

Kingborough



COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

1 May 2023

These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council

Kingborough Councillors 2022 - 2026



Mayor
Councillor Paula Wriedt



Deputy Mayor
Councillor Clare Glade-Wright



Councillor Aldo Antolli



Councillor David Bain



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Kaspar Deane



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Mark Richardson



Councillor Christian Street

Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
Open Session			
	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	3	Attendees	1
C126/8-2023	4	Apologies	1
C127/8-2023	5	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
C128/8-2023	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
C129/8-2023	9	Questions without Notice from the Public	2
	9.1	Bush Fire Risk Report	2
	9.2	Kingston Wetlands Tender Report	3
	9.3	Snug Road, Tiers	4
C130/8-2023	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	5
	10.1	Industrial Land Supply in Kingborough	5
	10.2	Bush Fire Risk Report	5
	10.3	Dog Waste Bags, Bruny Island	6
	10.4	Alonnah Hall Maintenance	7
	10.5	Ritchie Street, Alonnah	7
C131/8-2023	11	Questions without Notice from Councillors	8
	11.1	Lights, Margate Esplanade	8
	11.2	Kregor's Road, Gordon	8
	11.3	Basketball Half Court	8
	11.4	Signage at Baretta Reserve	9
	11.5	Channel Highway Works	9
	11.6	Bush Fire Risk Report	9
	11.7	Dog Poo Bags, Bruny Island	10
C132/8-2023	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	11
	12.1	Update on the LCZ Review	11
	13	Petitions still being Actioned	11
	14	Petitions Received in Last Period	11

Table of Contents *(cont.)*

Item		Page No.
	15 Officers Reports to Council	11
C133/8-2023	15.3 Donations Policy 3.3	11
C134/8-2023	15.2 Copping Refuse site Joint Disposal Authority - Rule Changes	12
	16 Notices of Motion	12
C135/8-2023	16.1 Junior Toilet Facilities	12
	17 Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	13

Public Copy

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston
Monday, 1 May 2023 at 5.30pm

1 AUDIO RECORDING

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council's policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today's Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

3 ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Mayor Councillor P Wriedt	✓
Deputy Mayor Councillor C Glade-Wright	✓
Councillor A Antolli	✓
Councillor D Bain	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor K Deane	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor M Richardson	✓
Councillor C Street	✓

Staff:

General Manager	Mr Gary Arnold
Chief Financial Officer	Mr John Breen
Director Engineering Services	Mr David Reeve
Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Acting Manager Finance	Ms Laura Eaton
Program Manager Transform Kingston	Mr Daniel Kaimotsoglu
Media & Communications Advisor	Ms Sam Adams
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

C126/8-2023

4 APOLOGIES

Councillor F Fox

C127/8-2023

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright
 Seconded: Cr David Bain

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No.7 held on 17 April 2023 be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED**6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING**

Date	Topic	Detail
24 April 2023	Kalis Group Pty Ltd	An update was provided on the Margate project
	Climate Change	An overview of the Climate Change Program including progress against the Climate Change Plan, mitigation and adaptation work.

C128/8-2023

7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cr Midgley declared an interest in a report in closed session headed "Kingborough Lions Football Club – Request for Loan".

8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

C129/8-2023

9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

Professor Michael Rowan asked the following question without notice:

9.1 Bush Fire Risk Report

I refer to the report which was an appendix to the Agenda of 17 April but is not mentioned in the Minutes. Did Council consider this report?

General Manager responds:

The items that are included in the appendix are there for Councillor and public information so that they're placed on the public record, it enables any Councillor to ask questions about that particular matter should they wish to do so.

Professor Rowan:

Does any Councillor propose to suggest any actions which might follow the addition of that report to the Agenda on 17 April?

Mayor:

It's been a tricky question because we generally we don't have every Councillor answering a question that is put. My only suggestion is that if anybody would like to put on their lights to make a comment, you are free to do so.

Cr Deane:

Only to point out, as it was on the public record, that we held a workshop on this matter and we gave it due consideration and there was a lengthy discussion during that workshop.

Mr David Grace asked the following questions without notice:

9.2 Kingston Wetlands Tender Report

On 3 April, Council dealt with a tender, AB 2212 Kingston Wetlands, and I raised a question regarding that and I was told by staff that there was no tender. In the Agenda and also the Minutes of 6 February Council meeting, it says in the Agenda and in the Minutes that the tender had been noted. In my question to staff, would it not be appropriate if there was no tender awarded, why was it just noted? What was noted? Was there a tender or wasn't there a tender?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

The difficulty in answering that question is, it was in closed session. As you would be aware Mr Grace, the contents of closed session cannot be divulged. All that can be divulged is what Council resolves to divulge. If my recollection of your question is correct, you asked who is the tender awarded to and what was the value of the tender. And my response was there was no tender awarded because that was the case.

Mr Grace:

That's correct but the question I'm raising is why was it put in the Agenda as a tender? Regulation 15(2)(d) says 'Contract and tenders for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms and conditions, approval and renewal'. That's what is confusing.

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

Regulation 15(2) comes from the Local Government Meeting Procedures and that particular regulation deals with matters that can be considered in closed session. So it is a general reference to matters that are appropriate to be dealt with in closed session. In relation to this matter, the content of the report dealt with a tender. In this case it was a tender that had already been awarded and what was being provided to Council was an update. So that was the reason listed in the Agenda that that item was included within closed session and the justification for it as we are required to do.

Mr Grace:

I understand that, but a lot of the ratepayers don't understand that. Again, I wrote to Council on 2 March as Council awarded a contract for the works at the wetlands. I get a reply back, yes, but it does go on to say the floating wetlands will be installed next month. So that's my question, if it could be looked at and would you please go back and look at the agenda and those minutes because it says in the Minutes that it was going to be dealt with, it was dealt with, then it was just noted.

Mayor:

What Mr Smee has tried to explain is that we have to use the terminology from the the Meeting Procedures Regulations, which is why that section is quoted. Items to be dealt with is just an expression of this is what we will be dealing with and those items can either be noted or approved

as you would be aware from when we've done before. So I'm not quite sure what you're suggesting is incorrect.

Mr Grace:

It's misleading in my opinion because I read it and say a ratepayer reads it and it says quite clearly in your Agenda for that particular Council meeting on 6 February, that Council was going to deal with AB2223 Wetlands. If it was going to be done in closed session, maybe Council should have just said we will be dealing with the wetlands contract AB2223.

Mayor:

I will admit I'm not quite following you, but I'm hoping Mr Smee is so he might want to add something as I'm a little more confused now I apologise.

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

I think the confusion is that there's been an assumption made that because we listed in the Agenda a reference to a tender. That was correct because the report in closed session included an update in relation to that particular tender. There has been an assumption made that we were awarding a tender whereas in fact Council was receiving an update in relation to a tender that had already been awarded.

9.3 Snug Road, Tiers

I also raised this question at the last Agenda and Mr Reeve stated at that meeting and it's recorded that further consultation would need to be done with the people in Snug Tiers Road and he undertook to have further consultation. Have those discussions taken place and are the people satisfied?

Director Engineering Services responds:

My understanding is they've spoken to all or most of the affected owners on that bottom side and explained the situation to them. I can't make a comment as to how satisfied any particular person may be, but we've certainly put across the reasons why we would be doing things in those locations. And in particular, in answer to your question on the bottom side of the road, certainly the viewpoint of having a curb and channel, it was considered that wouldn't be necessary for the amount of storm water that was going to be generated in that area.

Mr Grace:

Has engineering spoken to the owner of 48 Tiers Road? Why can't Council put conduits in now, so that that road is not dug up again in the near future?

Director Engineering Services:

It is a very difficult situation where there may be potential developments in any particular area and some judgements need to be made in terms of how we might actually construct or when we might actually construct anything. We do try and take that into account, especially if we know there's an approved development which has a set window associated with it or even when it comes down to individual properties, we certainly deal with the individual property owners to talk about some of the needs that they might have because they might be developing their individual property and they might want a drive away in a different location. So those type of things we do try and take into account, but it is very difficult for the larger developments because sometimes they could be many, many years before they actually come to fruition, even if they actually have a development application in place. So yes, as much as possible, we try and take that into account, but it's impossible to actually try and add extra dollars into a particular project on the off chance that something may happen in the very near future.

C130/8-2023**10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC**

10.1 Industrial Land Supply in Kingborough

At the Council meeting on Monday, 3 April 2023, **Mr Charles Biggens** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

Since 2013 has the total area of land zoned for industry in Kingborough reduced or expanded?

Officer's Response:

Council is unable to provide an accurate number of land area zoned for industrial purposes prior to the introduction of the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme in 2015. In reviewing the mapping, the number of lots allocated for industrial purposes remained similar from 2013 to 2015. When the interim planning scheme was introduced in 2015, the municipality had approximately 90ha of light industrial zoned land and that figure was reduced to approximately 87ha when the old Vodaphone site at 15 Huntingfield Avenue, was rezoned from Light Industrial to Community Purpose in 2020.

It should be noted that there is a willingness from Council to identify additional industrial land in the municipality and that work is likely to occur as part the work of the 30-year Greater Hobart Plan and review of the Southern Tasmanian Regional Land Use Strategy over the next couple of years.

Adriaan Stander, Strategic Planner

10.2 Bush Fire Risk Report

At the Council meeting on 17 April 2023, **Professor Michael Rowan** asked the following question without notice to the General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

I'd like to thank the Council for the provision of the Bush Fire Risk report and the map on page 112 of the agenda. Every evacuation route by land from Kingborough is classified as extreme risk. While that risk is based upon the risk to property, I assume Council would agree with me that the value of life is at least the same as the value of property, and therefore I ask

- 1. Does this mean that every evacuation path by land for Kingborough residents is classified as a path of extreme risk?*
- 2. If it is the case that no one in Kingborough will be able to evacuate the municipality by land without traversing a road classified as extreme risk, what percentage of the population of Kingborough can be safely accommodated in the municipalities "nearby, safer places"?*
- 3. Are all Kingborough residents able to evacuate to a "nearby safer place" without travelling along a road in the municipality which is classified as extreme risk?*
- 4. What options for the protection of life does Council recommend for those residents who could not access a nearby safer place without traversing a road classified as extreme risk, or indeed, for any who will not be able to be accommodated safely in their nearby safer place?*

Officer's Response:

1. It is always dangerous to be on a road during a bushfire. Segments of any evacuation route are likely to be high risk depending on the proximity of the fire.
2. Nearby Safer Places are not intended to accommodate anyone, as they are in fact places of last resort. Nearby Safer Places are usually an oval or large clear area. Nearby Safer Places are not the same as evacuation centres, which have support services and facilities on site. Evacuees are encouraged to go to evacuation centres only if they have nowhere else to go

(friends/family). Nearby Safer Places are for very temporary shelter only (i.e. hours) as they will be impacted by ash, smoke and embers. The Tasmanian Fire Service advises that people identify an alternative place to stay prior to an emergency as part of their survival plan. The preference is to plan ahead and to go to family or friends and not to evacuation centres or Nearby Safer Places.

3. The Tasmanian Fire Service does not recommend anyone travels on roads during a fire (hence the 'leave early' message). The Tasmanian Fire Service especially does not want people evacuating to Nearby Safer Places. They are a place of last resort only. The Tasmanian Fire Service stresses that people should evacuate well before fire impacts their area. Council cannot guarantee that a road would be safe to travel on during a bushfire.
4. Council supports the Tasmanian Fire Service messaging that leaving early is always the best option (well before a fire impacts the local area). The Tasmanian Fire Service do not recommend travelling to Nearby Safer Places – they are a place of last resort. The Tasmanian Fire Service recommends that you only stay and defend if your property is well prepared, and you are physically and mentally prepared. The key messages from Tasmanian Fire Service are if you live in a Bushfire Prone area – you need to have a (written) plan and prepare your property prior to the fire season. Property owners can get detailed information about preparing for Bushfire emergencies from the Tasmanian Fire Service website.

Liz Quinn, Manager Environmental Services

10.3 Dog Waste Bags, Bruny Island

Ms Tammy Price on behalf of the Bruny Island Community Association submitted the following question on notice:

BICA has previously advised Council it would manage replacing the doggy waste bags at the identified locations of Cemetery Beach (Lunawanna), Pontoon (Alonnah), Dennes Point, and Adventure Bay once the dispensers have been installed. There are rubbish bins already at these locations, and there is no further infrastructure to be provided.

However, in the minutes of the 16th January 2023, Council advises, "the install is delayed due to the two new truck builds – and the existing contractor doesn't have the facility to deal with dog bags".

Could this please be clarified?

Is this to do with the construction of the dog waste bag dispensers? And if so – why can't another fabricator be engaged or discussion occur with another Council regarding their supply?

Or is it to do with the management of the total process?

Given Council's only obligation in this project is to provide the dispensers and the supply of bags once the installation occurs; volunteers have been identified to manage each distribution point locally with no additional staffing requirement from Council.

Officer's Response:

Council is trialling standalone bins for dog poo and it is intended these will be installed in various locations around Kingborough, including Bruny Island in the coming months. The existing contract for Bruny Island Public Place bin collection does not cover dog poo collection and if varied would likely incur an increased cost. As such it is reliant on the changeover of the collection service to Kingborough Waste Services. This is dependent on the new trucks arriving which are expected in early May 2023.

David Reeve, Director Engineering Services

10.4 Alonnah Hall Maintenance

Ms Tammy Price on behalf of the Bruny Island Community Association submitted the following question on notice:

Bruny Island Community Association (BICA), together with the Hall Management Committee, is concerned regarding the overdue maintenance at the Alonnah Hall. We understand quite a number of months ago a representative from the Council attended and inspected the hall and noted the issues requiring attention. To date, none of these issues have been addressed. One issue being there is vegetation growing from the guttering (photo attached) and this is particularly concerning when the School draws its drinking water supply from the Alonnah Hall.

Can Council please advise what the maintenance schedule is for the Alonnah Hall and when we can expect to see some works occurring?

Officer's Response:

Required maintenance works have been identified and provided to a contractor to undertake within the next few weeks.

David Reeve, Director Engineering Services

10.5 Ritchie Street, Alonnah

Ms Tammy Price on behalf of the Bruny Island Community Association submitted the following question on notice:

With Harvey Road currently being sealed and the increased traffic being diverted onto Ritchie Street, it has become a very busy road with excessive dust being created (on the dryer days), impacting residents' water supplies, and the increased usage proving dangerous for users (particularly walkers) on the road. As discussed with the Council's project management team, a temporary speed limit of 40km/hr was put in place for the duration of the roadworks. While this has improved the dust issue and slowed users for the short term, and with the sealing of Ritchie Street remaining on a distant wish list, the Bruny Island Community Association requests Council permanently lower the speed limit of Ritchie Street to 40km/hr following the completion of the Harvey Road sealing.

Officer's Response:

Speed limits are set to ensure consistency and a balance between traffic flow and local amenity. The speed limits can only be changed by the Commissioner for Transport and they will assess this against the relevant Australian Standards and Austroads guidelines which will consider factors such as safety, road condition such as width and alignment, traffic volumes, the amount of vulnerable users (pedestrians and cyclists) that may use it, and the number of intersections and accesses. In general, built up areas would have a 50km/hr speed limit, unsealed roads outside of built up areas, 80km/hr and sealed roads outside of built up areas 90km/hr. A 40km/hr speed limit is primarily used for school zones and high pedestrian zones. Based on the above it would not be appropriate to pursue a 40km/hr speed limit for Ritchie Road.

David Reeve, Director Engineering Services

C131/8-2023**11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

Cr Richardson asked the following question without notice:

11.1 Lights, Margate Esplanade

On Margate Esplanade there are a series of solar powered battery operated lights and one of which has been out for quite some time. I'm aware that a ratepayer has made contact a number of times to report the issue and I want to get an update on where that is at?

Director Engineering Services responds:

One of the problems we've got down there is supply issues. We have been talking with the supplier and we have also talked with a few other potential suppliers of the battery component. The intention with those particular lights down there, will be to actually look at replacing all the batteries in all the lights because otherwise we will find out we are in the same situation again. So yes, we are aware of that. Yes, we're aware of the fact that it's taken a little bit longer than we would like. And yes, we are pushing towards trying to get a solution for it.

11.2 Kregor's Road, Gordon

This is a rural road that is quite narrow in quite a state of disrepair and it hasn't been maintained for quite some time. The ratepayer has been in contact with Council and also a contractor and has been given some information about machinery not being able to access that particular part of the road. Are we aware of any work that has or hasn't been done on that road and any plans to do work and I guess, moreover, has there been work knocked back from that road, because of machinery issues?

Director Engineering Services responds:

I'm not aware of any particular reason why we wouldn't be maintaining it like any of our other unsealed roads. We've got a lot of unsealed roads that are as reasonably narrow as that one is. I believe there was a local slippage in that area a little while ago, which sometimes can preclude some of the heavier machinery actually using the road, but there's no particular reason why that won't be maintained similar to other unsealed roads of similar ilk. I'm happy to take it on board and forward it to our depot to investigate.

Cr Cordover asked the following questions without notice

11.3 Basketball Half Court

Currently there's no lighting at that basketball court. Please may I have an explanation as to why there are no lights on the court at dusk, say until 8:00pm?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

I'm not aware of the reasons why lighting for that wasn't included. Be that as it may, there isn't a light on the basketball court. To include that obviously comes at a cost and that project is now complete, so we would need to look at how it could be funded. Unless there is a light that can be switched on, so it would need additional infrastructure.

Cr Cordover:

The second minor point on the basketball ring is that for whatever reason, the net is too tight so that the basketballs get caught in that net.

Mayor:

Yes, I was there recently as part of a challenge I'm doing and we had the same problem. Mr Smee, could we have a get that looked at?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

Yes Mayor.

Cr Antolli asked the following question on notice:

11.4 Signage at Baretta Reserve

Apparently the signage promised for the Beretta reserve to warn against hooning has been a delayed and I believe it should be installed when received from the manufacturer over the next week or two. Could someone just confirm that for the record and the three or four families watching tonight?

General Manager responds:

We are waiting for the manufacturers to provide the signage. We are anticipating it will be this week or next and we will install them as soon as we get them.

11.5 Channel Highway Works

I've been told because unfortunately this is coming up in closed session when I lodged the question, I'm not able to ask the question, but for the sake of the community that is desperate to have an update, can some statement be made to update them on what is happening out there in terms of timing because people are really quite desperate and several have asked can you bring it up at Council?

Mayor responds:

As you have just stated there is a matter we are considering in closed session this evening so it would be inappropriate for us to make a comment until such time as we've dealt with that matter and then once that's been dealt with, we will be in a position to be able to make a statement and update members of the community. I fully respect that everyone's very keen to know the progress, but we do need to consider that report first and that's consistent with the way that we deal with any questions without notice that relate to anything on the agenda.

Cr Midgley asked the following questions without notice:

11.6 Bush Fire Risk Report

In relation to the question that Professor Rowan asked in regards to the appendix item Kingborough Road Bush Fire Assessment Project, I was surprised it was in the appendix, but what is the decision making in regards to such an item being in the appendix?

General Manager responds:

My recollection is that the author of that particular item in the appendix was not of the mind that it required a resolution of Council, but wanted to get it on the public record.

11.7 Dog Poo Bags, Bruny Island

This has been raised quite a few times and I note that Mr Reeve has said that they are waiting on stand alone dog waste bags, but the Bruny Island Community Association and residents would love to see would love to see the similar bins that we have around the municipality now just for the dog poo waste bags to be located next to the bins. They have volunteers ready to go to collect the bags, put them around Bruny Island and that they'll actually do the work in regards to maintaining them and things like that. Why are we looking at a new solution when they have been waiting quite a while and there is a lot of dog waste, evidently, in these areas?

Director Engineering Services responds:

The reason why we've been waiting is tied up with the fact that KWS is about to take on the collection on Bruny Island. I keep talking about this in terms of the new trucks that I keep promising that haven't yet arrive, but the intention behind it is they take on that service and we don't have the issues of having a contract that's on good grace and will that they're continuing to provide that service for Council, and then having to negotiate additional costs associated with picking up other things as well. The other point I would probably make too is that I understand that the community are keen to be involved in terms of putting the bags in place and so forth. We have tried this sort of stuff before and I think if we were going to run a service out there, my suggestion would be that it was actually Council that organised the bags, and as we do on the main land and make sure that we replenish them. Otherwise it does come down to individual community members and it may start off quite well and then for whatever reason that falls off and people come along and they can't access bags etc. It's a more solid service if it's actually done by Council and there's not a huge cost in terms of replenishing the bags. In terms of the bins and the type of bins, as I've mentioned before, we are looking at trying to put standalone bins throughout the municipality. We are trialling a couple at the moment which are similar to what Clarence uses. There is probably not a huge reason why we couldn't have a similar setup on Bruny Island where the public place bins are as to what we have at the moment, as at least a temporary solution. In other words, the bags are incorporated as part of the actual bin itself, so there is a possibility of doing that. I think what we've been hesitant on is we know the trucks are very close and we know we're about to take on that service so we've said that maybe we should have a little bit of patience bearing in mind that we have never provided that service on Bruny up until this point, so it's a new service. That will probably be a neater way of doing it.

11.8 Ritchie Road, Alonnah

Noting Mr Reeve's response in regards to the 50 kilometres, they've requested 40 kilometres, again, there's been a conversation around that difference at that 10 kilometres made in regards to dust and noise and safety and things like that. Is there there no way that it can be moved to 40?

Mayor responds:

As Mr Reeve said in his answer, it's not up to Council. It's up to the Commissioner for Transport to make a decision and generally, when we ask for decisions on changing speed limits that are not consistent with the Australian Standards and Aus Road Guidelines, then they get rejected by the Transport Commissioner. We've seen that numerous times with the requests that we've put in, so I think Mr Reeve was trying not to to raise expectations in relation to that.

C132/8-2023**12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

12.1 Update on the LCZ Review

At the Council meeting held on Monday, 3 April 2023 , **Cr Antolli** asked the following question without notice to the Acting General Manager, with a response that the question would be taken on notice:

Could council update us as to where we are in the review of the LCZ properties and how many currently have been rezoned out of LCZ?

Officer's Response:

The process to review the LCZ is taking longer than expected.

At this point in time, the amount of properties Council officers will recommend to another zone, is approximately 470. It should be noted that this number does not account for those properties the Tasmanian Planning Commission may also want to change prior to the formal exhibition of the planning scheme later this year.

The review is focusing on localities where an alternative to the LCZ may be possible, and we are also looking at areas where LCZ spot zoning can be reduced. Unfortunately, due to resource and time constraints, we will not be able to look at each individual property as part of the review. We therefore encourage members of the community to come back and look at what is proposed for their land when we are directed by the commission to seek public input on the changes as part of the new Tasmanian Planning Scheme.

If there is objection or concern about the zoning that is proposed, people will be able to make a formal submission during the exhibition period, which we will consider and where agreed, make further recommendations to the commission for changes. The process will also allow everyone who has made a submission to attend and speak at public hearings hosted by the Tasmanian Planning Commission.

Adriaan Stander, Strategic Planner

13 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

14 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

No Petitions had been received.

15 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C133/8-2023**15.1 DONATIONS POLICY 3.3**

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

That Council approve the updated Donations Policy 3.3.

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley
Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That this matter be deferred.

CARRIED

C134/8-2023

15.2 COPPING REFUSE SITE JOINT DISPOSAL AUTHORITY-RULE CHANGES

Moved: Cr Christian Street
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Council approves the certified amended Rules of the Copping Refuse Disposal Site Joint Authority.

CARRIED

Cr Deane left the room at 6.18pm

*Meeting adjourned at 6.19pm
Meeting resumed at 6.20pm*

Cr Deane returned at 6.20pm

16 NOTICES OF MOTION

C135/8-2023

16.1 JUNIOR TOILET FACILITIES

Moved: Cr Kaspar Deane
Seconded: Cr Clare Glade-Wright

That Council provides access to children's toilet facilities, such as junior toilets and hand basins, by:

- a) Upgrading existing toilet facilities with high-use by small children (e.g Kingston Park) with consideration of cost-effective solutions.
- b) Reviewing current public toilet projects to ensure children's toilet facilities are provided where appropriate.
- c) Amending its Public Toilet Strategy to include the consideration of children's toilet facilities as a desirable internal design feature.

CARRIED

C136/8-2023

17 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

Confirmation of Minutes

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

Kingston Main Street Upgrade

Regulation 15(2)(d), (2)(f), and (2)(i) *contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal, proposals for the council to acquire land or an interest in the land or for the disposal of land, and relating to actual or possible litigation taken, or to be taken, by or involving the council or an employee of the council.*

Kingborough Waste Services Board Appointment

Regulation 15(2)(g) *information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the council on the condition it is kept confidential.*

Delegated Authority Rates January to March 2023

Regulation 15(2)(j) *the personal hardship of any person who is resident in, or is a ratepayer in, the relevant municipal area.*

Kingborough Lions - Financial Assistance

Regulation 15(2)(c)(i) *commercial information of a confidential nature, that if disclosed, is likely to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied it.*

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting ceased.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 6.34pm

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 8.55pm

C137/8-2023

Moved: Cr Clare Glade-Wright
 Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Noted
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Kingston Main Street Upgrade	Approved
Kingborough Waste Services Board Appointment	Approved
Delegated Authority Rates January to March 2023	Noted
Kingborough Lions - Financial Assistance	Motion Lost

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 8.56pm

.....
 (Confirmed)

.....
 (Date)