to the matter because they were not professionally able to and I have two questions in relation to this. To understand the LPS in its entirety where two workshops of 90 minutes adequate to provide Councillors with sufficient in depth knowledge of the LPS, the significant zone changes and the impacts they would bring in order to vote? And secondly, did Council undertake any measures to assess the Councillors depth of understanding of this complex matter because requiring them to vote? For example, any feedback, forms, assessments or otherwise.

Manager Development Services:

The workshop format that Council has allows an open discussion about the subject matter so there was discussion certainly during those. In addition to that, there is always an offer as we have just done with our recently elected members to hold further discussions with them either in a private session or a group session and that was offered. I can't recall as it was a long time ago now whether that did or didn't happen. If Councillors don't feel comfortable it is their responsibility to ask the questions. We don't expect elected members necessarily to be complete planning experts or to be able to know every detail, they do rely on offers recommendations for that. If the Councillors wish to have further workshops, which they will have workshops for two reasons, one it was long time ago that we did the LPS draft and secondly we have a new council so we will be running additional workshops for them to understand. Insofar as your question about assessments of elected member's ability to understand or process or make a decision, that's not for me to make any comment about what the process is about Councillors understanding any subject matter.

Ms O'Keefe:

In the questions on notice, Ms Jo Landon posed some questions at 10.2 of the meeting pack, part of one of the questions appears to have been overlooked and that is she requested the topics covered in the workshops. Could this please be taken on notice and the information supplied?

Manager Development Services:

We can take it on notice.

Ms O'Keefe:

On the Council's web page relating to the draft LPS, it speaks to environmental living zone and does not provide any information in relation to rural living zone or indeed the low density residential properties that have been proposed to be transferred to LCZ. Similarly there is not any information in relation to the changed, reduced or lost uses for the properties proposed to move to LCZ which extends far beyond the approvals pathway. Is Council intending to update the page to include such information?

Manager Development Services:

Absolutely, LCZ and ELZ are certainly not the only interest of our municipality, so there will be information sheets on all of the and they will be prepared and placed on there closer to the time of public exhibition. And that is only for the reason of once we have had the post lodgement conferences with the Tasmanian Planning Commission we will put all that information up.

Certainly we can answer questions as we do. We have a lot of incoming quarry certainly not just about LCZ, we have it about the business zones, general residential zones and SAP's as well, so there is a whole range of things. We do have the intention we have is a consultation plan which the Councillors will be run through again in their workshop and there will be comparisons because they are not all going, for example, from ELZ to LCZ. We can't just get caught up on just one because there is a particular interest in that, but we do intend to have comparisons.

Mr Michael Rowan asked the following question without notice:

9.2 Carbon Footprint

When Kingborough Council is proposing new capital works, does the Council endeavour to calculate what the carbon footprint of what that capital work would be?

Director Engineering Services responds:

No, we don't put that in our calculations. It's certainly something that can be considered in the future.

C424/21-2022

10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

10.1 Local Food Vans

Ms Elise Hill submitted the following question on notice:

I attended the market held at the Kingborough community Hub on Sunday the 16th October. Mostly I chatted with the food vendors and was shocked to hear many had travelled from a considerable distance. Jasmine's kitchen from Campania, Robbie's wood fired pizza from Midway Point, Fried and Loaded Fern Tree (the closest), Little Island Waffle Co from Pontville.

I know a local van Culinary Kitchen applied but was not accepted. There could have been others but only know this particular vendor. While it is great to have variety and support all, my thoughts are we should be supporting our locally community members in the first instance.

What I'd like to know is why we are not supporting our local Kingborough registered food vendors first preference for a Kingborough market event?

Officer's Response:

The Creative Kingborough Market is not a Council-run event. The Market is delivered and managed by an external hirer. The Coordinator Community Services and Hub contacted the Creative Kingborough Market Coordinator to discuss the approach to selecting food vendors. The Market Coordinator advised that there is a fair and transparent application process for food vendors and stall holders to participate, and that she intends for a variety in stalls, food vendors and activities each month. The Market Coordinator has received applications from Kingborough-based vendors, and they will be participating at some future markets.

Carol Swords, Coordinator Community Services and Hub

10.2 Local Provisions Schedule

Ms Jo Landon submitted the following question on notice:

The Kingborough draft Local Provisions Schedule (Tasmanian Planning Scheme) was endorsed at the council meeting on 9 December 2019. The officer's report from that meeting refers to some significant changes to the use or development provisions that apply to properties.

How had council officers and the councillors themselves ensured that elected representatives had a thorough understanding of:

- a) *the impact of those changes on residents' land use rights, and other ramifications such as financing difficulties and property devaluation?*
- b) *the significance of the endorsement and submission of the draft (ie once the council had submitted the draft LPS it was no longer the decision-making body; any amendments - eg following community consultation - could only be requested, with permission granted or refused by the Tasmanian Planning Commission)?*

Please provide details of any relevant meetings, conferences or workshops etc, including the duration, attendance and topics covered.

Officer's Response:

The move over to the Tasmanian Planning Scheme is a state-lead project and not Council driven. As part of that transition to the Tasmanian Planning Scheme, each Council had to prepare its own Local Provisions Schedule (LPS) and submit it to the Tasmanian Planning Commission (TPC) for their approval. Council has prepared and submitted a draft document that suggests how the zoning application could occur, however it is not yet known whether the zoning as Council proposed will remain the same, as the TPC is still doing their assessment. Once the TPC has finalised their assessment, they may require Council to make changes to the draft LPS before we can seek people's input on the changes being suggested. It is then during the 8-week public exhibition period that anyone can make a submission about the proposed changes. After the exhibition period a report will be presented to Council in open session to consider representations received and to recommend changes to the zoning. Prior to the Council meeting, there will be workshops for the newly elected Council to familiarise themselves with the process and changes proposed.

The impact of the zoning changes on residents' land use rights, and other ramifications such as financing difficulties and property devaluation is a complicated matter as it would be different for each and every property and landowner. Without disregarding landowners' rights, it should be noted that Council's role in the zoning translation is limited and can be summarised as follows:

1. Undertake the zoning translations using the State Government's Guidelines and submit to the TPC.
2. Once public exhibition occurs, Council is to consider representations of individuals and recommend changes where necessary.

Ultimately, the final decision about the zoning changes will be a decision for the TPC having regard to what Council proposed, representations received, and Council's recommendations post the public exhibition period.

There were Councillor workshops on 7 October 2019, 2 December 2019 and 18 May 2020 regarding the change to the new Tasmanian Planning Scheme and the Draft LPS. All Councillors were in attendance at the workshop held on 7 October 2019, except Cr Grace. All Councillors attended the workshop held on 2 December 2019 except Crs Grace and Midgley. All Councillors attended the workshop held on 18 May 2020. The duration of each workshop was approximately

an hour and a half. As mentioned above, further Councillor workshops will be held after the TPC has completed their assessment of the Kingborough Draft LPS and before public exhibition occurs.

Adriaan Stander, Strategic Planner

10.3 Landscape Conservation Zoning

Ms Mel O’Keefe submitted the following question on notice:

The Tasmanian Planning Commission issued 'Guideline 1: Local Provisions Schedule (LPS): zone and code application' which at 4.3 states "The primary objective in applying a zone should be to achieve the zone purpose to the greatest extent possible."

Specifically relating to properties that have been proposed for down-zoning, including all properties proposed for LCZ - has each property been individually assessed against the criteria in S8A Guideline 1 to determine its proposed new zone?

In the event properties were not individually assessed against the criteria in Guideline 1, please provide details as to the considerations and mechanism for determining the proposed new zone.

Officer’s Response:

Council utilised the State Government’s guidelines in the broad zoning translation from the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015 to the Kingborough Draft Local Provision Schedule (LPS). The draft mapping that was submitted to the Tasmanian Planning Commission (TPC) in 2019 considered each property in the broader context of surrounding properties, based on the State Government’s Guidelines and the available data, and taking into consideration the existing zoning.

The Draft LPS is still being reviewed by the TPC and once they have finalised their review of the methodology Council used for the zone application, Council will be able to review the proposed zoning in more detail before public exhibition occurs. However due to the significant number of properties in the municipality and the unique characteristic of individual properties, there will always be a risk that some properties don’t neatly fit into the zoning that is proposed for the land. It is for this reason landowners are encouraged to participate in the formal public exhibition which is expected to occur in the first half of 2023. Once directed by the TPC, and Council is allowed to commence with the formal public exhibition of the Draft LPS, Kingborough residents and landowners will be able to confirm the proposed zoning for their property and if that raises concern, they will be able to make a representation raising their concerns, or they may wish to make a submission supporting the proposed changes.

The intention is to have public information sessions across the municipality during the eight-week exhibition period to discuss the proposed changes and the practical impacts of them. After the exhibition period a report will be presented to Council in open session to consider representations received and where appropriate, to recommend changes to the zoning and other relevant matters to the LPS. The final decision on the LPS and zone application will rest with the TPC following the public hearings. Council will provide regular news updates via its public website as the new planning scheme progresses.

Adriaan Stander, Strategic Planner

C425/21-2022

11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

Cr Cordover asked the following questions without notice:

11.1 Blackmans Bay Beach and Sea Wall Planning

Please may we have an update about the time frame before potential replacement or repair of the footpath and the construction of a sea wall at Blackmans Bay beach and more broadly what are the plans for erosion control at Blackmans Bay Beach?

Director Governance Recreation & Property Services responds:

We have commissioned a report that has done an assessment of the risk posed in relation to the coastal erosion that is occurring there. That has provided us with some options. At this stage we haven't committed to any of those options. The path is currently stable and we are monitoring that, so we don't have a locked in plan but we do have options available to us to consider but our primary action at this stage is simply to monitor the situation and if it appears to be worsening, then we do have the information available to act.

11.2 Annual Report

In the Annual Report it mentions that Destination Southern Tasmania, the Huon Valley Council and the tourism industry will work together to develop a tourism marketing strategy for the region south of Hobart and that this project is "ongoing". Is there any update on an expected timeframe for that piece of work and when it is completed, how will we determine if it has been a success or value for money?

Director Environment, Development & Community Services responds:

I am going to have to take it on notice.

11.3 Local Historic Heritage Code

Is there any update on where this is at and, again, when it is completed, what kind of community consultation or feedback, what's that community engagement process?

Manager Development Services responds:

There is a listing heritage code within the planning scheme which lists properties. When we go to the new planning scheme, it will have some updates in terms of details of the existing listings. There is definitely work to be done in terms of new listings and some work had been started but due to resourcing, we have not been able to proceed that project.

11.4 Climate Change

The re-elected Lord Mayor Anna Reynolds in Hobart City Council will promote Hobart on the world stage this month when she speaks at the COP27 Climate Change Summit in Egypt. Does Kingborough have any plans to leverage the COP conference by sharing information about our climate action initiatives in the wake of the COP conference or are we doing any kind of activation around the COP27 conference?

General Manager responds:

No, however, that said, as you know through our Hobart City Deal partnership we are in regular contact with the Lord Mayor and the CEO of the City of Hobart and we will be seeking whatever material they are able to access at that conference and make that available to Councillors.

Cr Midgley asked the following questions without notice:

11.5 Snug Hall

There have been a number of maintenance matters that have been identified. In particular the items are the toilets are in need of painting, glass and window frames on three sides of the hall are old and do not open as they were painted over, the glass in the window frames are very thin and there is a concern they would not meet the current window standard, are there any plans for sound proofing the hall as it echoes, the kitchen is in need of an upgrade, overhead heaters do no heat the space in winter and the playgroup stop using the hall in winter. What are the plans for these upgrades?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

Council has a Community Hall Strategy and that strategy was prepared on the basis of undertaking a condition assessment on all of our halls. The strategy also included surveys of our Hall Management Committees and users to identify the key priorities. In relation to Snug, the key priorities that came out were indeed the kitchen upgrade and the heating. The acoustics has been something that has been raised with Council more recently. The strategy does identify the upgrade of the heating and the kitchen in 2023/24 and that will comprise of a capital bid and I am also intending to lodge a capital bid for acoustic panelling to address the sound issues that have been raised and these will appear as a capital bid for next financial year. The other items appear to be maintenance related which can be passed onto our building maintenance unit. However, if they are found to be in excess of \$5,000 they will also need to form the basis of a capital bid.

11.6 Snug Beach Foreshore

Currently there are no shower heads in the showers and the community has also noticed that there is a bay to wash the kayaks and wondering if there could be some outside showers?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

We also have a Public Toilet Strategy and the Snug public toilet facilities have been identified as next on the list as a priority for upgrading. Once again, that will come forward in the capital works program for next financial year and the issue of provision of shower heads, outdoor showers etc can form part of the consideration for the design of that upgrade.

11.7 Recycling Bins at Snug Beach

Will there be recycling bins located at Snug Beach?

Director Engineering Services responds:

At the moment, one of the problems we are having with our public placed bins is getting the delivery of the new trucks for the new service that KWS is taking on board. At the moment we only

have the older vehicle for the mainland and we still have the contract to operate on Bruny Island. It does mean that we are fairly limited in terms of pick ups for recycling which is one of the reasons why we may have noticed that the recycling bins have yet to go down in Blackmans Bay. We are waiting the trucks which are being purpose built to pick up both the waste and the recycling separately. At this stage, even though it keeps on getting pushed out by the supplier, we think it will be about March until we receive carriage of those trucks. At that stage we can then start to look at the bigger picture as to where we might want to have additional recycling bins, which can include Snug.

11.8 Pump Stations

Does Council get notified of failing pump stations in Kingborough and what constitutes a major spillage?

Director Engineering Services responds:

I'm not sure of the exact threshold for the spillage but I know that TasWater does inform us about particular problem ones. Woodbridge would be an example of that where we have had meetings with TasWater before where there have been some issues with that particular facility. They don't inform us routinely about every spillage but we do have a fairly close relationship with TasWater so even if it's after the piece, we quite often do get that information.

11.9 Park and Ride

Do we know the plan for ensuring that the bicycle parking at both Huntingfield and Firthside will have better security systems?

Director Engineering Services responds:

At this stage, I'm unaware if the Department of State Growth intend to improve what they have put there, bearing in mind that it is fairly open and we would rely on people to bring their own bike locks to secure their bikes. I have asked officers to query this with State Growth.

11.10 Annual Report

On page 37 of the Annual Report I noted that there was going to be a climate report card. Is that summary of that?

Mayor responds:

Yes, it is a brief summation.

Cr Midgley:

There was discussion and a question about a climate report card being included. Is there going to be anything further?

Director Environment, Development & Community Services responds:

Officers are looking at a climate report card. They are looking at other jurisdictions to see what is being done and what we might do for Kingborough. It is at that very early stage and we haven't yet formalised what we will put forward, but we are investigating.

Cr Midgley:

Item 1.4.2 of the actions, 'progress the development of an alternative energy precinct at the Baretta Waste Disposal Site'. Is there any further information regarding that?

General Manager responds:

Our retiring manager was chasing up that company. I will have to take the question on notice.

Cr Deane asked the following questions without notice:

11.11 Louisa Hinsby Park

Do we have a completion date for the Louisa Hinsby Park playground project?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

I would hope, weather permitting, within the month we can complete that work.

11.12 Sportsground User Fees

On 29 September a joint letter was sent to Council signed by all 18 Kingborough sports clubs affected by Council's new Sportsground User Fees Policy. Is it possible to give the clubs a response to that letter?

Mayor responds:

Yes, that letter was received during the election period when we were going into caretaker mode but I have given an undertaking that we would meet with representatives of the clubs. In speaking with the General Manager and Mr Smee today, we will be holding a workshop for Councillors on 28 November so particularly the new Councillors can come up to date with the history of the issue and look at the reports. We hope to have a meeting with the sporting clubs at a workshop time, probably at around 12 December but we will need to confirm that. We will need to work out then who comes from those groups because it's obviously not tenable to have 18 clubs represented at a meeting, so we will leave it up to those members to decide who will attend that but certainly we will welcome the opportunity to sit down and listen to their concerns.

Cr Antolli asked the following question without notice:

11.13 Adventure Bay Road

Can someone please update me on the plan to improve the road on Bruny Island where it veers off to the left to Adventure Bay, especially given that there is a large and well service caravan park there?

Director Engineering Services responds:

We have done a number of projects over the years on Adventure Bay Road, so I'm not sure of the specific areas that you are referring to. Part of the works that we have done in the area where there have been concerns with slippage in the road and also where we have done some localised widening as well. There isn't anything else in the 5 year plan but happy to take on board if there are particular locations that people have raised.

Cr Antolli:

The feed back is that the road is exceptionally narrow around corners, especially with caravans.

Director Engineering Services:

There will be some limitations just because of the topography of the area and it has been assessed before and what can be done, but I'm happy to have a look at it again and see whether or not there are possibilities of doing any further work in some of the narrower points.

Cr Antolli:

Could I be provided with a plan, update or timeline of what there might be in terms of remediating that road and, secondly, given that it is a high traffic tourist area to get any federal or state funding to assist us to upgrade it?

Director Engineering Services:

In terms of funding, unlikely. There is grant funds that do come to Council from time to time. Roads to Recovery is an example. They do come up every now and then and to be blunt, they tend to be looking at new projects as compared to existing projects. In terms of a timeframe, yes we can assess it and provide some information as to what, if any, works would be required in that area and provide it back to Council.

Cr Antolli:

Once I get that list, am I allowed to share that timeline with some of the local community leaders there or is that considered some sort of commercial in confidence?

Director Engineering Services:

I am happy that the question is in the public open agenda and I'm to provide a full answer to that question in the public open agenda, in which case I have no problems with you having that discussion with other people on the island.

Cr Bain

11.14 Pedestrian Crossings at Margate and Snug

My understanding is that this is a State Government 2021 election commitment. Has Council had any discussions with State Growth regarding progress to date and possible time frames for these projects?

Director Engineering Services responds:

We have certainly has discussions in the past with the Department of State Growth and it's one that we are following up with them at the moment as to whether there is any further progress from their side. We certainly agree that there are a couple of points in both those areas that would benefit by having some better crossing points. We have looked in the past to trying to put an additional crossing somewhere near the post office in Margate but we didn't really get the local community support for that at the time but it's certainly something that we want to pursue in that area.

11.15 Bus Shelter Upgrades

Has Council received any further updates regarding the bus shelter upgrade program that is being run by the Department of State Growth?

Mayor responds:

We did have a workshop about this some time ago and that gave us an overview of the entire project. It has certainly been an extensive project and it did have the Margate bus shelter at number 3 of the list of 216 bus shelters that we have in the municipality and I think there were about 80 to 90 of them that had been flagged for upgrade, and they have been assessed on a range of things. It was primarily to look at issues around accessibility of the bus stops and safety but obviously, shelter is one as well. There are some bus stops where there are issues in achieving full accessibility because of telegraph poles or other geographical hazards. The design work is starting very shortly.

12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

There were no questions on notice from councillors.

13 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

14 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

At the time the Minutes was compiled no Petitions had been received.

15 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C426/21-2022

15.1 CERTIFICATE OF ELECTION

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That Council:

- (a) Note the outcome of the 2022 Election for Kingborough Council as advised in the Certificate of Election, and
- (b) Note that all Councillors have complied with the requirement of the *Local Government Act 1993* and completed their Declaration of Office.

CARRIED

C427/21-2022

15.2 APPOINTMENT TO COUNCIL SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley
 Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That the matter be discussed.

CARRIED

Moved Cr Midgley
 Seconded Cr Cordover

That Council resolve to appoint Councillors to Special Committees of Council and external organisations for a period of two years as follows:

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) Local Government Association of Tasmania – | Mayor, Cr Wriedt
Cr Glade-Wright
(proxy) |
| (b) TasWater | Mayor, Cr Wriedt
Cr Fox
(proxy)
General Manager
(proxy) |
| (c) Copping Refuse Site Joint Disposal Authority & Copping C Cell | Mayor, Cr Wriedt
Cr Cordover
(proxy)
General Manager
(proxy) |
| (d) Kingborough Access Advisory Committee | Cr Wriedt
(Chair)
Cr Fox
(Deputy Chair) |
| (e) Kingborough Bicycle Advisory Committee | Cr Midgley
(Chair)
Cr Deane
(Deputy Chair) |
| (f) Channel Heritage Museum | Cr Antolli |
| (g) Cycling South | Cr Midgley |
| (h) Kingborough Community Enterprise Centre | Cr Glade-Wright |
| (i) Kingborough Community Safety Committee | Cr Glade-Wright
(Chair)
Cr Bain
(Deputy Chair) |
| (j) Audit Panel | Cr Bain and
Cr Glade-Wright |
| (k) Tasmanian Polar Network | Cr Cordover |

CARRIED

Moved Cr Flora Fox
Seconded Cr Amanda Midgley

That the ballot papers be destroyed

CARRIED

C428/21-2022

15.3 CHANNEL FOOTBALL CLUB CLUBROOMS AT SNUG OVAL - TRANSFER OF ASSET TO COUNCIL

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli
Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That Council resolves to:

- (a) Accept the transfer of the Channel Football Club Clubrooms at Snug Oval to Kingborough Council;
- (b) Undertake a condition assessment of the asset to determine if it can be safely used by other sporting users; and
- (c) Include this building on Council's asset register and arrange suitable insurance coverage.

CARRIED

C429/21-2022

15.4 NEW SUBLEASE TO SNUG CRICKET CLUB INC AND THE CHANNEL JUNIOR FOOTBALL CLUB INC AT THE SNUG OVAL

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Council approve by absolute majority a sublease to the Snug Cricket Club Inc and the Channel Junior Football Club Inc for a term of five (5) years at a peppercorn rental.

CARRIED

Cr Cordover left the room at 6.41pm

C430/21-2022

15.5 COMMUNITY GRANTS

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley
Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That Council approve the allocation of \$19,621.32 in grant funding under the Community Grants Program 2022/23, as outlined in the attached table.

CARRIED

Cr Cordover returned at 6.45pm

16 NOTICES OF MOTION

C431/21-2022

16.1 WORKSHOP ON WASTE REDUCTION

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Councillors will receive a workshop on the topic of waste reduction to brief Councillors on Council's strategies and targets to reduce waste to landfill in line with the Kingborough Council Climate Change Plan.

In Favour: Crs Gideon Cordover, Kaspar Deane, Flora Fox and Amanda Midgley

Against: Crs Paula Wriedt, Aldo Antolli, David Bain and Mark Richardson

LOST

C432/21-2022

17 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli
Seconded: Cr David Bain

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

Confirmation of Minutes

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

Tender Assessment AB2205 - Council Office Cleaning Contract

Regulation 15(2)(d) *contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

Provision of Traffic Management Services

Regulation 15(2)(d) *contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

Kingborough Community Awards 2023

Regulation 15(2)(g) *information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the council on the condition it is kept confidential.*

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY AND BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting ceased.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 7.03pm

Public Copy

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.28pm

C433/21-2022

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr David Bain

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Tender Assessment AB2205 - Council Office Cleaning Contract	Tender awarded to Greencorp Property Services Pty Ltd
Provision of Traffic Management Services	Tender awarded to State-Wide Earthworks Pty Ltd
Kingborough Community Awards 2023	Recipients endorsed

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.29pm

.....
(Confirmed)

.....
(Date)