

# Kingborough



## COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

20 February 2023

*These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.*

# Kingborough Councillors 2022 - 2026



**Mayor**  
**Councillor Paula Wriedt**



**Deputy Mayor**  
**Councillor Clare Glade-Wright**



**Councillor Aldo Antolli**



**Councillor David Bain**



**Councillor Gideon Cordover**



**Councillor Kaspar Deane**



**Councillor Flora Fox**



**Councillor Amanda Midgley**



**Councillor Mark Richardson**



**Councillor Christian Street**

# Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
Open Session			
	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	3	Attendees	1
C43/3-2023	4	Apologies	1
C44/3-2023	5	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
C45/3-2023	9	Questions Without Notice from the Public	2
	9.1	Amended Answer to QON	2
	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	3
C46/3-2023	11	Questions Without Notice from Councillors	3
	11.1	Snug Tiers Road	3
	11.2	Wildlife Killed on Roads	4
	11.3	General Manager's Diary	5
	11.4	Environmental Services Quarterly Report	5
	11.5	Rubbish	6
	11.6	LCZ Information Sessions	7
	11.7	LCZ Information Sessions	7
C47/3-2023	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	8
	12.1	Feral Deer	8
	13	Officers Reports to Planning Authority	10
C48/3-2023	13.1	DA-2022-477 Demolition of single dwelling and outbuildings and construction of new dwelling and outbuilding (double garage) at 86 Burwood Drive, Blackmans Bay	10
C49/3-2023	13.2	DAS-2021-24 - Development Application for Reorganisation of Boundaries at 215 Lighthouse Road, South Bruny	16
	13.3	DA-2022-441 - Extension to dwelling at 48 Jacaranda Drive, Margate	16
	14	Petitions still being Actioned	17
	15	Petitions Received in Last Period	17

## Table of Contents *(cont.)*

<b>Item</b>		<b>Page No.</b>
	16 Officers Reports to Council	17
C50/3-2023	16.1 The Future of Local Government Review	17
C51/3-2023	16.2 Love Living Locally 2023	17
C52/3-2023	16.3 Annual Community Flag Schedule	17
C53/3-2023	16.4 Implementation of Facility Hire - North Bruny Club Rooms	18
C54/3-2023	16.5 Financial Report - January 2023	18
	17 Notices of Motion	18
C55/3-2023	17.1 Pontoon at Snug	18
C56/3-2023	18 Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with in Closed Session	19

Public Copy

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council  
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston  
Monday, 20 February 2023 at 5.30pm

**1 AUDIO RECORDING**

---

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council's policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

**2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS**

---

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today's Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

**3 ATTENDEES**

---

**Councillors:**

Mayor Councillor P Wriedt	✓
Deputy Mayor Councillor C Glade-Wright	✓
Councillor A Antolli	✓
Councillor D Bain	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor K Deane	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor C Street	✓

**Staff:**

General Manager	Mr Gary Arnold
Chief Financial Officer	Mr John Breen
Director Engineering Services	Mr David Reeve
Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Director Environment, Development & Community Services	Dr Samantha Fox
Manager Development Services	Ms Tasha Tyler-Moore
Manager Environmental Services	Ms Liz Quinn
Media & Communications Advisor	Ms Sam Adams
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

**C43/3-2023**

**4 APOLOGIES**

---

Councillor F Fox  
Councillor M Richardson

C44/3-2023

**5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright  
 Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No.2 held on 6 February 2023 be confirmed as a true record.

**CARRIED**

**6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING**

Date	Topic	Detail
14 February 2023	Long Term Financial Plan	Council received a presentation from Council's CFO on assumptions underpinning the Long Term Financial Plan and current inflationary challenges
	Flag Schedule	Discussion on response to EOI process. Full report on this agenda

**7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

**8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS**

There were no agenda items transferred.

C45/3-2023

**9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

Professor Michael Rowan asked the following question without notice:

**9.1 Amended Answer to QON**

*The Agenda of the Council meeting of 16 January included a question on notice from me regarding the ethics training of Council staff who implement the Council's Enforcement Policy. The answer included the claim that the training provided by the Integrity Commission was sporadic in nature as an explanation of why some staff of the Council may not have received this training. Following discussion with someone known to me who has been the head of two government departments has said that the Integrity Commission was in fact incredibly flexible in organising training for organisations. I contacted the Integrity Commission and they expressed some concern with the answer that was included in the Council Minutes. I sought clarification and they replied that they intended to contact the Kingborough Council to clarify some of the detail in the Council Minutes, and later they wrote to me saying that they had followed up with the Kingborough Council and that from discussion with the Council, the Integrity Commission understood that I would be receiving an updated response to my question on ethics training. As I had not received a response from the Council by the 13<sup>th</sup> February, I wrote to the Mayor asking whether I would receive an amended*

answer and whether this amended answer would be included in Council Minutes to correct the answer on the official record, and furthermore whether the Minutes would explain the Council's amendment to the original answer. In the absence of an acknowledgement or reply from the Mayor to my email of 13 February, I now ask these questions again:

1. Will I receive an amended answer to my question on notice of 16 January regarding ethics training for those involved in implementing the Council's Enforcement Policy?
2. Will any amended answer be included in the Council's Minutes to correct the official record?
3. Will the Minutes in which the amended answer is given include an explanation of why the Council amended the original answer and that this was following the intervention of the Integrity Commission?

**Mayor responds:**

I will ask the General Manager to provide an update. The reason that I hadn't responded to you was because I wasn't aware where it was up to and I had asked the General Manager if he could seek some information for me and I'm not sure if he has received that yet. General Manager?

**General Manager:**

I've received no contact from the Integrity Commission. When the Mayor raised the matter with me I contacted our Manager of People & Safety who, unfortunately, is on sick leave at the moment but has been in contact with the Integrity Commission and I will take your questions on notice and make sure that there is an answer provided to you and in the Minutes.

---

## 10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

---

There were no questions on notice from the public.

**C46/3-2023**

---

## 11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

---

**Cr Cordover** asked the following questions without notice:

### 11.1 Snug Tiers Road

*Regarding stage 2 works on Snug Tiers Road, specifically concerns being raised about the curb and channel on the top side and not the bottom side. I understand that community engagement has taken place and residents have spoken with our team. Please may I have an overview of that situation and specifically why do we think that there will be minimal run off on the side with larger lots and lower density?*

*Cr Midgley left the room at 5.36pm*

*Cr Midgley returned at 5.37pm*

**Director Engineering Services responds:**

The reason why it is going to have minimal run off is there is no real catchment behind that lower part of the road, it's only the road itself, in fact it's not even the road itself, it's about half the road, so it's a crowned road. It's not too indifferent to what the situation was previously except the fact that it is probably better in terms of less run off because we have defined the road a lot better in terms of the crown. I would add that yes, there has been consultation with various residents there but we will have further discussions with individual property owners for their own particular issues during the construction phase and that's still ongoing.

**Cr Cordover:**

What is the estimated cost of acquiescing to the request to have it on both sides? Is it true that there will be a significant cost differential between having the curb and channel on both sides or are there some other reasons why it's not a good idea?

**Director Engineering Services:**

I don't have the exact cost on that but it would be a significant addition to the project. There is no outlet for any runoff that would be collected by the curb, so that would then end up being another additional cost associated with it and taking my answer to the first part of your question, it would pick up very little run off and therefore would not service to put it in there for the cost associated with it.

**11.2 Wildlife Killed on Roads**

*Does Kingborough have an official position on trying to reduce our placing on the list of the worst roadkill on roads in Tasmania or are we satisfied with our position as having the highest number of vehicle collisions with animals in the State? If we do indeed want to officially try and drop down on that list, what modelling are we doing to figure out if we need to invest more in certain areas?*

**Mayor responds:**

I don't believe we have ever taken a Council position on this in terms of articulating whether we are happy being the number one roadkill spot in the State, I don't think that that would be something that any Council would like to see. It is something that I know that the former Road Safety Committee used to discuss at length about trying to educate drivers and driver behaviour at certain locations in particular and at certain times of the day, and that's certainly something that I know a lot of community groups are actively pursuing around the municipality. If we can help to get that message out then we certainly should be. In relation to the second part of your question, I'll defer to Ms Quinn.

**Manager Environmental Services:**

Roadkill is a really complex issue and it's certainly a concern and it's something that Council's Natural Areas and Biodiversity team have been working on for over a decade with the State Government and more recently through the Save the Tasmanian Devil programs. Turning around those figures around roadkill you could suggest that Kingborough has an awful lot of wildlife and that is why there is a high level of collision. It's not stating that Kingborough's drivers are terrible and it's also not suggesting that Kingborough's wildlife are dopey and crash into cars. If you take that statistic it means that we have got a lot of rural road, a lot of gravel road, we've got a lot of vegetation and we've got a really healthy population of wildlife such as possums, wallabies and pademelons which make up most of those numbers. Council hasn't been doing any modelling but what we do know from the research and working with the State Government scientists is that changing human behaviour is basically impossible when it comes to roadkill mitigation. Signage is very ineffective but it's really good for building culture around trying to avoid animals but it's not very good at slowing vehicles down. There has been lots of trials around the State over the years and research to show that so we have changed our strategy from signage to education and supporting those groups. There are a couple of really active groups at the moment which we have been supporting through events, meeting with them and talking through the issues, building connections for them with other groups across the State. The answer that the State and scientists have come up with is that you need change animal behaviour and not humans. To change animal behaviour is to keep them off the road which at the moment is virtual fencing. The virtual fencing trials in the Tarkine were very successful for Devils but the virtual fencing trials on the Huon Highway and other areas of the State were not particularly conclusive. We were going to look into installing some virtual fences in our hot spots and we know where our hot spots are based on the roadkill apps and the data that the State shares with us, but we haven't gone down that path at the moment because they are going to try and improve the way that virtual fencing works.



**Cr Cordover:**

How long do we have to wait for new technology before we actually just do a serious investment in the trials here? Should we keep waiting and waiting or should we just put some money behind it sooner rather than later?

**Manager Environmental Services:**

I think it would be useful to take that question on notice and for me to undertake to find out from the State where they are with those trials. If I can find out about that then we should get a much more informed answer on whether we should be advocating more strongly or whether they are actually doing that.

**Cr Cordover:**

If we now know that the stats are showing that changing human behaviour is totally impossible, I'm wondering if anybody has investigated is that because the narrative around why we shouldn't drive into wildlife is all about not harming wildlife. Have studies been done to see if we change the narrative that you don't want to hit wildlife because it damages you and your kids and your car, is that change of narrative helpful?

**Manager Environmental Services:**

Potentially yes, I agree, I think the way that the messages that are delivered do change how we think about things and will bring us towards action. The data will say that those collisions are unavoidable but we've all had animals jump out in front of us and sometimes it is unavoidable and people will choose their own safety over the animal. There probably is a case for changing the messaging and I guess the State drive a lot of these messages, Local Government can drive them too but it will be interesting to look at what difference that has made.

**Cr Midgley** asked the following questions without notice:

**11.3 General Manager's Diary**

*On 12 January the General Manager met with the Metro CEO to discuss public transport issues. Is there anything you might be able to update us on?*

**General Manager responds:**

I endeavour to have regular catch ups with the CEO of Metro and the most recent was to discuss the forthcoming road closure that is going to happen next week in the CBD of Kingston and the potential for impact on public transport users. The information that we have put out through the media I am hopeful will get the message across that regular users of Metro can now access on Goshawk Way once that road closure comes into place.

**11.4 Environmental Services Quarterly Report**

*Regarding the cat management and housing development in this report and after a recent DA approval in Howden, residents have asked how can a Part 5 Agreement happen in other areas that are close to reserve locations. Do we have a thought regarding the growth of Kingborough and if there are subdivisions close to reserve locations, are we thinking about Part 5 Agreements relating to cat management in any other areas?*

**Manager Development Services responds:**

The application of Part 5 Agreements in subdivisions comes through Development Services and planning. We have the ability to put conditions on and Part 5's, as we know, are restricting development and uses. Council would be aware that the recent subdivision at Huntingfield did

include that cat Part 5. It came as a surprise to officers and we found it unusual that it would be applied and how much nexus there was with the planning scheme. Going forward, I think we would need to consider the ability to do that and find that it is sound. When there is a decision that comes from the Commission or the Tribunal and how that then influences other decisions or applications, so we would need to seek further information because, as I said, it came as a surprise to us that they found a nexus between the two.

**Cr Midgley:**

Regarding the regulation of tree removal on private land, could I have an understanding of what that is please?

**Manager Environmental Services:**

The process there is an interim process we are using to assess applications to remove trees on private land. As you are aware we don't have a By-law at the moment to regulate tree removal on private land, so this is a process where it's the same form that people are using and it is looking to make sure that people have an exemption under the planning scheme. Where people want to remove a tree on private land there is a process where they are checking in to make sure that there is an exemption for that tree removal. It's not a permit process as it was in the past, it's a process to see if they meet the exemptions in the scheme around vegetation removal. You will see in the table out of 14 of those submissions, it was found that they met an exemption in the scheme and therefore the tree removal could go ahead without needing a DA. 'Further information' is generally around whether there is an arborists report to justify that they meet an exemption in the scheme. It might be an exemption around bushfire, safety or one of those similar things in the scheme and 'other' is potentially where there is an application for an exotic species that clearly meets an exemption.

**General Manager:**

In reference to the Tree By-law, at 5.17pm this evening we finally received the Certificate of Approval for our By-law.

**Cr Midgley:**

The climate change internal audit notes that there will be a councillor workshop regarding this. Will that be prior to the budget deliberations?

**General Manager:**

The first Council workshop for the budget is scheduled for 3 March so the short answer to your question is that will not give us time to hold a workshop specifically devoted to climate change, but it will be addressed at the budget workshop.

**Cr Deane** asked the following questions without notice:

**11.5 Rubbish**

Have staff noticed an increase in rubbish being left at Council bins in the south channel area? If so, has there been any operational reason why we might be seeing more rubbish bags being left at bins etc in the area?

**Director Engineering Services responds:**

Not specifically. If there are some locations where this might actually be, this would be useful. We have had some issues down the Channel in the past where people have illegally dumping. Currently with our public place bins, we are waiting on our two new trucks, but effectively we have had one of our vehicles down for servicing so there was some delays but that was only over a very short period of time so I would imagine that if people are seeing that happening over a longer

period of time it is instances of illegal dumping. Certainly if we know where it is occurring, we can chase that up and hopefully find out who the culprits are.

**Cr Deane:**

Do you have a timeframe on when those trucks will become operational so we can hopefully avoid any more illegal dumping taking place, and if we are seeing this repeatedly despite new trucks coming online, could you perhaps advise what our residents might be able to do to alert us to try and come to a better outcome?

**Director Engineering Services:**

The new trucks won't stop the illegal dumping unfortunately, but they will help in terms of our service provision generally for public space bins. We expect those to be within the next four weeks, that's our latest update. If the public do notice dumping around bins they should ring our Customer Service, let us know where they are, someone can investigate it. It can sometimes take a while to try and identify where they might be coming from but it is certainly something that we would actively pursue.

### 11.6 LCZ Information Sessions

Would it be possible to find out how many sessions we did end up offering and in case people have missed out, what might the suggestion be for those people? Are there any other options being put forward?

**Mayor responds:**

Yes, for people who have missed out or who weren't able to attend is to phone and make an appointment to speak to one of the planning staff here. Ms Tyler-Moore may be able to give you some information on the numbers.

**Manager Development Services:**

The total number over the two days is 45.

**Cr Antolli** asked the following question on notice:

### 11.7 LCZ Information Sessions

For those that can't attend, is it at all possible for them to log in via a YouTube link to listen passively to the conversation? People from Bruny in particular have asked me about that because of the tyranny of distance.

**Mayor responds:**

Are you talking about the sessions this week?

**Cr Antolli:**

Yes, I'm talking about the public sessions.

**Mayor:**

They are actually one on one appointments that people are having with planners so that they can ask about their specific situations, it's not something that other people would be listening in on.

**Manager Development Services:**

Yes, that's correct, they are sessions to discuss LCZ only so it's not a public forum session. There are no open sessions at this point. When we do the public exhibition period which is the formal

public exhibition, we will host several meetings at different venues and that will include Bruny Island and which will apply to all elements of the Planning Scheme. These are limited to the Landscape Conservation Zone.

**Cr Antolli:**

If you could clarify for those that are listening and there are people who are confused, they actually thought that there would be a mixture of both. There would be some town hall type meetings where they could come and ask questions and of course then there are the one on one's.

**Mayor:**

Yes, and that will be in the 60 day period of consultation once the stuff has come back from the Planning Commission, once we are in that large public consultation phase. These are additional information sessions that we have put on structure on a one on one basis so that people could get specifics answered about their situation rather than a whole sale discussion.

**Cr Antolli:**

For the one on one sessions that can't be accommodated in the current round and they book it in with you guys separately, are they able to do that through an online method instead of turning up physically at this office?

**Manager Development Services:**

Yes we frequently have conversations with people on the phone, email and at the counter. All those options are available.

**C47/3-2023**

**12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

---

**12.1 Feral Deer**

At the Council meeting held on 6 February 2023, **Cr Cordover** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

*How many feral deer do we think there are in Kingborough and by what mechanism are we monitoring, tracking the population increase of feral deer?*

*Where did the feral deer on Bruny Island come from and if individuals are found to have been responsible through negligence or otherwise, what would be Council's actions? What sanctions would we put on individuals who are found to be engaging in that kind of behaviour?*

**Officer's Response:**

Council staff participated in the first meeting of the Invasive Species Regional Working Group in November last year. During this meeting discussions around the management of feral deer across the State took place, including a briefing from Eric Schwarz, the Coordinator of the Feral Deer Management Program.

It was confirmed during this briefing that feral deer populations exist on both north and south Bruny, but numbers are currently unknown. Council staff will be encouraging landowners to report sightings, either through the reporting app or through other mechanisms, such as iNaturalist, as understanding population numbers of any species is critical.

There is currently no evidence as to how these populations came to be on Bruny. If, at a later date, it was found the release of feral deer on Bruny was caused through negligence, Council staff would support Biosecurity Tasmania, who are authorised under the appropriate legislation to deal with such matters.

Investigations and queries are still being made into the environmental impact of feral deer populations within the municipality. Council does not have an eradication plan for feral deer in place or the capacity to support such a program. It will, however, continue discussions with the State around the Deer Management Program and how that will be implemented, including how it might support the State and landowners controlling feral deer populations.

At this stage deer remain a partly protected species and as such their management remains complex.

*Liz Quinn, Manager Environmental Services*

Public Copy

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

## PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning Authority commenced at 5.59pm

### 13 OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

#### C48/3-2023

#### 13.1 DA-2022-477 DEMOLITION OF SINGLE DWELLING AND OUTBUILDINGS AND CONSTRUCTION OF NEW DWELLING AND OUTBUILDING (DOUBLE GARAGE) AT 86 BURWOOD DRIVE, BLACKMANS BAY

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That the Planning Authority resolves that the development application for the demolition of single dwelling and outbuildings and construction of new dwelling and outbuilding (double garage) at 86 Burwood Drive, Blackmans Bay for Mrs Y W Dales and Mr A L Dales be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2022-477 and Council Plan Reference No. P2 submitted on 16 December 2022.

This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. No works are approved on future Lot 5 as part of this planning permit. Separate Council planning approval would be required for any works on future Lot 5.

For Advice: impacts on high conservation trees from landscaping are likely to trigger planning permit requirements.

3. The Landscape Concept plans (Play Street, November 2022) are not endorsed as part of this permit.

Amended landscaping plans must be submitted prior to the commencement of works demonstrating the removal from the proposal of weed species and those with weed potential that may contaminate the adjoining Reserve land including:

- (a) Yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*);
- (b) Red Flowering Gum (*Corymbia ficifolia*);
- (c) Cardwell Tea Tree (*Leptospermum flavescens*);
- (d) White Kunzea (*Kunzea ambigua*); and
- (e) Chinese Silvergrass (*Miscanthus sinensis*).

For Advice: it is recommended that the amended landscaping plan include species endemic to the municipality of Kingborough. Please consult the document Kingborough Plant Species List (NRM South/The Understorey Network) available online from [www.nrmsouth.org.au](http://www.nrmsouth.org.au).

4. The Hydraulic Services and Driveway Plan (Aldanmark, 14/12/2022, C101 REV E) is not endorsed as part of this permit.

The stormwater runoff from all new impervious areas and stormwater tank overflows must be disposed of by gravity or pumping system to Council's reticulated stormwater system or fully managed on-site to the satisfaction and approval of the Director Engineering Services.

Amended drawings must be submitted for endorsement prior to the commencement of works indicating the stormwater tank overflow connecting to the internal stormwater system (not connecting to the existing dam) and stormwater connection at the road frontage to the lot or to an alternate on-site stormwater management design.

For Advice: environmental impacts from any changes to the design would be required to satisfy all applicable provisions of the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015.

5. All buildings are approved for residential use only. No commercial or business use is approved as part of this permit.

The use of the proposed outbuilding shall be restricted to that of storage and other incidental activities associated with the normal enjoyment of a dwelling. The outbuilding may not be used for habitation or any commercial or business purposes.

6. No more than one (1) tree and as shown in Council Plan Reference P2 (16/12/2022) and the Preliminary Arboriculture Assessment (Tasmanian Arboriculture Consultants, 7th June 2022), is approved for removal for the purposes of this development.

This vegetation must not be removed prior to building approval and securing the conservation offset.

No further felling, lopping, ringbarking or otherwise injuring or destroying of native vegetation or individual trees is to take place without the prior written permission of Council.

7. To offset the loss of (1) tree of very high conservation value (comprising one Eucalyptus amygdalina tree with a DBH >70cm) a financial contribution of \$500 must be paid into Council's Environmental Fund, to be used to manage and conserve Eucalyptus amygdalina forest and woodland on sandstone (DAS) in the vicinity of Blackmans Bay.

This offset must be paid prior to building approval and removal of the tree.

8. Prior to submission of building documentation, an assessment by a suitably qualified arborist (AGQ Level 6 or above) must be provided in relation to the trees located on neighbouring land (CT 32360/1 and CT 32360/2) in proximity to the works, including proposed stormwater infrastructure. This assessment must be to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services and provide advice on tree protection measures to be implemented prior to, during and after the construction phase to ensure all construction works are undertaken in a manner that minimises impacts on the health of the trees and shows that the retention of all the trees is feasible.

All tree protection measures recommended by the arborist must be implemented and evidence of satisfactory installation of these measures must be provided to Council prior to the commencement of on-site works.

For advice: if the Arborist report identifies that the proposed works will result in the loss of any of the trees on the adjoining lots, a new planning application will be required.

9. Documentation submitted for building approval must demonstrate that the development will be constructed to BAL-12.5 and will contain the hazard management area within the lot boundary, consistent with the requirements of the Bushfire Hazard Report (Lark & Creese, 9 November 2022).

All bushfire mitigation measures identified in the building approval documentation must be satisfactorily implemented.

10. Prior to the commencement of on-site works (including any tree removal, demolition, excavations, placement of fill, delivery of building/construction materials and/or temporary buildings), all remaining native vegetation, including individual trees identified for retention in Council Plan Reference No. P2 submitted on 16/12/2022, and remaining native vegetation communities, must be retained and appropriately protected during construction through the installation of signage and temporary fencing between any on-site works and adjacent native vegetation in accordance with AS 4970-2009 to exclude:

- (a) machine excavation including trenching;
- (b) excavation for silt fencing;
- (c) cultivation;
- (d) storage;
- (e) preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products;
- (f) parking of vehicles and plant;
- (g) refuelling;
- (h) dumping of waste;
- (i) wash down and cleaning of equipment;
- (j) placement of fill;
- (k) lighting of fires;
- (l) soil level changes;
- (m) temporary or permanent installation of utilities and signs; and
- (n) physical damage to the tree(s).

Evidence of satisfactory installation of this fencing must be obtained prior to the commencement of on-site works and made available to Council upon request.

In addition, the following vegetation protection measures must be adhered to following construction for all areas of native vegetation, including individual trees identified for retention and remaining native vegetation communities, but outside the footprint of the approved works:

- (i) the existing soil level must not be altered (including the disposal of fill, placement of materials or the scalping of the soil);
  - (ii) the areas must be free from the storage of fill, contaminants or other materials;
  - (iii) machinery and vehicles are not permitted access; and
  - (iv) development and associated works are not permitted unless otherwise approved by Council in writing.
11. Prior to the commencement of on-site works, including vegetation removal or modification, demolition, excavations and/or placement of fill, an 'Application for Approval of Planning Start of Works Notice' must be lodged with Council's Planning Department.



This application must be lodged a minimum of 14 days prior to commencement of on-site works and works must not commence until this notice has been approved by the Manager Development Services.

12. To reduce the spread of weeds or pathogens, all machinery must take appropriate hygiene measures prior to entering and leaving the site as per the Tasmanian Washdown Guidelines for Weed and Disease Control produced by the Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

Any imported fill materials must be from a weed and pathogen free source to prevent introduction of new weeds and pathogens to the area.

13. Erosion/siltation infiltration control measures must be applied during construction works to the satisfaction of the Director Engineering Services.
14. The conditions as determined by TasWater, and set out in the attached Appendix A, form part of this permit.

### ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.
- B. The approval in this permit is under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* and does not provide any approvals under other Acts including, but not limited to *Building Act 2016*, *Urban Drainage Act 2013*, *Food Act 2003* or Council by-laws.

If your development involves demolition, new buildings or alterations to buildings (including plumbing works or onsite wastewater treatment) it is likely that you will be required to get approvals under the *Building Act 2016*. Change of use, including visitor accommodation, may also require approval under the *Building Act 2016*. Advice should be sought from Council's Building Department or an independent building surveyor to establish any requirements.

- C. An application for Notifiable Plumbing Work must be lodged with Council before commencing any work.
- D. A drainage design plan at a scale of 1:200, designed by a qualified Hydraulic Designer, showing the location of the proposed sewer and stormwater house connection drains; including the pipe sizes, pits and driveway drainage, must be submitted with the application for Plumbing Permit.

**CARRIED**



## Submission to Planning Authority Notice

<b>Council Planning Permit No.</b>	DA-2022-477	<b>Council notice date</b>	28/11/2022
<b>TasWater details</b>			
<b>TasWater Reference No.</b>	TWDA 2022/01937-KIN	<b>Date of response</b>	2/12/2022
<b>TasWater Contact</b>	Ethar Rababah	<b>Phone No.</b>	0499 860 609
<b>Response issued to</b>			
<b>Council name</b>	KINGBOROUGH COUNCIL		
<b>Contact details</b>	kc@kingborough.tas.gov.au		
<b>Development details</b>			
<b>Address</b>	86 BURWOOD DR, BLACKMANS BAY	<b>Property ID (PID)</b>	9921642
<b>Description of development</b>	Demolition of single dwelling and outbuildings and construction of new dwelling and outbuilding		
<b>Schedule of drawings/documents</b>			
<b>Prepared by</b>	<b>Drawing/document No.</b>	<b>Revision No.</b>	<b>Date of Issue</b>
ALDANMARK	HYDRAULIC SERVICES AND DRIVEWAY PLAN C101	D	03/11/2022
<b>Conditions</b>			
<p><b>SUBMISSION TO PLANNING AUTHORITY NOTICE OF PLANNING APPLICATION REFERRAL</b></p> <p>Pursuant to the <i>Water and Sewerage Industry Act 2008 (TAS)</i> Section 56P(1) TasWater imposes the following conditions on the permit for this application:</p> <p><b>CONNECTIONS, METERING &amp; BACKFLOW</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A suitably sized water supply with metered connection and sewerage system and connection to the development must be designed and constructed to TasWater’s satisfaction and be in accordance with any other conditions in this permit.                     <p><u>Advice:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <i>The property water service for the lot must be located just inside the property boundary at the road frontage in accordance with the standard property connection details contained in TasWater’s Water Metering Guidelines.</i></li> <li>b. <i>Your proposed subdivision and proposed dwelling site plans of services do not match (see sheet C101 and sheet H04).</i></li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Any removal/supply and installation of water meters and/or the removal of redundant and/or installation of new and modified property service connections must be carried out by TasWater at the developer’s cost.</li> <li>3. Prior to commencing construction /use of the development, any water connection utilised for construction/the development must have a backflow prevention device and water meter installed, to the satisfaction of TasWater.</li> </ol> <p><b>DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT FEES</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. The applicant or landowner as the case may be, must pay a development assessment fee of \$226.71 to TasWater, as approved by the Economic Regulator and the fee will be indexed, until the date paid to TasWater.                     <p>The payment is required within 30 days of the issue of an invoice by TasWater.</p> </li> </ol>			



Advice			
<b>General</b>			
For information on TasWater development standards, please visit <a href="https://www.taswater.com.au/building-and-development/technical-standards">https://www.taswater.com.au/building-and-development/technical-standards</a>			
For application forms please visit <a href="https://www.taswater.com.au/building-and-development/development-application-form">https://www.taswater.com.au/building-and-development/development-application-form</a>			
<b>Service Locations</b>			
Please note that the developer is responsible for arranging to locate the existing TasWater infrastructure and clearly showing it on the drawings. Existing TasWater infrastructure may be located by a surveyor and/or a private contractor engaged at the developers cost to locate the infrastructure.			
(a) A permit is required to work within TasWater’s easements or in the vicinity of its infrastructure. Further information can be obtained from TasWater.			
(b) TasWater has listed a number of service providers who can provide asset detection and location services should you require it. Visit <a href="http://www.taswater.com.au/Development/Service-location">www.taswater.com.au/Development/Service-location</a> for a list of companies.			
(c) Sewer drainage plans or Inspection Openings (IO) for residential properties are available from your local council.			
Declaration			
The drawings/documents and conditions stated above constitute TasWater’s Submission to Planning Authority Notice.			
TasWater Contact Details			
Phone	13 6992	Email	development@taswater.com.au
Mail	GPO Box 1393 Hobart TAS 7001	Web	www.taswater.com.au

Public Copy

**C49/3-2023****13.2 DAS-2021-24 - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR REORGANISATION OF BOUNDARIES AT 215 LIGHTHOUSE ROAD, SOUTH BRUNY**

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the Planning Authority resolves that the application for reorganisation of boundaries at 215 Lighthouse Road, South Bruny for PDA Surveyors be refused for the following reasons:

1. The proposal does not satisfy Clause 26.5.2 Reorganisation of Boundaries of the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015 as the new lot is not for public open space, a riparian or littoral reserve or utilities, and the proposal does not satisfy Performance Criterion P1(b) or P1(c)(i) or (ii):
  - a) It has not been demonstrated that existing CT 211017/1 was not formally a crown reserved road or other reserved land.
  - b) The proposed rearrangement of titles would not provide for the sustainable commercial operation of the land by either:
    - (i) encompassing all or most of the agricultural land and key agricultural infrastructure in one lot, the 'primary agricultural lot' as demonstrated by a whole farm management plan,
    - (ii) encompassing an existing or proposed non-agricultural rural resource use in one lot.

In Favour: Crs Paula Wriedt, Clare Glade-Wright, David Bain, Gideon Cordover, Kaspar Deane, Amanda Midgley and Christian Street

Against: Cr Aldo Antolli

**CARRIED 7/1****13.3 DA-2022-441 - EXTENSION TO DWELLING AT 48 JACARANDA DRIVE, MARGATE**

Report withdrawn.

**PLANNING AUTHORITY SESSION ADJOURNS**

## OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open session resumed at 6.32pm

### 14 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

---

There are no petitions still being actioned.

### 15 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

---

No petitions have been received.

### 16 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

---

#### C50/3-2023

#### 16.1 THE FUTURE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVIEW

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright  
Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That Council resolve to put a submission to the Local Government Board on the Options Paper Review Stage 2 report supporting the proposed hybrid model.

**CARRIED**

#### C51/3-2023

#### 16.2 LOVE LIVING LOCALLY 2023

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley  
Seconded: Cr David Bain

That Council approves the current balance of the 2022/23 Love Living Locally budget being used to fund community events in Harmony Week and Families Week 2023.

**CARRIED**

#### C52/3-2023

#### 16.3 ANNUAL COMMUNITY FLAG SCHEDULE

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley  
Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That Council approve the Annual Community Flag Schedule to the Civic Centre Flag Policy, as attached to this report.

**CARRIED**

**C53/3-2023****16.4 IMPLEMENTATION OF FACILITY HIRE - NORTH BRUNY CLUB ROOMS**

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That Council:

- (a) Authorises the North Bruny Hall Management Committee to manage the club room facility at 10 Sports Road, Dennes Point
- (b) Approves a fee for hire of the venue of \$10 per hour for residents/ratepayers of Kingborough, \$16 per hour for non-ratepayers/non-residents, to be reviewed as part of the budget preparation process.

**CARRIED**

**C54/3-2023****16.5 FINANCIAL REPORT - JANUARY 2023**

Moved: Cr David Bain

Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That Council endorses the attached Financial Report as at 31 January 2023.

**CARRIED**

**17 NOTICES OF MOTION**

---

**C55/3-2023****17.1 Pontoon At Snug**

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That council include in its budget deliberations a pontoon at Snug from the Public Open Space account.

Moved: Cr David Bain

Seconded: Cr Christian Street

That this matter be deferred.

In Favour: Crs Paula Wriedt, Clare Glade-Wright, David Bain, Kaspar Deane and Christian Street

Against: Crs Aldo Antolli, Gideon Cordover and Amanda Midgley

**CARRIED 5/3**

**18 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION**

---

**C56/3-2023**

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley  
Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

**Confirmation of Minutes**

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

**Applications for Leave of Absence**

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence.*

**CARRIED**

Public Copy

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting will now cease.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 7.15pm

**OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS**

**OPEN SESSION RESUMES**

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.24pm

**C57/3-2023**

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli  
Seconded: Cr David Bain

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved

**CARRIED**

**CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.25pm

.....  
(Confirmed)

.....  
(Date)